NPS ARCHIVE 1967 GOBBEL, J.

> AN AID FOR THE OPTIMUM DESIGN OF ANTENNA SYSTEMS FOR VERY LOW FREQUENCIES

# JAMES THOMAS GOBBEL, JR.

LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MUNTEREY, CALIF. 939-40



## AN AID FOR THE

OPTIMUM DESIGN OF ANTENNA SYSTEMS

FOR VERY LOW FREQUENCIES

by

James Thomas Gobbel, Jr. Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S.E.E., Duke University, 1962



Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING from the NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

December 1967

### ABSTRACT

NPSARCHIVEThests 5523 c.1

GOBBEL, J.

This thesis will be concerned with the antenna systems used for high power radio transmitters operating at Very Low Frequencies (10-30 kHz). General problems involved in antenna systems, from the viewpoint of their equivalent electrical circuits, will be discussed. The required matching networks will be covered and their relative performances indicated. A brief survey of signalling methods commonly used at VLF is included, and the relation between these methods and the aerial systems is discussed.

A computer program (VLFANT) will be developed for a typical VLF aerial installation which may be used in the selection of component values for optimum operation. Written in FORTRAN IV, it is for use on a high-speed digital computer. Circuit parameter values may be changed at will, and the system response using different network arrangements easily determined. With this program as a design tool proposed systems may be completely evaluated and the proposed changes to operational systems easily studied. LIBRARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIF. 93940

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section		Page
I.	Introduction	11
II.	Basic Principles	15
	A. Aerials	15
	B. Impedance Matching Networks	24
	C. Transformer Coupling	47
	D. Signal Transmission at VLF	66
	E. Broadbanding Techniques	81
III.	System used at Northwest Cape, Australia	87
IV.	Computer Program	95
	A. Outline	95
	B. Major Subprograms	101
	C. Minor Subprograms	107
	D. Accessory Subprograms	112
	E. Variables Used in VLFANT	114
v.	Discussion	121
VI.	Conclusions	126
VII.	References	128
VIII.	Appendices	131
	A. Bandwidth Resistor Network	131
	B. VLFANT Program Listings	137
	C. VLFANT Sample Program Results	160
	D. Pictures of Northwest Cape Installation	171
TX.	Initial Distribution List	173

.

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I	L Section Design Equations	32
II	Tee Network Design Equations	33
III	Pi Network Design Equations	35
IV	Transformer Coupling Arrangements	64
v	Mark-Space Truth Table	74
VI	Design Parameters for Northwest Cape	88
VII	Variables Used in VLFANT	116

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	Aerial Structure, Northwest Cape, Australia	19
2	Basic Aerial Circuit	21
3	Voltages and Power	21
4	Basic Series and Parallel Circuits	28
5	Basic Matching Circuits	28
6	Basic "L" Network	30
7	Tee and Pi Networks	30
8	Variations of Basic Aerial Circuit	39
9	Various Matching Arrangements	41
10	Autotransformer Matching	42
11	Simplified Circuit	42
12	Zero Reactance Circuit	44
13	Final Circuit	44
14	Basic Air-core Transformer	49
15	Vacuum Tube Source	49
16	Equivalent Circuit for Transformer	49
17	Two Power Amplifiers in Series	51
18	Four Unit Series Operation	52
19	Primary and Secondary Responses	56
20	Basic Transformer Circuit	57
21	Double-peaked Response	57
22	Circuit for Table IV	63
23	Resultant Circuit	63
24	International Morse Code	70
25	Teletype Character (Letter "A")	70

Figure		Page
26	Simplified FM Frequency Plot	76
27	Theoretical and Actual Teletype Waveforms	76
28	Pulse, Square-wave and Sine-wave as Signalling Waveforms	79
29	Additional Resistance for More Bandwidth	82
30	Switchable Reactance for Broadbanding	82
31	Block Diagram of VLF Installation at Northwest Cape, Australia	90
32	Aerial System	91
33	Helix Coupling Network	91
34	Bandwidth Resistor Network	92
35	Tee Impedance Matching Network	92
36	Transformer Coupling	93
37	AN/FRT-67 Power Amplifiers	94
38	Major Circuit Sections	. 97
39	Aerial Network	97
40	Helix Network	104
41	Tee Network	104
42	Power Amplifier/Transformer Network	106
43	Bandwidth Resistor Network	106
44	Basic Aerial Circuit	132
45	Basic Bandwidth Widening Circuit	132
46	Reactances Summed	134
47	Parallel Representation	134
48	Series Form of Aerial Circuit	135
49	Resultant Widened Circuit	135
50	Model of Transmitter-Helix Building	171
51	Helix Series Variometer	172

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank William E. Norris, Professor of Electrical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, for the initial suggestion for this thesis and Paul E. Cooper, Professor of Electrical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, for his valuable guidance as thesis advisor. During the development of the computer program Professor Norris took time from his work at Northwest Cape, Australia to provide information, field data and encouragement through personal correspondence. Professor Cooper helped in interpreting the problems at hand and provided much needed guidance in the specialized area of this thesis.

\_

#### I. INTRODUCTION

This thesis will be concerned with the design of antenna systems used for radio communications at Very Low Frequencies (10-30 kHz). Principles of design and operation of the systems, from the viewpoint of their equivalent electrical circuits, will be discussed. The design and use of matching systems will be covered, and a brief analysis of information transmission methods used at VLF will be included.

Selection of the proper complete antenna system is difficult, and under field conditions the best designed system may not function efficiently. In order to choose component values for optimum operation, a computer program (VLFANT) will be developed. Parameter values may be varied at will and their effect on overall operation quickly determined.

The computer program is written in FORTRAN IV language for use on a high-speed digital computer such as the IBM System/360. The U. S. Navy VLF installation at Northwest Cape, Australia has been selected as a model and its performance will be discussed. Modification for other installations may be easily accomplished.

Historically, the Very Low Frequency spectrum was used as the primary long range communication medium, with a number of stations being built in the period from 1910 to 1912 for world-wide service. <sup>1\*</sup> Beginning in the 1920's, however, with the discovery and subsequent high usage of the "shortwave" frequencies, the utilization of the 10-30 kHz range for long distance communications rapidly declined. But within the last decade VLF has again come into wide use by both the civilian and

<sup>\*</sup> Numbers in superscript refer to references, listed at the end of this thesis.

military communities for accurate time and frequency standards, navigation and specialized communications. This renewed interest is due to the predictable propagation characteristics of these very low frequencies, the long ranges attainable, and the ability to penetrate the ocean depths, a requirement raised by man's new interest in the undersea world.<sup>2</sup> As of July, 1963 over 90 VLF transmitters were known to be operating below 30 kHz and in the past few years others have been added.<sup>1</sup> The lowest operating frequency regularly in use is 10.2 kHz, which is part of the U. S. Navy Omega navigation system, while the lowest frequency used for communications is 15.5 kHz at the U. S. Navy VLF station at Northwest Cape, Australia.<sup>3</sup>

The earth and lower edge of the ionosphere tend to act as concentric shells which guide VLF radio waves. There is little penetration into the ionosphere by the skywave portion of the signals and very small attenuation of the groundwave. Thus signals of notable strength may be produced at distances of up to 1,000 km. Absorption and attenuation tend to decrease in winter, at night, and with decrease in frequency. The major loss in signal strength is a function of distance from the transmitter; fading is never observed.<sup>4</sup> Solar activity can cause a change in VLF propagation, but the lower the frequency the less the effect. For the same reason VLF is relatively immune to nuclear blackout.<sup>5</sup>

Despite these advantages VLF is not completely utilized because the aerial systems are large and inefficient, with much of the generated radio frequency power going into ground and copper losses. Additionally, the total number of stations which can operate is limited and each station is therefore restricted to a narrow modulation band.<sup>6</sup>

12

A typical modern VLF communications transmitting installation uses very high power, often in the hundreds of kilowatts. The antenna is very large physically, and the associated components must have good mechanical strength and be able to withstand high voltage and current gradients.<sup>5</sup> In fact, the rf feed-through bushings for Northwest Cape are about 16 feet tall and weigh almost 3.5 tons apiece.

With such an investment in materials, cut and try methods of design cannot be used. A proposed system must be painstakingly detailed, and such variables as stray capacitance included in the theoretical analysis. In the past, prior experience with operating installations has been combined with modelling studies in an attempt to get the best design. Exact mathematical descriptions of operations may have been available, but the evaluation of the expressions could take months to complete, and with a slight change in a single parameter could have been rendered useless. Also the form of a system might not fit the nice geometric configurations of a model, calling for approximation techniques whose accuracy would be "ball park" at best.

Today this hurdle is being overcome with the use of high-speed digital computers. Commercial antenna manufacturers are designing their systems with the aid of computer programs, still retaining models, however, as a check on the theoretical results. As one antenna engineer has said:

It is significant that the properties of an antenna configuration, for example, can be evaluated more economically by computer techniques than by experimental methods; however, it is perhaps even more important that the computer can also be programmed to change the antenna configuration in a systematic way to find a structure that is optimum in certain respects. It is not at all unrealistic to expect that an optimization that might take several weeks if done 7 experimentally can be done within ten minutes by computer.

This thesis, then, will be concerned with one part of a VLF transmitting facility: the interconnection of a previously designed VLF antenna with the transmitter. A review of basic principles of such a connection will be presented first, and then a computer program developed to aid in the optimum selection of the coupling network.

# II. BASIC PRINCIPLES

This section will discuss some of the basic principles applicable not only to the design of the VLF aerial itself but to the matching networks and signalling methods which must be considered in the design of the overall antenna system.

# A. Aerials

It has been stated many times that the most important part of a transmitter installation is the antenna system, since the best transmitter is practically worthless if its energy cannot be properly matched to the atmosphere and radiated into space. This is especially true in the Very Low Frequency range.

Wavelengths range from 10 km (16 statute miles) at 30 kHz to 30 km (48 statute miles) at 10 kHz. The simple half-wave dipole which is so popular in the High Frequency bands might be possible here if the required real estate was available. But for long range propagation of VLF signals, vertical polarization is necessary, and the quarter-wave vertical antenna would have to be 7.5 km (12 statute miles) high. Consideration of supports and protection against the elements clearly makes such an installation impossible.

Since VLF signals are generally "broadcast" in an omnidirectional pattern, some form of single vertical radiator seems the most appropriate aerial to use. Towers are generally limited to about 1,000 feet (305 meters), although there are cases where geographical features may be utilized to increase the height.<sup>8</sup> With a limit to the physical height of the aerial, it is proper to consider possible increases to the electrical height.

Consider a transmission line which is one-quarter wavelength long electrically, fed with a sinusoidual input, and terminated in a resistive load much greater than its characteristic impedance. The current through the load will be very small, the voltage across the load high. As we move from the load towards the generator the current will increase while the voltage will decrease, and a phase difference will develop between the two. At the generator end of the line the voltage and current will be in phase again. If the impedance at any point along the line is defined to be the quotient of the voltage divided by the current, then we see that due to the difference in phase, the impedance will have a magnitude and a phase. With current leading the voltage the impedance phase will be negative, the same condition as exists for a simple circuit composed of a resistor and capacitor.

The yertical aerial may be compared to a transmission line, and since it is less than a quarter wavelength long, it, too, will exhibit a capacitive reactance at the "generator" terminals. If some additional capacitance was added at the top of the aerial it would appear electrically to be just more "transmission line" and thus appear longer electrically. The 1,000 foot tower is so very much shorter than a quarter wavelength that in general the more capacitance we can add the longer the aerial will appear. This addition of capacitance is known as "top loading" and the capacitor itself is known as the "top hat" due to its physical arrangement.

Actual construction often consists of a number of horizontal wires run radially from the central tower to outlying towers, for distances up to 8,000 feet, forming a circular electrical "plate," covering up to two square miles. The other "plate" for the capacitor

is the ground, which must be more than just the earth. The basic operation of a quarter-wave vertical aerial depends on an "image" aerial of equal length to be formed in the "ground," so the earth ground system must form a "ground plane."

As might be assumed from the discussion above, the proper design of a VLF aerial system is relatively complex. Although the use of modern analysis has been suggested, it has been the practice up to this time to apply basic and empirical formulae and then make a model of the proposed system.<sup>7,9,10</sup>

An example of such an approach is the design of the aerial for the U. S. Navy station at Cutler, Maine. First, a number of designs were studied in theory and many rejected; the most promosing were then modeled using a scale of 2500:1.<sup>11</sup> The best of these models were then selected and new models were made with a scale of 100:1.<sup>12</sup> A final report was then issued with a recommended antenna, including extensive cost data, preliminary sketches, computational results, modelling results, and predicted performance against the elements, including high winds and ice loading.<sup>13</sup>

Two important parameters for the resulting aerial system are the radiation resistance and the effective height, which is "the height of the antenna center of radiation above the effective ground level."<sup>9</sup> The latter is usually determined from modelling measurements. The radiation resistance is the true "load" of the aerial and power dissipated in this "load" is actually radiated into space. These two parameters are proportionally related through a simple expression. The radiation resistance normally has a magnitude on the order of one ohm.

Figure 1 shows a sketch of the aerial system in use at the VLF station at Northwest Cape, Australia.

Once the final aerial system design has been made, it is possible to consider the system as a series electrical circuit, such as shown in Figure 2. The radiation resistance is the "load," ohmic resistances of the tower and cables are lumped into the loss resistance term, the capacitance is primarily that of the top-hat, and the downleads to the transmitter form the inductance.

A more thorough analysis would show other reactive elements such as stray capacitance, but they have been combined where possible and ignored when practical. It is also possible to use more than one downlead but that will not be considered here.<sup>5</sup>

In standard network analysis the reactances would be combined and the sum considered as a single parameter. This will be done later when it comes to impedance matching, but for the aerial itself the reactances must be considered separately. For a typical VLF aerial the capacitive reactance can be assumed to be about four times greater in magnitude than the downlead reactance.

The static capacitance (neglecting the downlead inductance) determines the major limits for a VLF aerial. The "Q" or quality factor is defined to be the ratio between the stored and dissipated energy, or the capacitive reactance divided by the radiation resistance. The Q also determines the aerial circuit bandwidth. For VLF aerials the Q has a value of several hundred, and the bandwidth is measured in ten's of Hertz.



Aerial Structure - Northwest Cape, Australia

$$Q_{ant} = \frac{|jX_c|}{R_{radiation}} \dots (1)$$

Bandwidth = 
$$\frac{f_o}{Q_{ant}}$$
 ...(2)

f = operating frequency

There is a second set of values for the aerial Q and bandwidth often encountered which involves the loss resistance. At the input terminals of the circuit in Figure 2 the loss and radiation resistances are seen as a single resistance sum. From the network analysis viewpoint, Equation (1) must be modified as follows:

$$Q_{ant} = \frac{|jX_c|}{R_{radiation} + R_{loss}} \dots (1.a)$$

If an aerial system with the loss and radiation resistances equal is considered, the Q as seen from the input terminals is only one half that of the aerial itself and the bandwidth is doubled. Since the losses depend upon the actual aerial installation and the associated coils, leads, ground, etc., the Q in Equation (1) is the one of most concern in the initial aerial design. From the operational viewpoint, however, the Q determined from Equation (1.a) and the corresponding bandwidth are the parameters of most concern.

One of the two major VLF system limitations appears here. This is the breakdown voltage rating of the various components in the aerial. (The second is a limitation on the power the transmitter can produce.) With the low radiation resistance, high power necessitates high current which in turn produces high voltage across the reactances. The voltages

2Q



across the capacitive reactance (Figure 3) and the total aerial reactance are the "top-hat" and "base" voltages respectively. Note that the voltages may be expressed directly in terms of the quality factor for the respective reactances, when the aerial is tuned to resonance.

$$V_{top-hat} = Q_{ant} V_{in} \qquad \dots (3)$$

$$V_{base} = Q_{downlead} V_{in} \qquad \dots (4)$$
where  $V_{in}$  = voltage applied to the series circuit
$$Q_{downlead} = Q \text{ of the downlead or total reactance,}$$

$$defined similarly to Equation (1)$$

Another problem is corona which takes place just before breakdown occurs. Corona develops at high voltage points which are physically near points at ground potential, and it may occur at the endpoints of the top-hat, the base of the downlead, cable connections, sharp bends, and at points where the wires may be frayed or nicked. High rf noise is generated and the aerial circuit is detuned. Corona rings and the caging or bundling of cables may be used for protection.<sup>14</sup>

The aerial self-resonant frequency is the series resonant condition when the inductive and capacitive reactances are equal and is usually outside the VLF band. The top-hat provides the major part of the aerial capacitance, without it the self-resonant frequency would be even higher.

$$f_{\text{self-resonant}} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{L C}} \qquad \dots (5)$$

As the aerial capacitance is increased the Q will decrease and the bandwidth increase. The effective height will also increase and with it the radiation resistance.<sup>9</sup> The top-hat voltage (a function of

radiation resistance and capacitive reactance) will tend to decrease. Thus the greater the top-hat capacitance the better the aerial will perform.

The aerial efficiency is the ratio of the radiation resistance to the sum of all resistances in the circuit. Since the radiation resistance is of the order of one ohm, all loss resistances must be equal to or less than this to obtain an efficiency of 50% or greater. In practice this can be done by using large diameter cables to reduce losses.

Efficiency = 
$$\frac{\frac{R_{radiation}}{R_{radiation} + R_{loss}}$$
 ...(6)

Equation (6) is good only at a specific frequency since the radiation resistance will normally increase with frequency. The lower the operating frequency, the more carefully all losses must be considered.

E

In summary, the normal VLF aerial is much shorter than an electrical quarter wavelength and is electrically lengthened through the use of top-loading capacitance. It is physically large (mainly due to the top-hat), has a high Q and narrow bandwidth. The achieved efficiency will in practice be close to 50%, and limitations on power handling ability is usually caused by breakdown voltages across the reactive elements.

#### B. Impedance Matching Networks

Maximum power transfer between a generator and its load occurs when the load resistance is equal to the generator resistance. If the generator impedance has a reactive component then the load should have a reactance of equal value but opposite sign. The generator and load impedances are then "conjugates."

In radio frequency applications the generator can usually be made purely resistive, and thus the load should be a pure resistance. But even with a pure resistance load maximum power transfer may not occur. For example, if a vertical quarter wavelength radiator, halfwave dipole or folded half-wave dipole are each resonant at the same frequency their input impedances are purely resistive. But their magnitudes are 36, 72, and 300 ohms respectively. If the generator has a resistance of 50 ohms, maximum power transfer cannot be directly accomplished with any of these systems.

A network may be added between the generator and antenna which will allow maximum power transfer. The generator sees the network and antenna combination as a 50 ohm resistive load, and the antenna looking into the network-generator combination sees a pure resistance source of the proper magnitude. The network which meets these requirements is known as an impedance matching network.

It is desirable to introduce as little insertion loss as possible, so the network should be "lossless." If resistors are used in the network such a requirement cannot be met, but by using high quality reactive components the lossless case can be closely approximated. No component is ideal, but the ohmic resistance can be made very small, and in any case, much, much less than the alternating current reactance.

VLF aerials have been shown to have a resistive component in their input impedance, and since inductive and capacitive parameters are present, also a reactance term; except at the precise resonant frequency. When operation at other than resonance is desired the reactance term will appear and increase in magnitude the further operation is attempted from resonance. Below resonance the reactance will be capacitive; above resonance inductive. In the following discussion it will therefore be assumed that the aerial is a complex impedance load.

Impedance matching networks are built using the basic properties of series and parallel circuits. In Figure 4 two circuits with identical behavior at the same frequency are shown, the second being the parallel form of the first. The parameters are defined as follows:

$$Z_{s} = R_{s} + jX_{s} \qquad \dots (7)$$
$$Z_{p} = R_{p} + jX_{p} \qquad \dots (8)$$

where

Z<sub>s</sub> = impedance of series circuit Z<sub>p</sub> = impedance of parallel circuit R<sub>s</sub> = series resistance term jX<sub>s</sub> = series reactance term R<sub>p</sub> = parallel resistance term jX<sub>p</sub> = parallel reactance term

The impedance of the two circuits is the same at the same frequency. The quality factor of "Q" defined in Equation (1.a) for the aerial may now be generalized to apply to both the series and parallel circuits. At the operating frequency, both circuits have identical "Q's."

$$Q_{s} = \frac{\left| JX_{s} \right|}{R_{s}} \quad \text{for the series circuit} \qquad \dots (9)$$

$$Q_{p} = \frac{\frac{R_{p}}{|jX_{p}|}}{|fx_{p}|} \quad \text{for the parallel circuit} \quad \dots (10)$$

Equations for converting between series and parallel circuits are given below:

$$\frac{Parameter}{R_{p}} = \frac{"Q" \ Equations}{R_{s}(Q_{s}^{2} + 1)} \quad or \quad \frac{R_{s}[1 + (\frac{X_{s}}{R_{s}})^{2}]}{R_{s}[1 + (\frac{X_{s}}{R_{s}})^{2}]} \quad \dots (11)$$

$$jX_{p} = jX_{s}[\frac{Q_{s}^{2} + 1}{Q_{s}^{2}}] \quad or \quad jX_{s}[1 + (\frac{R_{s}}{X_{s}})^{2}] \quad \dots (12)$$

$$R_{s} = \frac{\frac{R_{p}}{(Q_{p}^{2} + 1)}}{(Q_{p}^{2} + 1)} \quad or \quad \frac{\frac{R_{p}}{R_{p}}}{[1 + (\frac{R_{p}}{X_{p}})^{2}]} \quad \dots (13)$$

$$jX_{s} = \frac{\frac{jX_{p}}{Q_{p}^{2} + 1}}{\left[\frac{Q_{p}^{2} + 1}{Q_{p}^{2}}\right]} \text{ or } \frac{\frac{jX_{p}}{R}}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{p}{X_{p}}\right)^{2}\right]} \dots (14)$$

Either the "Q" equations or the reactance equations may be used in transforming from one circuit to another. When the reactance of a series circuit is greater than the resistance by a factor of 10 or more (or less by a factor of 10 for the parallel circuit) then the equations above may be simplified.

or  $R_{s} \left(\frac{X_{s}}{R_{s}}\right)^{2}$  $(Q_s)^2 R_s$ ...(15) R  $\simeq$ 

or

ίX

$$jX_p \simeq jX_s$$
 or  $jX_s$  ...(16)  
 $R_s \simeq \frac{\frac{R_p}{(Q_p)^2}}{(Q_p)^2}$  or  $\frac{\frac{R_p}{(\frac{R_p}{X_p})^2}}{(\frac{R_p}{X_p})^2}$  ...(17)

jX<sub>p</sub> jX<sub>s</sub> ...(18)  $\simeq$ jX<sub>D</sub> or

iΧ

 $\simeq$ 

iΧ

Examination of equations (15) through (18) shows that an error of 1% occurs when Q is 10; the error decreases as Q increases.

If the circuits in Figure 4 are to be modified to be purely resistive an additional reactance is needed. Thus if a reactance of the same magnitude as  $X_s$  but of opposite sign is added to the series circuit, the reactance will sum to zero and a pure resistance results. By the same token, adding a reactance which is the conjugate of  $X_p$  to the parallel circuit will give a resultant impedance equal to  $R_p$ .

The above operations may be combined to produce different results. If a reactance of magnitude  $X_s$  but of opposite sign than  $X_p$ is added in series to the parallel circuit, then the total impedance becomes  $R_s$ . This may be seen by first transforming the parallel circuit to its series equivalent and then adding the new reactance. Alternately, if a reactance of magnitude  $X_p$  with sign opposite  $X_s$  is added in parallel to the series circuit,  $R_p$  is the resultant impedance. These results are shown in Figure 5 and summarized below:

Circuit	Added Reactance	Connect In	Resultant R
Series	-  jX <sub>s</sub>	series	Rs
Series	-  jx <sub>p</sub>	parallel	R p
Parallel	-  jX <sub>s</sub>	series	Rs
Parallel	- jX <sub>p</sub>	parallel	R

The procedures above are the basis for a common type of impedance matching networks. Methods for connecting a reactance to a circuit and obtaining either the series or parallel value of the resistance have been shown. But suppose a resistance value other than  $R_s$  or  $R_p$  is desired, but still without any reactive terms present. This can be done but may require a second reactance to be used.



Figure 4. Basic Series and Parallel Circuits



HEARE D. Basic Matching Circuits

Following the work of Fowler and Kemp, the circuit shown in Figure 6 is used.<sup>15</sup> With the circuit broken at A looking to the right, a resistance  $R_p$  is seen. Similarly, if the circuit is broken at C, looking to the left  $R_s$  is seen. Thus resistances  $R_s$  and  $R_p$  are matched to each other. However, at cut B the circuit to the right is  $R_s - jX_s$ ; to the left is  $R_p$  in parallel with  $jX_p$ . If the series circuit to the right is transformed to its parallel equivalent,  $R_p$  is in parallel with  $-jX_p$ . The left and right hand circuits are seen to have equal resistive components ( $R_p$ ) but conjugate reactances (equal magnitude but opposite signs). The parallel circuit could have been transformed to a series circuit and given a resistance of  $R_s$  at cut B. No matter what form is used, the impedances at B are seen to be conjugates.

From Equation (11) R<sub>p</sub> is seen to be always greater than R<sub>s</sub>. Then to match two resistances the higher resistance may be connected across the shunt arm of the network to the right of cut A. The two reactances are adjusted to give conjugate impedances at cut B. If the two loads to be matched have reactive as well as resistive terms, then the reactances in Figure 6 are first adjusted to make the loads purely resistive and then modified to meet the matching requirements. Due to its physical shape, the network between cuts A and C in Figure 6 is known as an "L" network.

Figure 7 shows two other forms of matching networks, the Tee and the Pi. These networks are seen to be the combination of two L sections combined in different ways. They may in fact be designed as two L sections and then combined.

When pure resistances are matched the two reactances in the L network must have opposite signs, in the Tee and Pi this is extended









Figure 7. Tee and Pi Networks
to having one element different from the other two. These restrictions do not apply if the loads have reactive components.

If the Tee and Pi networks are constructed as shown in Figure 7 then they are called symmetrical. The inductors and capacitors may be interchanged in either network without destroying the symmetry. It should also be clear from Figure 7 that the Tee and Pi networks shown are duals, and at a given frequency one may be directly substituted for the other.

Tables I, II, and III give the equations needed for designing impedance matching networks of the L, Tee, and Pi forms respectively. In all cases the two impedances to be matched are assumed to be purely resistive. If they are not, the networks should be designed on the assumption that they are, and then the network legs may be modified as required.

An alternate method of computing values for the Tee and Pi networks is to consider each as a lumped parameter equivalent of a quarter-wave transmission line. Such a line is often used for impedance matching, and has the additional property of inverting the load as seen at the input network terminals. That is, if a load which has infinite impedance (open circuit) is connected to the Tee, reactances  $X_a$  and  $X_d-X_e$  are seen to be a series resonant circuit, which has zero impedance. Conversely, a zero impedance load (short circuit) causes  $X_b$  and  $X_d-X_e$  to be a parallel-resonant circuit which has an infinite impedance. The Pi network may be similarly described.

# TABLE 1

L SECTION DESIGN EQUATIONS

Diagram	R <sub>b</sub> R <sub>a</sub>
Assumptions	$R_b > R_a$ Both R <sub>a</sub> and R <sub>b</sub> are purely resistive.
Given	R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub>
Equations	$X_{a} = \pm R_{a}\sqrt{\frac{R_{b}}{R_{a}} - 1}$ or $\pm \sqrt{R_{a}R_{b} - R_{a}^{2}}$ (19) $X_{b} = \mp \frac{R_{b}}{\sqrt{\frac{R_{b}}{R_{a}} - 1}}$
	or $\overline{+}$ $\frac{R_a}{X_a} \frac{R_b}{X_a}$ (20) Note: $X_a$ and $X_b$ are taken with opposite signs.

## TABLE II

TEE	NETWORK	DESIGN	EOUATIONS
1 1 1	UDTHOID(	PLDION	DOUTTOND

Diagram	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & X_{a} \\ R_{a} & X_{d} \\ R_{a} & X_{d} \\ 0 & X_{c}^{\dagger}, R_{c} \end{bmatrix}$	
Assumptions	$R_b > R_a$ Both R_ and R_ are purely resistive.	
	$R_{c}$ > both $R_{a}$ and $R_{b}$	
Given	R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub> , R <sub>c</sub>	
	$X_{a} = \pm R_{a} \sqrt{\frac{R_{c}}{R_{a}} - 1}$	(21)
	$X_{b} = \pm R_{b} \sqrt{\frac{c}{R_{b}}} - 1$	(22)
Equations	$X_{c} = \frac{X_{d}  X_{e}}{X_{d} + X_{e}}$	(23)
	$X_{d} = \mp \frac{\frac{R_{c}}{\sqrt{\frac{R_{c}}{R_{a}} - 1}}}{\sqrt{\frac{R_{c}}{R_{a}} - 1}}$	(24)
	$X_{e} = \overline{+} \sqrt{\frac{R_{c}}{R_{b}} - 1}$	(25)
	Note: $X_a$ and $X_d$ have opposite signs. $X_b$ and $X_e$ have opposite signs.	

Given	R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub> , X <sub>a</sub>	
Equations	$R_{c} = R_{a} \left[1 + \left(\frac{X_{a}}{R_{a}}\right)^{2}\right]$ $X_{d} = X_{a} \left[1 + \left(\frac{R_{a}}{X_{a}}\right)^{2}\right]$ $X_{b} \qquad \text{Use equation (22)}$ $X_{c} \qquad \text{Use equation (23)}$ $X_{e} \qquad \text{Use equation (25)}$	(26) (27)
Given	R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub> , X <sub>b</sub>	
Equations	$R_{c} = R_{b} \left[1 + \left(\frac{X_{b}}{R_{b}}\right)^{2}\right]$ $X_{a} \qquad \text{Use equation (21)}$ $X_{c} \qquad \text{Use equation (23)}$ $X_{d} \qquad \text{Use equation (24)}$ $X_{e} \qquad \text{Use equation (25)}$	(28)
Given	R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub> , X <sub>c</sub>	
Equations	$X_{a} = -X_{c} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{R_{a}}{R_{b}} - \left(\frac{C}{X_{c}}\right)^{2}} \right]$ $X_{b} = -X_{c} \left[ 1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{R_{b}}{R_{c}} - \left(\frac{R_{b}}{X_{c}}\right)^{2}} \right]$	(29)
	$X_{d}$ Use equation (24) $X_{e}$ Use equation (25) $X_{e}$ Use equation (26) or (28)	
	c Use equation (26) or (28)	

TABLE II (continued)

#### TABLE III

PI NETWORK DESIGN EQUATIONS



Given	R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub> , X <sub>a</sub>	
	$X_{d} = \frac{\frac{X_{a}}{\frac{X_{a}}{1 + (\frac{X_{a}}{R_{a}})^{2}}}$	(36)
Equations	$R_{c} = \frac{\frac{R_{a}}{R_{a}}}{1 + (\frac{R_{a}}{X_{a}})^{2}}$	(37)
	X <sub>b</sub> Use equation (32)	
	X Use equation (33)	
	X Use equation (35)	
Given	R <sub>a</sub> , R <sub>b</sub> , X <sub>b</sub>	
	$X_{e} = \frac{\frac{X_{b}}{1 + (\frac{X_{b}}{R_{b}})^{2}}}{1 + (\frac{R_{b}}{R_{b}})^{2}}$	(38)
Equations	$\begin{array}{c} x_{c} & 1 + \left(\frac{x_{b}}{X_{b}}\right)^{2} \\ X_{a} & \text{Use equation (31)} \end{array}$	(39)
	X Use equation (33) X, Use equation (34)	
Given	R.R.X	haava ee
Fquations	$X_a = -R_a X_c / R_a \pm R_a R_b - X_c $	(40)
	$X_{b} = -R_{b}X_{c} / R_{b} \pm \sqrt{R_{a}R_{b} - X_{c}^{2}}$	(41)
1	X <sub>d</sub> Use equation (34)	
	X <sub>e</sub> Use equation (35)	
	R <sub>c</sub> Use equation (37) or (39)	

TABLE III (continued)

The networks described, although designed for impedance matching, will also act as filters. In work at radio frequencies one is usually interested in surpressing higher frequency harmonics, and thus a low pass filter response is desired. Or there may be undesirable sidebands produced by modulation of the basic carrier system, and then a bandpass filter which would discriminate against unwanted frequencies would be called for.

The choice of which impedance matching network to use is based on a number of factors. What is the ratio of the two resistances to be matched? What type of reactance do the loads have, is it large or small, and how may it best be cancelled out? Will one of the loads vary significantly with frequency or will the resistance remain fairly constant and only the reactance vary? How many components are available for the network? What power and breakdown voltage ratings must be met? What magnitude of stray capacitance will be encountered? (The Pi network with capacitors for the legs would be useful here.) What about ease of operation; can tuning be quickly and accurately accomplished by the personnel involved?

Additionally, what power will be dissipated by the network? The proper choice of network may mean a substantial improvement in efficiency. Terman points out that "for a given [impedance] transformation ratio, the "L" section has a lower loss than either the Tee or Pi."<sup>4</sup>

### Example:

As a particular example of impedance matching, the aerial circuit shown in Figure 2 and redrawn in Figure 8(A) will be used. The impedance values shown are typical of a VLF aerial, and are chosen to

show the range of magnitudes encountered. It is desirable to use a matching network to obtain a purely resistive output of 12.5 ohms at the operating frequency.

A number of variations of this circuit are possible. In Figure 8(B) the reactances have been combined, since this is what a radio frequency bridge connected to the input terminals would see. It is also possible to take the capacitor and resistor as a sub-network and convert them to their parallel equivalent circuit, as shown in part C. Since the Q of this circuit is greater than 10 by Equation (9), the transformation may be made using Equations (11) and (12). A similar procedure yields the circuit shown in part D. The parallel circuit of part E is determined from the circuit of part B.

Since the desired resistance at resonance is 12.5 ohms, and such a value does not appear from any of the manipulations in Figure 8, the most direct approach to the desired result would be to use the circuit in part B and add a matching network. Figure 9(A) shows such an approach. First a reactance of equal magnitude but opposite sign is added in series to produce a pure resistance. Then a simple L network is designed to convert the 0.308 ohm load to the desired 12.5 ohms. When constructed, the series inductance would be included in  $X_a$  so that the completed network would be to the left of the dashed line. Values for the L network are found from Equations (19) and (20).

A second approach would be to use the parallel form of the aerial circuit. Here the new inductive reactance is connected in parallel and the L networks used to convert 7,550 ohms down to 12.5 ohms. Again the completed L network would be the circuit to the left of the dashed line.





Figure 8. Variations of Basic Aerial Circuit

The networks in Figure 8, parts C and D may also be used. If it is desired to have a pure resistance at resonance the total series reactance must have the same magnitude but opposite sign of the parallel reactance. In both of these cases it is seen that an additional inductive reactance of j48 ohms is required and the total network resistance will be the same as the series resistance, 0.308 ohms.

There is also the possibility of using some form of transformer arrangement to achieve the desired 12.5 ohms. In fact a single inductor could be used as an autotransformer if the taps were properly chosen. If the circuit in Figure 8(E) has an inductive reactance of j48 ohms connected in parallel across it the result will be a parallel resonant tank circuit with a resistance of 7,550 ohms. If the inductor is tapped as shown in Figure 9(C) then 12.5 ohms will be measured between the tap and ground.

The relation between turns and impedance for an autotransformer is given by:

$$N^2 = \frac{Z_1}{Z_2}$$
 ...(42)

where  $Z_1$  = primary impedance  $Z_2$  = secondary impedance N = turns ratio

With  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  equal to 12.5 and 7,550 ohms respectively, N is found to be 1/24. A total inductive reactance of j48 ohms is needed, and since inductance varies directly with the number of turns, a selection of the tap such as that shown in Figure 10 can be made. Here it should be noted that the same results may be obtained by considering the circuit shown in Figure 8(B) to be made into a series resonant circuit.



A  $jX = \pm [1.935]$  $jX_{b}^{a} = \mp [1.99]$ 



Figure 9. Various Matching Arrangements





Figure 10. Autotransformer Matching



Figure 11. Simplified Circuit

Figure 11 shows the resultant circuit. It is more convenient to consider the series form of the aerial circuit, which has an input impedance defined as:<sup>16</sup>

$$Z_{in} = \frac{R(X_L)^2 + j[X_L R^2 - \frac{L}{C} (X_L - X_C)]}{R^2 + (X_L - X_C)^2} \dots (43)$$

At resonance the reactance terms will partially cancel, and the simplified form below results:

$$Z_{in} = \frac{(X_{L})^{2}}{R} + jX_{L}$$
 ...(44)

Thus by tapping the coil to lower the input resistance a reactance term has been added. (It should be noted that the proper tap on the coil is most easily found by Equation (44), since the resistive part of  $Z_{in}$  and the value of R are shown.  $X_L$  may be computed, and the total coil is merely equal in magnitude to the capacitive reactance.)

Since the reactance is small it seems apparent that at some frequency other than resonance it will become zero. Figure 12 shows the general circuit, where the series capacitive reactance is assumed greater than the series inductive reactance at resonance. If the series sum is denoted by  $-jX_{sum}$ , the input admittance for the circuit is given by:

$$Y_{in} = \frac{R}{R^2 + X_{sum}^2} + j[\frac{X_{sum}}{R^2 + X_{sum}^2} - \frac{1}{X_{L_2}}]$$
 ...(45)

The real part of the admittance at resonance should be 1/12.5 mhos, so solving for  $X_{sum}$ :

$$X_{sum} = \sqrt{R (12, 5 - R)}$$
 ...(46)



Figure 12. Zero Reactance Circuit



Figure 13. Final Circuit

With an R of 0.308 ohms the positive root for the magnitude of X<sub>sum</sub> will be used, which has a value of 1.93 ohms. It is now necessary that the reactive part of the admittance be zero so solving for the parallel inductive reactance:

$$X_{L_2} = \frac{R^2 + X_{sum}^2}{X_{sum}} \dots (47)$$

This inductive reactance is found to be 1.99 ohms, and the complete circuit may now be finished as shown in Figure 13. Comparing Figure 13 with Figure 10(B), the value of the inductance has been increased very slightly, but the total input impedance of the circuit at resonance is now purely resistive.

Examination of the circuit in Figure 13 shows the part to the left of the dashed line to be a L network. When values for the L network shown in Figure 9(A) are compared with those in the final circuit, they are seen to be the same. Thus after some involved mathematics the same results as those obtained from the comparatively simple Equations (19) and (20) are determined. Several important conclusions can be drawn from this, when designing a matching network.

First compare the magnitude of the desired resistance (12.5 ohms) with the values obtained from manipulating the aerial network. As a series circuit the aerial had 0.308 ohms resistance, with the RC parallel configuration the resistance was 12,320 ohms, with the RL arrangement 715 ohms, and with the reactances summed and then formed into a parallel circuit 7,550 ohms. Since the series value of 0.308 ohms is the closest to 12.5 ohms, use the series circuit.

Next compute the values for the L, Tee and Pi networks which will make the desired transformation. At this time neglect the aerial

reactance completely. The L network will probably be the easiest to use although the wider tuning range available or size of components may show one of the others to be preferable.

Finally include the aerial reactance (here -j48 ohms) in the circuit and modify the impedance matching network values to achieve the desired values. Choose variable tuning elements for the network so that any variation in component values in the final circuit may be compensated for.

One additional word about the circuit shown in Figure 13; it is simple and requires only two components, the values are within easily obtainable ranges, and the aerial is provided with a direct current path to ground which provides good protection against lightning and other electrical discharge.

#### C. Transformer Coupling

A coupling method not mentioned previously involves the use of transformers. They may be constructed to meet many different impedance ratios, but generally the magnitude of the loads must fall into a relatively narrow range. For example, a transformer with an impedance ratio of 10:1 and designed to match 500 to 50 ohms probably would not work well if used to match 50,000 to 5,000 ohms even though the ratio is the same.<sup>17</sup>

Transformers utilize iron cores at low frequencies, but at radio frequencies losses in the core become excessive and air cores are used. Satisfactory operation is usually limited to fairly small deviations from the center design frequency.

At radio frequencies transformers find use where a relatively constant impedance ratio is involved, only small deviations in frequency take place, and as little attenuation as possible is desired. An additional advantage is that there is no direct electrical connection between the primary and secondary circuits. Energy transfer is made through magnetic coupling, a fact of some importance where high direct current voltages such as those in power amplifiers are present.

A transformer wound with low resistance wire may be considered as a lossless two-port reciprocal network. If  $Z_{11}$  is defined to be the input impedance,  $Z_{22}$  the output impedance looking back into the network, and  $Z_{12}$  equal to  $Z_{21}$  to be the transfer impedances, then the impedance matrix for the transformer may be written:

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \dots$$

. (48)

Considering only the transformer in Figure 14:

$$E_{1} = Z_{11} I_{1} + Z_{12} I_{2} \dots (49)$$

$$E_2 = Z_{21} I_1 + Z_{22} I_2 \dots (50)$$

If the transformer is lossless and terminated in an impedance  $Z_{load}$ , then:

$$E_2 = -I_2 Z_{1oad}$$
 ...(51)

By manipulation of equations (49), (50), and (51), the input impedance looking into the transformer will be:

$$Z_{in} = \frac{E_{1}}{I_{1}}$$

$$Z_{in} = Z_{11} - \frac{(Z_{12})^{2}}{Z_{22} + Z_{load}} \qquad \dots (52)$$

or

For the lossless case, assuming no resistance in the input, output, or transfer impedances (or else the reactance is much greater than the resistance), Z<sub>in</sub> may be written as:

$$Z_{in} = j WL_1 + \frac{(WM)^2}{j WL_2 + Z_{load}}$$
 ...(53)

where

re L<sub>1</sub> = primary transformer inductance
L<sub>2</sub> = secondary transformer inductance
M = mutual inductance
w = frequency in radians

A common application of transformer coupling is in the matching of a vacuum tube to a load. The tube may be considered as a generator in series with a high resistance, while the load is usually a much lower impedance. Such a circuit is shown in Figure 15. Examination of Equation (53) shows that the transformer-load combination may be replaced by a complex impedance (Figure 16). A capacitor is often added to the primary circuit to tune out the reactive part of the new load, and the desired impedance matching can be accomplished.



Figure 14. Basic Air-Core Transformer



Figure 15. Vacuum Tube Source





If the vacuum tubes are to be used as power amplifiers, the power can be increased to the limits of the tube without problem, providing the transformer windings will handle the high voltage and current present. Such units can be built, although they become physically large in order to keep the losses low and prevent any voltage or current breakdowns.

When one amplifier has reached its power limit additional units may be connected. Figure 17 shows two such units. With several units on the line, the transformer secondaries and the load form a series circuit and the same circulating current will flow through all the series elements. Since all transformers are assumed identical, each will have the same voltage rise across the secondary terminals, the sum being equal to the voltage drop across the load. Then with four units on the line, Figure 18, each will supply one-fourth the voltage across the load, and with the same current as the load, in effect see onefourth the impedance of the load, by Ohm's Law. If the transformer secondary reactances are cancelled out at the operating frequency by a series capacitance in the circuit, then in effect with multiple units each will see a load resistance equal to the original load divided by the number of units in use. Modifying Equation (53):

$$Z_{in} = jwL_1 + \frac{(wM)^2}{jwL_2 + Load_n} \qquad \dots (54)$$

$$Load_n = \frac{-jwC + Zload}{n} \qquad \dots (55)$$
where C = secondary series capacitance  
where Load\_n = portion of original load now seen  
by each transformer  
n = number of units on the line









With the secondary load of each transformer now a pure resistance, the primary circuit may also be made purely resistive by the tuning capacitor. When operation is at other than the normal operating frequency the secondary load will become reactive, but so will the primary circuit, and since all units are assumed identical all will behave in the same manner.

Two problems now appear. First, and really most important, the power amplifier tubes are operated Class C. This means that they are on for less than 180 degrees in each cycle, and the plate voltage is not a constant. This entire area of Class C operation is a very important one which should be thoroughly investigated. Such complications can be temporarily avoided here by taking the timeaverage or dc value of the plate voltage and assuming it constant.

Secondly, there will be some interaction among the power amplifier units, which are normally tuned up in sequence. As each additional unit is brought on the line, tuning conditions for those already present will change. Since the units have no magnetic coupling, and are fed from the same signal source, the interaction should not be too great in practice. However, the description above neglects such problems, and it is an area which requires a more detailed analysis.

The approach above is easy to use: just divide the normal load by the number of units on the line to find the load for any unit. But the restriction that each unit be identical must be completely met. The only true test is to actually compare the units which will be used under the operating conditions, for after all, this is the information which must be used in actual operation.

The effects of transformers in matching impedances has been discussed above. The term "M," shown in Figure 14 and used in

Equation (53) also has an important effect on the energy transferred from the primary to the secondary. The emphasis in the following pages will be on how this energy is transferred.

A transformer consisting of two windings may be used to couple energy from one circuit to another, without any direct electrical connection between the two. The coupling takes place when an electromagnetic flux is common to both, and a mutual inductance is created. The flux, number of turns in the primary and secondary windings, and primary and secondary current may be manipulated to determine the mutual inductance in henrys.

A form which is usually of more convenience when working with transformers already designed is given below.

	М	=	$k\sqrt{L_1 L_2}$	(56)
where	М	=	mutual inductance	
	L <sub>1</sub>	=	primary inductance	
	L <sub>2</sub>	=	secondary inductance	
	k	=	coupling coefficient	

The coupling coefficient is a function of the geometric relation between the primary and secondary coils, theoretically equal to zero when the coils are infinitely far apart and equal to one when the coils are infinitely close together and the flux generated linking both coils fully. The mutual inductance M may range from zero to a maximum given by Equation (56) when k is one. In actual practice k cannot equal one, but may be made very close to one. (The autotransformer discussed in the Impedance Matching section has a coupling coefficient of one.)

Transformers are frequency-dependent devices, and in most applications both the primary and secondary are tuned to the same frequency. Under these conditions the effect of coupling variation may be seen directly as shown in Figure 19. Four cases are shown with the variation of primary current and secondary voltage versus frequency sketched for each case. It is also possible to show the relation between primary and secondary voltages or currents; the choice depends on the particular interests of the designer.

"Undercoupling" is defined to occur when both the primary and secondary sides of the transformer are tuned to the same frequency and an increase in coupling will cause an increase in secondary voltage.

If the coupling has been chosen such that the maximum secondary voltage is realized, then the circuits are said to be "critically coupled."

As coupling is increased beyond that required for critical coupling, the secondary response curve is seen to "widen," that is, have a small variation in magnitude as the frequency is varied about the center frequency. When the widest response is obtained without any peaks on the curve, then the circuit is "transitionally" coupled.

Coupling may be increased still further and two peaks appear. This condition is the "overcoupled" case.

Transformers operating at radio frequencies have low loss windings such that the coils have an extremely high Q and any effective resistance in the circuit comes from external parameters. Thus the system in Figure 20 will have  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  as the dominant resistances. The capacitors  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are used to tune out the inductive reactance of the primary and secondary respectively.



"Lur, 19. Primary and Secondary Responses







Figure 21. Double-peaked Response

The Q of the primary circuit may be defined as:

and for the secondary circuit:

$$R_2 = \left| \frac{j X_{C_2}}{R_2} \right| = \left| \frac{j X_{L_2}}{R_2} \right| \dots (58)$$

where the reactances are measured at the resonant frequency. The coupling coefficient for critical coupling may now be defined as:

$$c_{c} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{Q_{1} Q_{2}}} \qquad \dots (59)$$

By substituting the expressions for  $\rm Q_1$  and  $\rm Q_2$  from Equations (57) and (58),  $\rm k_{\rm c}$  may also be defined as:

$$k_{c} = \sqrt{\frac{R_{1} R_{2}}{X_{L_{1}} X_{L_{2}}}} \dots (60)$$

It was shown earlier that the square of the mutual inductance is a factor in reflecting impedance from one side of the transformers to the other, so it is of interest to determine the value of  $(wM)^2$ when there is critical coupling. Thus in Equation (56), using critical coupling as defined in Equation (60):

$$M = \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} \frac{R_1 & R_2}{X_{L_1} & X_{L_2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_2 \end{pmatrix}} \dots (61)$$

Solving for the mutual inductance reactance squared:

$$(wM)^2 = R_1 R_2 \dots (62)$$

Examination of Equation (62) indicates that for the undercoupled case:  $(wM)^2 < R_1 R_2 \dots (63)$ 

while for the transitional and overcoupled cases:

$$(wM)^2 > R_1 R_2 \dots (64)$$

In radio-frequency circuits transformers are used for impedance matching and ease in coupling power from the transmitter or other source to a load. It is desirable to do this as efficiently as possible, so there should be maximum secondary power.

Bandwidth considerations are also important, since sideband intelligence should be passed while undesired harmonics are simultaneously attenuated. A compromise between power and bandwidth may be required for best overall operation.

Critical coupling occurs when the secondary voltage or current reaches its maximum possible value. At this point the primary response has two peaks, the secondary still has one peak. If the Q's for the primary and secondary are equal then further coupling will cause the primary peaks to become more prominent and peaks will appear in the secondary. The transformer is then overcoupled.

At high frequencies where modulation sidebands are only a small percentage of the carrier frequency, the critically coupled case may be optimum. At VLF, however, the aerial circuit is very narrow in bandwidth and it is desirable to have other circuits with bandwidths greater than that for the aerial. Thus it should be determined that the transformer will not place any additional restrictions on the system response.

If the primary and secondary Q's are made unequal, then an additional coupling response is obtained between the critical and overcoupled conditions. The primary response will show peaks as critical coupling is reached and exceeded, but the secondary response will widen somewhat before the single peak changes into double peaks.

It is desirable to determine when the secondary response will change from a single to double peaks. This can be shown to occur when:<sup>17</sup>

$$(wM)^2 = \frac{R_1^2 + R_2^2}{2} \dots (65)$$

If the mutual inductance reactance squared is less than the term on the right then there will be only a single peak (undercoupled condition); if greater there will be two peaks (overcoupled case). If  $R_1$  is equal to  $R_2$ , the peak or peaks will be equal to the maximum response at critical coupling [Equations (62) and (65) are then identical]; when the two resistances are not equal the peaks for overcoupling will be less than for the critical case.

It is of interest to examine the magnitude for the various coupling conditions above. Figure 21 shows a double peaked case, and it will be assumed that  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are not equal, and the primary and secondary Q's are likewise not equal. Following the work of Henney, the gain at the center frequency for any coupling coefficient whether with single or double peaks may be written:

Gain = 
$$\frac{M}{wC_1 C_2 [R_1R_2 + (wM)^2]} = \frac{wM}{\frac{1}{Q_1 Q_2} + k^2}$$
 ...(66)

This magnitude is marked in Figure 21 by the dimension "c."

The maximum possible gain at the center frequency occurs with critical coupling for either single or double peaked curves. It is proportional to dimension "p" in Figure 21 and is given by:

Gain = 
$$\frac{X_{L_1} X_{L_2}}{\sqrt[2]{R_1 R_2}}$$
 =  $\frac{Q_1 Q_2 \sqrt{R_1 R_2}}{2}$  ...(67)

When the circuit is overcoupled the dimension "p" represents gain at the peaks of the two-peaked curve and is given by:

Gain = 
$$\frac{2M}{wC_1 C_2 \sqrt{(2wM)^2 (R_1 + R_2)^2 - (R_1^2 - R_2^2)}} \dots (68)$$

The location for the peaks for the double-peaked circuit may be located by using the following equation, where  $f_0$  is the resonant frequency, and f the peak frequency.<sup>17</sup>

$$\frac{f}{f_{o}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 \pm k \left[1 - \frac{k_{c}^{2}}{2k^{2}} \left(\frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{2}} + \frac{Q_{2}}{Q_{1}}\right)\right]}} \dots (69)$$

The dual signs in the denominator are for the upper and lower frequencies  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . The bandwidth between peaks ( $\Delta p$ ) may be computed from Equation (69), and the bandwidth between points where the gain equals that at resonance is given by  $\Delta c$ :

$$\Delta c = \sqrt{2} \Delta p \qquad \dots (70)$$

If a transformer has already been constructed there is an additional method of determining its coupling coefficient in the field. The primary circuit is tuned to resonance at frequency  $f_0$  with the secondary winding open. Then the secondary is shorted and the primary re-resonated, this time to the higher frequency  $f_s$ . The coefficient of coupling is then given by:

$$k = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{f}{0}\right)^2} \dots (71)$$

where f = primary resonant frequency, secondary open
f = primary resonant frequency, secondary shorted.

In summary it may be stated that the peak gain of the secondary response curve depends on the ratio of  $(wM)^2$  to the product of  $R_1 R_2$  [Equations (62), (63), and (64)], while the shape of the response curve depends on the ratio of  $(wM)^2$  to one-half the sum of the squared resistances [Equation (65)].

### Example

At this point it is of interest to look at some examples of coupling for a radio-frequency transformer. A variable transformer with a fixed primary circuit and adjustable secondary inductance and coupling coefficient will be used. Operation will be at one frequency, but the secondary load will be different for the various cases. The basic circuit is shown in Figure 22. Parameters for the transformer primary are given below:

$L_1 = 430 \ \mu henry$	$X_{L} = j41.9$ ohms
$R_1 = 4.2$ ohms	Q <sub>1</sub> = 10
C <sub>1</sub> = 0.25 µfarad	$f_o = 15.5 \text{ kHz}$

Table IV shows the transformer response for four distinct cases. In Case One the secondary load resistance is 20 ohms, in Case Two it is cut in half to 10 ohms. For Cases Three and Four the secondary load has been reduced to one-third and one-fourth of its initial value respectively.

In all cases the secondary Q is very low, indicating a wide bandwidth. Coupling coefficients are given as determined by Equations (59), (60), and (71). The latter equation is considered the most accurate, since it is based on measured rather than calculated values. Capacitor  $C_2$  is used to tune the secondary alone to resonance at the operating frequency.



Figure 23. Resultant Circuit

### TABLE IV

Case	1	2	3	4
Parameter				
R <sub>2</sub> (Ω)	20.0	10.0	6.7	5.0
L <sub>2</sub> (µh)	71.5	49.0	35.3	27.0
M (µh)	91.5	68.5	54.6	54.6
Q <sub>2</sub>	0.348	0.238	0.172	0.131
jx <sub>L2</sub> (Ω)	6.95	4.77	3.43	2.63
(wM) <sup>2</sup>	79.5	44.9	28.4	28.4
C <sub>2</sub> (µfd)	1.48	2.16	3.00	3.91
R <sub>1</sub> R <sub>2</sub>	80.4	42.0	26.6	21.0
$\frac{{R_1}^2 + {R_2}^2}{2}$	208.8	58.8	28.9	21.3
k <sub>c</sub> (Eqn. 59)	0.538	0.650	0.762	0.875
k <sub>c</sub> (Eqn. 60)	0.534	0.468	0.430	0.436
k (Eqn. 71)	0.522	0.474	0.444	0.508
R <sub>3</sub> (Ω)	3.90	4.49	4.26	5.68

# TRANSFORMER COUPLING ARRANGEMENTS

For Case One the coefficient of coupling is less than critical, Equation (63) shows slight undercoupling and the shape of the secondary response curve should be single-peaked according to Equation (65). Case Two is overcoupled by Equation (64) and will have a double-peaked curve from Equation (65). By the same equations Case Three is overcoupled but should have only one peak, a case of transitional coupling. Case Four is also a transitional form of coupling which should yield only one peak for the secondary curve.

Using Equation (53) the transformer in each of the above cases may be replaced by a complex impedance. But since the secondary is tuned to the operating frequency only a pure resistance will be seen. The primary is also tuned, so the resultant load for the generatorresistance source is solely the reflected resistance, marked as  $R_3$  in the Table and in Figure 23. Only in Case One is the load less than the primary resistance; in the other cases there is a definite overcoupling effect. In summary, the only noticeable bandwidth limiting will occur in Case One.

#### D. Signal Transmission at VLF

As discussed above, VLF signals have predictable propagation characteristics, with a dominant ground-wave transmission mode. They may be received reliably at long distances by aircraft, surface stations, and submerged vehicles. For these reasons present day use has been generally dominated by the military and scientific communities. High radiated power is the basic requirement for successful operation.

The entire VLF band from 10 to 30 kHz is only 20,000 Hertz wide. It is important to use this resource in the best manner possible, which means a tradeoff between the number of channels available and the bandwidth of each channel. Since the amount of information and the speed at which it is sent are determining factors in selecting the required bandwidth, it is important to consider all the factors involved.

In the discussion of VLF aerial systems it was pointed out that the aerial itself is usually a compromise in terms of the physical size which can be built. Due to top-loading and the small radiation resistance normally encountered the bandwidth is often less than 100 Hertz. (For the aerial discussed in the Impedance Matching section, the aerial bandwidth alone was about 38 Hz while the overall aerial system bandwidth was 76 Hz.) Although the overall system bandwidth may be increased (as discussed in the following section) there is usually a high price tag attached.

Prior to the 1950's most signalling at VLF was by CW (continuous wave) emission, also known as radio telegraphy. Reception was accomplished by human operators who transcribed the CW signals into letters and words. Because of this keying speeds were generally limited to the
range of 15 to 20 words-per-minute, and the small bandwidths required were well within the typical 100 Hz aerial system limitations. In fact, much effort was expended in trying to reduce the loss resistance in the system so that power could be more efficiently radiated. The corresponding reduction in bandwidth. [see Equations (1.a) and (2)] would merely reduce the available system response down to that compatible with the keying requirements.<sup>1</sup>

It is important to examine the more common form of emission used in radio communications, especially those which enjoy wide usage in the High Frequency (HF) spectrum from 2 to 30 MHz, and determine their applicability to VLF signal transmission.

The normal voice or audio channel is considered to have a 3,000 Hz bandwidth requirement. Standard double-sideband Amplitude Modulation (AM) has two identical sidebands, so each station using AM would require at least a 6,000 Hz channel. This mode of emission may be eliminated from consideration since only three stations could operate in the entire VLF band, and with the aerial system discussed thus far the 100 Hertz bandwidth restriction would not permit operation.

Single-sideband (SSB) with or without carrier, can be considered to have only a 3,000 Hz bandwidth requirement. Although the number of operable channels could now be increased to six, the aerial bandwidth restriction would still not allow proper operation.

Narrow Band Frequency Modulation (NBFM) systems are usually considered to have roughly the same bandwidth as normal AM systems, when carrying an audio signal, while Wide Band Frequency Modulation (FM)

is by definition a system with a greater modulation index (and consequently greater bandwidth) than NBFM. Both of these systems may be eliminated from consideration.

The above systems (AM, SSB, NBFM, and FM) have been discussed using an audio signal. In the HF bands, it is common practice to use frequency multiplexing and place a number of Teletype channels in the place of one audio channel. The data rate of such a system is much greater than the original voice signal, and makes good use of a given 3,000 Hz bandwidth. It would be interesting to try this same approach at VLF, but once again the determining factor is the bandwidth of the aerial system.

Perhaps the most satisfying results may be obtained by starting with the major limitation, the aerial bandwidth, and seeing what type of system can best be used and still meet the restrictions. If 100 Hz is taken as the bandwidth for each channel, as many as 200 channels may be put in the VLF spectrum.

Since CW operation was the most common form of signalling prior to the 1950's, it is important to look at its operating characteristics in more detail. It is basically a form of Amplitude Modulation, where the modulation is set at 100 per cent; that is, the carrier is either full on or completely off. This is binary (two-state) keying in its simplest form.

Each letter and number is made up of from one to five parts or units. The basic unit is the "dot," a short duration "on" pulse. A "dash" is a longer pulse, equal in time duration to three consecutive dots. Spacing between dots and dashes is an "off" pulse (actually no pulse at all) equal in length to a dot. An "off" pulse equal in

length to a dash is used for spacing between letters. See Figure 24. The basic word has six characters, five letters and a space, plus two extra dots at the end of the word.<sup>18</sup> The standard length word for determining signalling rates in the military is CODEZ, which is the equivalent of 60 dots long.

If a word consisting of five consecutive letter "e's" was to be sent it would require five dots for the "e's" alone and four dashes for the spaces between the letters, taking a total time equivalent to 17 dots. The numeral zero ( $\emptyset$ ) is represented by five dashes, with a space of a dot between each dash, for a total time of 19 dots. When sending a word consisting of five zeros, a time corresponding to 107 dots would be required.

In using CW consisting of only letters and numerals (punctuation marks are usually six units long), a single word may range from 17 to 107 dots in length. The International Morse Code is arranged such that the more commonly used English letters are shorter in time than the less common letters, but even so, one word may be more than six times longer than another.

The bandwidth requirements for CW using International Morse Code can be expressed approximately as:<sup>18</sup>

$$BW_{CW} = \frac{1}{2} WPM \qquad \dots (72)$$

where

WPM = Morse Code words-per-minute

 $BW_{CW}$  = bandwidth in Hertz

The standard word CODEZ has been used to determine Equation (72), so the expression is applicable to both English words and random "five letter code groups," since it represents an average word length of 60 dots. However, the "five letter code groups" (often used as a



Figure 24. International Morse Code



Figure 25. Teletype Character (Letter "A")

basic form of intelligence coding) will be random in terms of the letters used, and thus show more variation in length than a normal English text. The resulting wide range in sending time causes a loss of effectiveness in such a coding procedure.

In the last ten years machines have been developed which will "copy" CW transmissions, replacing the normal human operator since they are capable of accepting speeds which before only the most talented operator could copy, and with far fewer errors. Digital logic circuits are employed and once properly tuned the machine will produce an output printed on a normal Teletype page printer inserting carriage return and line-feed signals at the appropriate times. With a Boehme mechanical CW keyer at the transmitter CW rates at up to 60 words-per-minute may

be used.

Mar Land Man

A signalling method in widespread use today is Teletype, where it is a second structure of a second structure of the common instruments employed are typewriter-like keyboards and instruments. In this system each letter, numeral and punctuation mark are for equal length, so that any combination of five letters, numerals or is a second structure of the same time to sender The basic is a second structure of the same time to sender The basic is a second structure of the same time to sender The basic is a second structure. The first is a "staft" unit, the next five depend on the element to be sent, and the last is a basic unit.

Both Teletype and CW Morse code are basically binary signalling systems. In the first, however, each character always has seven basic units, where in telegraphy it may vary from one to six. The five "information" units in Teletype must always be sent, but since they are all of equal length, the arrangement of the five units does not effect the time required to send them, as is the case in CW. The

"dots" and "dashes" of telegraph sending are "on" signals, with "off" pulses used for separation. In Teletype the five information units are also distinguished by the difference of two states, but the states are not strictly limited to "on" and "off." The reference level may be set at the bottom, as shown in Figure 25, giving on and off pulses (called "mark" and "space" respectively), or it may be set to the upper limit. Either of these systems, using positive or negativegiving pulses, is known as "polar" keying. If the middle of the pulse is chosen for reference then the keying is called "bi-polar."

With five "information" units, each of which may be in either of two states, there are 2<sup>5</sup> or 32 possible combinations. The 26 letters of the English alphabet may be included, but there is not room for all of the numerals of the decimal system. To satisfy this requirement two additional characters are used: "Figures" and "Letters." The first shifts the keyboard and printer to what on the normal typewriter would be the upper case position. The characters used for letters in the lower case or "Letters" position may now represent numerals, punctuation marks, weather symbols, or special symbols such as a bell. If a "Letters" character is again transmitted a shift is made to lower case and alphabetic characters are once more sent.

Thus with seven-unit Teletype coding up to 62 different charac= ters, each requiring the same time duration, muy be sent.

In any binary system it is important to be able to properly recognize which of the two states is being received. With GW operation the two states usually chosen are on and off. Since reception is most often done by a human operator, the relative spacing between the lengths of dots and dashes can be varied somewhat and perfect copy still result.

Teletype operation, however, depends on the timing of the pulses, and the allowable variation from the proper timing is much less. Additionally, Teletype signals are decoded by machines, so the adaptability of a human operator is not available.

If the binary levels of zero and one are chosen, it is a simple matter to distinguish the two signals. When noise enters the system the basic reference level, if initially chosen at zero, will become instead the noise level. To counteract this a "threshold" may be chosen, say at ½ unit, so that any pulse received which is greater in magnitude that ½ will be considered as a signal of one unit; signals less than ½ will be considered as zero level. Noise spikes of magnitude greater than ½ may cause an error, but the average noise level will not. The proper choice of threshold level is not as simple as presented here, and quite a bit of work has been done on coding and levels, especially at VLF.<sup>19</sup>

Rather than a choice between two levels, improvement in the decision process could be realized if there were more accessory requirements. For example, a Teletype mark could be transmitted at one radio frequency and the space at a second frequency. Then a true mark would require a signal to appear at the proper mark frequency <u>and</u> no signal to appear at the space frequency. Detectors at the mark and space frequencies would employ the threshold logic described above.

Table V shows the four possible mark-space combinations in a noise-free environment. If the transmitter is properly operating Cases One and Three would never be received. The threshold detectors might produce such an output, but sophisticated circuitry would remove them, or else properly indicate an error. Cases Two and Four would be proper signals.

#### TABLE V

Case	Sig	nal at	Comments
	Mark	Space	
1	0	0	Transmitter off, severe signal fading. Logic circuit would recognize as incorrect signal.
2	0	1	Space.
3	1	1	Incorrect signals, logic would recognize and indicate as an error.
4	1	0	Mark.

#### MARK-SPACE TRUTH TABLE

Error correcting codes and similar plans to improve accuracy have been proposed and are in use. But the problem at hand is to make use of two separate signals for Teletype signalling at VLF.

(It is seen that CW could be used with this arrangement, sending separate dots and dashes. But the very attributes of the Morse code, i.e., variation in character length, are a detriment here, especially in terms of selecting proper timing intervals.)

Two methods of generating separate mark and space signals for radio communications are commonly used. The first is Frequency Shift Keying (FSK), where the transmitter carrier is shifted between two discrete frequencies. Audio Frequency Shift Keying (AFSK) has the carrier transmitted continuously with two signals present in an audio sideband: one audio signal used for mark and the other for space. Where more than one Teletype channel is to be sent the AFSK method is generally employed, for here there may be several pairs of mark and space signals, all within a 3,000 Hz audio sideband. The carrier, in fact, need not be sent at all if a single sideband unit is used, and for elaborate systems

it is possible to transmit up to four independent sidebands from the same transmitter, each 3,000 Hertz wide, and each containing 16 or more separate Teletype channels.

In the VLF spectrum phase-continuous shifting of the main carrier is the most advantageous method of employing FSK. $^{20}$ 

Phase continuity is required to maintain a high level output and avoid serious transients in the antenna system, and in effect means that when shifting from one frequency to another both waveforms must be the same, i.e., both maximum or both passing through zero in the same direction. This may be achieved by using a single variable oscillator, switched at the signalling rate, or by switching the output between two separate oscillators. The difference between the mark and space signals depends on the system employed; at HF frequencies it is commonly 850 Hertz. A radio system meeting the phase-continuity requirements is actually using a form of Frequency Modulation (FM).

In simple terms, an FM system is initially at rest at some assigned frequency (carrier or center frequency). If a signal such as a sine wave is to be transmitted, then the radiated frequency will shift up from center frequency as the magnitude of the sine wave increases from zero, reaching its maximum deviation from the assigned carrier frequency when the sine wave is at its maximum value. As the magnitude of the sine wave decreases the carrier will be lowered in frequency until back at its assigned frequency. At this point the input sine wave will be at zero magnitude. The carrier will then be shifted down in frequency as the sine wave goes negative, finally returning to the center frequency at the end of one cycle. See Figure 26. The maximum deviation of the carrier from the center frequency is a function









-51 ? of both the magnitude of the sine wave and the modulation index of the FM system.

The "modulation index" is defined as:

$$m = \frac{\Delta f}{f_s} \qquad \dots (73)$$

where  $\Delta f$  = deviation of upper (lower) frequencies from the center frequency.

f = signalling frequency.

The maximum deviation allowed by the transmitter is a specified parameter, so the modulation index normally varies with the information signal. By definition Narrow Band FM has an index much less than  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  while normal or wide band FM has an index equal to or greater than  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

For Teletype signals the carrier frequency is usually shifted upward for a mark and downward for a space, in both cases using the maximum allowed deviation. Since the shift is not instantaneous the signalling waveform may be "shaped" to reduce keying transients.

In Teletype operations it is common to speak in terms of a data rate in words-per-minute. For military usage both 60 WPM and 100 WPM are common, with recent emphasis on the latter. The equations below are based on the normal word consisting of six characters (of seven elements each), five letters and a space. The elements per second are of most interest, however, and for this the term "baud" is used. A baud is the basic data or element rate, that is, elements per second.<sup>21</sup>

 $WPM = \left(\frac{\text{elements}}{\text{second}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ character}}{7 \text{ elements}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ word}}{6 \text{ characters}}\right) \left(\frac{60 \text{ seconds}}{\text{minute}}\right)$  $WPM = (1.43) \text{ x Baud} \qquad \dots (74)$  $Baud = (0.7) \text{ x WPM} \qquad \dots (75)$ 

From Equation (75) 60 WPM Teletype has a baud rate of 42, so each identical pulse will have a time duration of 23.8 milliseconds, where time is the reciprocal of frequency. This is shown in Figure 27(A).

In practice the pulses are not of equal time duration. The stop pulse is made 1.42 times longer than the length of the start and information pulses, so the standard character requires 7.42 units of time. This means that each time unit must be approximately 22 milliseconds long and the stop pulse will be approximately 31 milliseconds long. The <u>average</u> length is still 23.8 milliseconds, but the shortest pulse present is of the most concern and sets the basic bandwidth requirements.

A signalling speed encountered where United States and allied military communications are concerned utilizes a 20 millisecond 7.42 unit code. This corresponds to 50 baud operation, and by Equation (79) has a data rate of approximately 67 WPM.<sup>17</sup>

Fourier analysis shows that an ideal square wave consists of an infinite number of sinusoids at various magnitudes. In the FM FSK method of transmission the signalling frequency must be known to properly determine the modulation index and frequency deviation [Equation (73)]. As a first approximation a sinusoid may be fitted to the square wave which has the same magnitude, zero crossings, and period. The resulting waveform then has a period of 40 milliseconds or a frequency of 25 Hz, as shown in Figure 28.

The signalling frequency of 25 Hz is a rough estimate at best, but with it an idea of bandwidth requirements may be determined. $^{22}$ 



Figure 28. Pulse, Square-wave and Sine-wave As Signalling Waveforms

 $BW = 2 (f_d + f_m)$ 

where BW = total bandwidth required

f = frequency deviation for mark or space

f\_ = signalling frequency

Proper solution of this equation requires knowledge of the allowed deviation. Studies of frequency deviation have been made through a study of the modulation index. Indices of one-half and one have been found particularly useful for square wave keying. An index of one-half uses all the signal power from the transmitter to carry information, but there is no discrete power at any one frequency and synchronization is difficult. When an index of one is used there are discrete spectral lines at the upper and lower shift frequencies, but they also take one-half of the signal power and carry no message information.<sup>20</sup>

...(76)

In actual message transmission random pulses rather than square wave keying will be useful. In this case the spectral density will vary from those described above.<sup>23</sup> Here the choice of a modulation index of one for phase continuous binary keying appears to be optimum.

The application of FM to high power VLF transmitters has been successfully accomplished only within the last 20 years.<sup>24</sup> Early studies in keying low frequency transmitters using reactance tubes were carried out at Westinghouse Corporation in early 1950. An experimental FSK system using a baud rate of 50 with a modulation index of one was operated between San Diego, California and Leesburg, Virginia with a radiated power of one kilowatt in the early 1960's.<sup>21</sup>

One difficulty in using FSK at VLF is the small frequency shift (25 Hz for a modulation index of one for the 67 WPM discussion above) as opposed to the more common 850 Hz shift in the HF region.

Such small shifts can be successfully detected as reported in one study which used only 18 Hz for 100 WPM operation.<sup>25</sup>

## E. Broadbanding

Narrow bandwidth of VLF aerials is the major limitation to high speed information transmission. The impedance matching and transformer circuits have been shown to be practically lossless, and since the bandwidth (and Q) of the aerial is directly dependent upon the resistance in the circuit, an increase in resistance will increase the bandwidth. At the same time, however, there is a corresponding decrease in system efficiency.

A direct attack on the problem would be to place a fixed, high wattage resistance across the parallel circuit, as shown in Figure 29. The new resistance will lower the circuit Q and increase losses. The variable inductance may be used to vary the influence of the resistor on the circuit, giving the effect of a variable resistance.

In practice such a broadbanding resistor would be of comparatively high value and with the series inductor set at its full value have only a slight effect on the original antenna circuit. Since the antenna circuit in general would already have been designed, the best position would be between the aerial coupling unit (helix system) and transmission line impedance matching network. Then the latter network could be slightly retuned to maintain a proper input impedance. See Appendix A.

A second approach is more sophisticated and will not introduce as much loss (in theory no loss at all!) but calls for special devices. The idea is to automatically tune the aerial system to resonance in synchronism with the information signal. Under normal conditions the aerial has a very sharp response curve with 3 db points less than 50 Hertz



Figure 29. Additional Resistance For More Bandwidth



Figure 30. Switchable Reactance For Broadbanding

either side of the center frequency. If a bandwidth of 150 Hertz was desired, and FSK was used, then in theory the antenna could first be tuned for operation 75 Hz below the center frequency; then with a new reactance in the circuit be retuned to resonance 75 Hz above the center frequency. If the new reactance was switched at the same rate as the FSK, then at both mark and space frequencies the aerial would be resonant.

Figure 30 shows this schematically, using a reactance jX in parallel with the tuned circuit. At the lower frequency  $f_1$  the basic circuit components are used; at the high end  $(f_2)$  the new reactance is added and it is tuned for circuit resonance at this frequency.

In 1954 Jacob and Brauch put forth the idea of using a saturable reactor for the switched tuning element.<sup>26</sup> An experimental model of such a device was found to dissipate about 20 KW when full frequency shift was employed using a 500 KW transmitter. Special modulation equipment was required to obtain the desired results, and the saturable reactor itself measured over 1,400 cubic feet and weighed about 23 tons. Operation with Teletype FSK was successful using a 100 Hertz shift at 19 kHz.

Wolff reported in 1957 on a variation of the method above, using a variable capacitance as the switched reactance. Vacuum tubes were keyed with the Teletype signal and effectively varied the reactance. This method was applied to a 10 KW transmitter operating at 28.5 kHz using a shift of 100 Hz. However, modulator tubes for high power (above 10 KW) VLF operation were not available.<sup>27</sup>

More recently the problem of transients in the tuning arrangements above have been considered. Galejs points out that in an LC

resonant circuit the energy is alternately stored in the inductive and then the capacitive elements. If one of the elements (or part of that element) is switched out of the circuit at the instant it contains all the energy available, there will be no transients. The element may then be switched back into the circuit when the other reactance has again reached a zero energy level. Although the author discusses FSK applications at VLF and variation in parameter values, switching timing and the switches themselves, there appear to be many practical problems which would require a great deal of study.<sup>28, 29</sup>

The ideas expressed by Wolff and Jacob generated a great deal of thought in the area of reactance variation for increasing bandwidths in linear circuits. The use of principles normally associated with servomechanisms and magnetic devices were applied to the problem by Johannessen in 1964.<sup>30</sup> He suggested the use of two non-linear inductors connected in series with the VLF aerial tuning coil. The average inductance of these devices is caused to vary in accordance with the keying signal, through the use of a feedback signal derived from the phase difference between the antenna current and transmitter voltage. No computations regarding the size of the non-linear inductors are given, but additional equipment for phase detection and inductor control are required. The author states that a small-signal transient analysis shows the system to be limited to a new total bandwidth equal to one-fourth the bandwidth of the power amplifier which drives the inductors. This, however, should not pose any real problems at the VLF frequencies where the system bandwidths are relatively small.

The most promising idea for increasing aerial bandwidth was presented by Zverev and Blinchikoff in 1964.<sup>31</sup> Here the aerial radiation

resistance is considered as a resistive load and the transmitter as a source with series resistance. The desired bandwidth is determined as part of an overall frequency response and by network synthesis a circuit having the desired Butterworth or Chebyshev response is designed. The aerial reactance is then included in the analysis and combined with additional components to complete the bandpass filter network. The article gives numeric tables for circuit design including response time, ripple and bandwidth. When a two-pole Chebyshev filter is designed (which is recommended as the most economical) the resulting two resonant peaks may be adjusted to coincide with the mark and space frequencies for FSK Teletype transmission.

The example filter included by the authors was for pulse or square wave modulation and was built as a simple Tee network. Although impedance transformation was not a primary concern in this case, it could perhaps be included in a more thorough analysis, or else a separate wideband impedance matching network could be added.

When a VLF aerial is connected to a transmitter an additional resistance will be placed in the circuit since the power amplifier may be represented as a zero-impedance source in series with its internal resistance. Thus there will be an increase in bandwidth. Since power amplifiers for VLF are usually operated Class C, the exact value for the generator resistance is difficult to determine. It has been suggested that as a rough guideline the bandwidth of the antenna system can be increased by an amount found by multiplying the present bandwidth by the reciprocal of the Class C amplifier efficiency. If this procedure proves correct the tubes could be operated at lower efficiencies and the increased bandwidth achieved. This method is

expensive in terms of power dissipation and overall system efficiency, but an increase of approximately 12% can be achieved if the amplifiers operate at their nominal 80% efficiency.

In summary, some form of broadbanding for the normal VLF antenna system is required if high speed (wide bandwidth) information transmission is desired. This may be done by brute force -- the introduction of additional resistance into the circuit. Less power will be lost if a variable reactance is switched into the aerial circuit synchronized to the signalling information to tune the aerial to the separate mark and space frequencies. Transients are a problem here. The most potentially beneficial broadbanding method is to synthesize a bandpass filter having the desired frequency response. Regardless of which method is used, an increase in bandwidth of the overall system (but not the aerial itself) will be provided by the source resistance of the power amplifier tubes.

### III. SYSTEM USED AT NORTHWEST CAPE, AUSTRALIA

In the Basic Principles section VLF aerials, matching and coupling networks, signalling methods and bandwidth widening techniques were discussed. For any particular VLF installation all of these areas will be important, but certain areas will vary among installations. In the writing of a computer program for VLF systems numerical values must be used, at least in the checkout phase of the program.

Rather extensive data on existing U. S. Navy VLF stations, as of 1963, has been compiled.<sup>1</sup> However, the installation at Northwest Cape, Australia was under actual construction during the time this thesis was being written, and could be expected to incorporate the latest techniques in the VLF art. Additionally, William E. Norris, Professor of Electrical Engineering, Naval Postgraduate School, was assigned to the installation and could provide up-to-date information. Field engineers were making minor corrections and numerous measurements daily, so a great quantity of detailed information was available. For these reasons, the Northwest Cape installation was chosen as the model for the computer program.

The transmitter-antenna system was designed to operate in the frequency range from 15.5 kHz to 30 kHz, with a radiated power of 1,000 KW. Major signalling is by 50 baud Teletype, using FSK with a shift of 25 Hz and a modulation index of one. CW operation would be available on an emergency basis. The assigned operating frequency is 15.5 kHz.

Table VI shows the major system parameters of the installation, and Figures 32 through 37 show the general schematics of the various units.

# TABLE VI

DESIGN PARAMETERS FOR NORTHWEST CAPE, AUSTRALIA

General	Operating Frequency	15.5 kHz		
	Radiated Power	1,000 KW		
Aerial	Vertical monopole, with top-hat loading			
	Effective height	628 feet		
	Required efficiency	50%		
	Static Capacitance	0.164 µfd		
	Downlead inductance	139.0 µh		
	Radiation resistance	0.154 ohms		
	Loss resistance	0.154 ohms 33.3 kHz		
	Self-resonance frequency			
	Aerial bandwidth	38.125 Hz		
	Bandwidth (with loss)	76.250 Hz		
	Antenna current (approx.)	2550 amps		
Helix	Tuning Variometer	484 μh		
	Coupling Variometer	20 µh		
	Input impedance (at resonance)	12.5 ohms		
Bandwidth	Resistor	33.25 ohms		
Resistor	Maximum dissipation	500 KW		
	Series Variometer tuning	200 – 800 µh		

TABLE VI (continued)

Тее	Input impedan	ice	20.0 ohms		
	Output impeda	ince	12.5 ohms		
	Leg One Ind	uctor	25 - 275 μh		
	Leg Two Cap	acitor	.066 µfd		
	Leg Three Ind	uctor	50 - 300 µh		
Transformers	Output impeda	nce	20 ohms		
	Primary windi	ng	430 µh		
	Primary capac	itor	0.25 µfd		
	Secondary ind with number o	Secondary inductance and capacitor setting with number of units being used.			
	One unit	Inductance	71.5 µh		
		Capacitance	1.475 µfd		
		k	0.522		
	Two units	Inductance	49.0 µh		
		Capacitance	1.076 µfđ		
		k	0.474		
	Three units	Inductance	35.3 µh		
		Capacitance	0.996 µfd		
		k	0.444		
	Four units	Inductance	27.0 µh		
		Capacitance	0.976 µfd		
		k	0.508		
Power	Four each AN/FRT-67 power amplifiers				
Amplifiers	rated at (eac	500 KW			
	Desired plate-to-plate load		420 ohms		
Signalling	Teletype		50 baud		
	FSK, modulati	on index	1.0		
	Required mini	mum bandwidth	100 Hz		
	CW for emergency use				





Fir rå 33. Helix Coupling Network



Figure 34. Bandwidth Resistor Network



Figure 35. Tee Impedance Matching Network



Figure 36. Transformer Coupling

te: Transformer secondary varies
with number of power amplifiers
on the line.



## A. Outline

A program written for a high speed digital computer can be made to carry out simple tasks in an extremely short time. By combining a number of simple steps a more complicated operation may be performed. When using a specialized program for aid in designing a VLF antenna system some basic assumptions are made and the various parameter values may be permuted to observe the effect on the system.

The computer program written for this thesis (VLFANT) used the installation at Northwest Cape, Australia for the basic model. Thus the output or "results" apply only to this particular facility. However, VLFANT is sufficiently general that component values may be rapidly changed and the network may be modified with relative ease. In fact, a number of existing antenna systems can be run with this program by merely modifying aerial parameter values.

VLFANT is written in FORTRAN IV language for use on an IBM System/360 high speed digital computer. Full use is made of complex variables. The circuit under study is broken into five major sections: the aerial, the helix matching circuit, the bandwidth resistor circuit, the Tee matching circuit, and the power amplifiers-transformers coupling circuits. Each of the major circuits is put into a separate subprogram so that modifications may be made without effecting the remainder of the program.

In addition to the five Major Subprograms above, the Main program acts as the control section. It "calls" the Major and Minor Subprograms (discussed on the next page) and by making simple changes in Main the program output may be varied to give the designer precisely

what he wants each time the program is run. Also, the entire program except for Main may be put into "object Deck Format" which means the computer cards are changed from their original FORTRAN IV language into machine language. Then when the complete program is run only the Main program needs to be "compiled" (changed into machine language) yielding an important savings in computer time.

Six Minor Subprograms are included in VLFANT. These sections operate on the major circuit sections by putting them into the network analysis "ABCD" matrices, determining network response, variation in circuit Q, currents, voltages, power and phase relationships throughout the networks as a function of frequency. This data may then be displayed in a number of ways, including graphically from the standard paper printer unit. Detailed descriptions of each of these sections are given below.

Seven Accessory Subprograms are included. They are used by the subprograms above to perform repetitive mathematical manipulations, supply tuning limits for variable components, perform operations on matrices, and plot output data generated by other subprograms. They are described in detail later in this section.

For flexibility variable names in VLFANT were chosen to match normal circuit theory as closely as possible within the limits of the FORTRAN IV language. A great emphasis was placed on indexing these variables so that new circuits could be substituted without creating numerous program corrections. Figure 38 shows with block diagrams the basic designation of the antenna system variables. Note that each of the five major circuits is numbered so that all measurements and parameter values dealing with a specific section will have an index number



Figure 38. Major Circuit Sections



Figure 39. Aerial Network

corresponding to that block. Each block is further divided into an input and output designation.

As an example of the indexing, Figure 39 shows the Aerial circuit with components and circuit parameters indicated as they are used in VLFANT. Since this block number is five, all variables have a "5" as the first index. Currents, voltages, and so forth dealing with the input have a "1" as the second index; at the output (in this case the radiation resistance) the index is "2." Reactive elements are numbered sequentially, and reactance values follow the same notation. [XL(5,1) is the complex impedance of COIL(5,1) at the designated frequency of operation, i.e., jwL.] Resistances are the only exception to the indexing terminology; since there are only three in the entire antenna system they are given descriptive names.

A certain amount of duplication occurs with this indexing system. At the connection between the Tee network and Bandwidth resistor blocks, for example, VOLTS(2,2) and VOLTS(3,1) are equal, and so are AMPS(2,2) and AMPS(3,1). Flexibility is maintained, since in one study it may be of interest to allow different power levels to be radiated by the aerial and determine the voltage and current levels required at the bandwidth resistor, in which case the Tee network is not of immediate concern. Or the effect of the Tee network may be under study assuming a fixed voltage at its input and a constant resistance load, in which case none of the other circuit blocks are required.

Further network manipulation is possible since the bandwidth resistor may be removed and a transmission line inserted, or the Tee network might be replaced by a Pi network. Providing the same notation is followed, these changes may be readily accomplished.

A great deal of use was made of "COMMON" statements throughout the program. These statements allow a block of storage in the memory cells to be used in common by many subprograms, substantially reducing the overall storage space required. Many terms are common to the majority of subprograms, such as VOLTS(a,b) and ZTOTAL(a,b), and via Common they are always available when needed. Additionally, variables in Common do not need to be handled through a calling statement when using a particular subprogram, so simplicity in use is achieved.

General operation of VLFANT is divided into two major areas: the first based on an impedance analysis and the second on a voltagecurrent analysis.

Beginning with the given aerial component values and radiated power, the entire system is analyzed to find the impedance values at the input to each of the sections at the specified operating frequency. Impedance values for the helix and Tee networks are already specified, so the inductance and capacitance settings required are determined. The plate-to-plate resistances for one, two, three, and four power amplifiers are the end result of this part of the program.

When determining values for variable elements a notice is given if the required setting is outside the tuning range. Computation continues with the <u>computed</u> value, however, so that later modifications of the tuning range may be made if desired.

With values for all components known, the program then uses the radiated power and radiation resistance to determine the "load" voltage and current. Through the use of "ABCD" matrices the corresponding voltages, currents, power and phase relations are determined

for each network working from the aerial to the power amplifiers. Impedances using Ohm's Law are also computed, as a check on the values previously determined.

At this point the frequency may be varied symmetrically on either side of the operating frequency by using subprogram DELTA. Impedance variations are determined for each circuit block, as are voltage, current and power levels, based on the plate voltage for the power amplifiers determined above being considered constant. The above data is presented in tabular form; it may also be graphed by calling the appropriate subprogram.
MAIN:

This is the major control section for VLFANT. All components are generally defined here: values for all inductors and capacitors, resistances, radiated power, desired impedance levels (such as at input to helix, at both input and output of the impedance matching network, power amplifier plate-to-plate, and bandwidth resistor), tuning ranges for all variable components, breakdown voltage levels, and so forth. Additionally all subprograms are called from MAIN, so just how the program is used can be determined here.

Other parameters are also defined, such as the operating frequency, the number of steps of each side of center to be taken when the frequency response is run, the size of each step, whether or not the bandwidth resistor is to be used in the analysis, and so forth.

Once the overall program is set up for a particular antenna system many parameters will have been roughly determined. For example, the tuning ranges for the reactances in the Tee network can be determined by hand, while the precise setting will be done by the computer. Then a simplication is possible by putting these "constants" into the BLOCK DATA program described below. This leaves MAIN free to carry out its main function: that of controlling the overall program.

An example of one MAIN program is included in Appendix B.

#### PRINT:

This subprogram supplies the first part of the computer program output. It prints the designated center frequency and desired radiated power. For the aerial the predetermined radiation and loss resistances and top-hat capacitance and down-lead inductance are presented. In the

bandwidth resistance circuit the value and maximum power dissipation of the resistor are printed as well as the tuning range of the variable inductor. Minimum and maximum values for the coils and capacitors in the Tee network are then printed. For the toroidal transformers the primary inductance is given, and then the coupling coefficient and secondary inductance of each transformer when used alone or as a part of a two, three, or four unit combination.

#### AERIAL:

This subprogram is concerned only with the aerial system, with the radiation resistance considered as the output parameter or load. The down-lead inductance and top-hat capacitance are the reactive elements, the radiation and loss resistances completing the circuit. All values are given from the initial conditions specified in the main program. The aerial self-resonance frequency, the "Q" and bandwidth (using only the radiation resistance) and the efficiency are computed and printed out. With a desired radiated power (from the main program) the required current is found as are the voltage across the radiation resistance and the "top-hat" and "base" voltages, those which appear across the reactive elements. The voltage across and current through the radiation resistance, VOLTS(5,2) and AMPS(5,2) are used as references for later voltage-current manipulations. The "output" of this program is the impedance seen at the terminals, ZTOTAL(5,1).

See Figure 39 for the circuit and terms used in this subprogram. HELIX:

This subprogram uses the impedance of the aerial system as its load and ZIN as the desired impedance at its output terminals. The

program may use either of two methods to determine the values for the tuning and series variometers.

Method One considers the entire helix coil as the inductance used to resonate the aerial circuit [cancelling out the reactive part of ZTOTAL(5,1)] and then finds the tap point to give a resistive load of ZIN.

Method Two uses an analytical expression where the coil is tapped so that the input impedance will be purely resistive with a magnitude equal to ZIN. Either method may be selected from the main program; the values for the series and tuning variometers are given in printed form, and the "result" is ZTOTAL(4,1).

See Figure 40 for the circuit and terms used in this subprogram.

#### TEE:

Values for the legs of the Teennetwork are chosen using the principle of a quarter wave transmission line, that is, the square root of the product of ZIN and ZOUT. The legs are numbered in accordance with Figure 35. Settings for the legs are easily determined.

Following determination of component values the tuning ranges for each component is checked to see if any out-of-range values have been selected, and, if so, the printout indicates whether the tuning is high or low and the value of the limit which has been exceeded.

Next the completed network is connected with the helix-aerial combination as a load, and the input impedance ZTOTAL(2,1) computed.

See Figure 41 for the circuit and terms used in this subprogram.



Figure 40. Helix Network



Figure 41. Tee Network

TANK:

This subprogram computes the plate-to-plate impedance for the power amplifier tubes using one, two, three, and four separate power amplifiers.

For a single power amplifier the impedance seen at the input to the Tee network is used as the load. Combined with the transformer, a single complex series impedance is computed. This is transformed to a parallel impedance, and the primary capacitive reactance added. The resulting impedance is that seen plate-to-plate.

When two or more amplifier units are used, it is necessary to determine the effect of the modified load as seen by each unit. Using the procedure discussed in the theory section, the primary impedance of each transformer is found for the various combinations. As above when using only one unit, a value for the plate-to-plate impedance is determined.

The printout shows the inductance value of the transformer secondary and mutual inductances, as well as equivalent primary impedance and resulting plate-to-plate load for use of one, two, three, and four power amplifiers.

See Figure 42 for the circuit and terms used in this subprogram.

#### **RESIST:**

This subprogram is used to determine the effect on the "Q" of the antenna system of the resonant frequency as the coupling coil is set at its high and low values. The resulting minimum and maximum "Q" are then printed out. Provisions are made to use the subprogram with an arbitrarily selected value for the coil; the resultant "Q" is computed and sent to the main program.

See Figure 43 for the circuit and terms used in this subprogram.



Figure 42. Power Amplifier/Transformer Network





MATRIX:

Four terminal networks may be described by using complex two-by-two "ABCD" matrices, where the input voltage and current are found by multiplying the output terminal voltage and current by the ABCD matrix. If several networks are cascaded, then the input voltage and current parameters of the overall network may be found by multiplying the load voltage and current by the individual network ABCD matrices in sequence. If a particular network is removed from the overall circuit, then by merely leaving out its matrix the circuit may be re-analyzed.

In the Matrix subprogram each section of the antenna network is described in terms of its ABCD matrix. Individual matrices are written for one, two, three, and four power amplifier transformers. Values for all components are either given initially or computed in the subprograms described above.

Two matrices are developed for each section of the network if required, so that either set of terminals may be used for the input or output. In the aerial matrix, the radiation resistance is considered as the "load" or output impedance.

Frequency is the major variable in this subprogram and each matrix can be labelled and printed out if desired; the results are always returned to the main program.

# DELTA:

This subprogram is used to determine the impedance of the various parts of the antenna system as a function of frequency. Component values are those computed at the center frequency. Deviations

from the center frequency are made equally both above and below; the number of steps (up to 240) and the increments of each step are determined by the user in the main program. Impedances at the aerial, helix, bandwidth resistor and Tee network are found at each frequency and put into arrays. Either of the two configurations for the helix matching systems may be used at the will of the user. Although values for the bandwidth resistor connected to the helix are computed, the user may choose to compute the Tee network response by considering the resistor as removed from the circuit.

In the TANK subprogram values were found for the secondary capacitor which would give a pure resistance load to the power transformer at resonance. In this subprogram these predetermined settings are used when two, three, or four units are put on the line. Then the plate-to-plate impedance is determined as a function of frequency when any combination of power amplifiers is used. These values are also put into array form in the subprogram.

An additional impedance array is computed and sent to the main program, but is not available for printed output in the subprogram. This is the sum of the aerial impedance and the reactance of the series variometer of the helix circuit. Defined as ZTOWR in the program, it will be used later to determine "antenna" current.

Output from this subprogram may be used in several ways. The impedance arrays, frequency array, and normallized frequency array (where the center frequency is set equal to zero) are all sent to the main program for further use. The printout of results is in tabular form: frequency and the network impedances in one table, and frequency and the transformer results in a second table. These printed results

may be omitted entirely, both used, or either one used at the discretion of the user.

#### QVARY:

This subprogram determines the variation of the aerial as a function of frequency over the VLF band from 10 to 30 kHz, in 500 Hz increments. First the Q and bandwidth (in Hz) for the aerial alone are computed. Then the loss resistance is included and the Q and bandwidth again computed under this "loaded" condition. The frequency and two pairs of computations are put into array form and returned to the main program.

It should be noted that the radiation resistance and reactive elements of the aerial used in this subprogram are those used in the main program. They are generally computed or measured at the frequency where operation is contemplated, and will vary with frequency, but are assumed constant here for purposes of examination over the VLF band.

The results may be printed in tabular form or surpressed if so desired by the user.

#### ANTAMP:

This subprogram will determine the change in various currents in the antenna system as a function of frequency. For use here, the voltage on the plates of the power amplifier tubes when full rated power is being radiated is used as a reference. (The particular voltage selected will depend upon whether one, two, three, or four units are on the line.) It is assumed here that the voltage will remain constant regardless of the frequency, a condition which is not in fact true, but may be logically made. (See the theory section under Transformer Coupling.)

The frequency is allowed to deviate equally both above and below the center frequency; the number of steps and the increments are those chosen when subprogram DELTA was called.

At each frequency, the current drawn from the power amplifier tubes is determined by dividing the plate voltage by the transformer impedance determined in the DELTA subprogram array for the corresponding frequency. The MATRIX subprogram now is used, at the chosen frequency, to compute the voltage at the output terminals of the transformers; this is divided by the impedance presented by the Tee network. At the helix network the voltage at its input terminals is divided by the sum of the aerial impedance and the reactance of the series variometer (ZTOWR - discussed above). This is defined as the "antenna" current. At the radiation resistance in the aerial circuit both the current flowing through it and the power across it are computed.

Thus this subprogram, by assuming a constant voltage on the plates of the power amplifiers recompute the voltage and currents at each frequency, as well as the output power.

The results, in array form, are sent to the MAIN program, and may be printed out in tabular form. Two tables may be printed, omitted, or either selected for output. The first table includes "antenna," helix, Tee, bandwidth resistor current and frequency; the second has transformer, aerial, and radiation resistance currents, as well as . radiated power and frequency.

GRAPH:

This subprogram is used to graphically display data which has been previously computed, and possibly presented in tabular form. For

example, it may be of interest to know the variation of the helix and Tee impedance as a function of frequency. Since these values are complex arrays, new arrays consisting of the real and imaginary parts are generated. Then this information may be displayed graphically by use of PTPLOT, using a separate plot for each parameter. The independent variable is the normallized frequency array since deviation from the center frequency is of prime interest.

If desired, two or more arrays may be combined into a single plot, such as the real and reactive portions of a complex impedance, and presented using PLOTIT. This procedure presents both parts of the impedance on the same scale, which aids in determining relative changes as frequency is varied.

# ABCD:

This subprogram makes use of the ABCD matrices for the different networks in the antenna system. The desired radiated power used in the AERIAL subprogram to determine the voltage across and the current through the radiation resistance, [defined by the reference VOLTS(5,2) and AMPS (5,2)], is the basic reference.

Subprogram MATRIX is called using the center frequency, and each of the voltages and currents for the various networks is found by appropriate multiplication of matrices. Then subprogram COMP is used to determine the impedance, phase angle, and power at each network, down to the input to the Tee network. This data is then printed in sequence starting at the radiation resistance.

Next the four different transformer combinations are connected to the Tee network. The parameters of voltage, current, impedance, phase angle, and power are determined both at the input to the

transformers and with the primary tuning capacitor connected, for all four combinations.

# D. Accessory Programs

These programs are incidental to the main program in that they are used for setting initial conditions, doing special arithmetic manipulations, or displaying certain data. No direct printed output is available from these programs unless specifically noted; the data is used in intermediate steps of various subprograms.

# BLOCK DATA

This is a special program used to initialize many secondary variables. In particular, the tuning limits for variable inductors and capacitors are inserted here since they will be "constants" for a given system.

#### FACT1(A)

This subprogram computes the sum of A squared plus one, which often arises in converting from series to parallel circuits and vice versa.

# FACT2(A)

This subprogram computes the quotient of A squared plus one quantity divided by A squared. This is also used in series-parallel transformations.

#### COMP

This subprogram is called with a complex voltage and current. If either is zero a statement is printed so indicating; otherwise, the complex power and impedance are computed, as is the impedance phase angle, using current as reference.

This subprogram is called with complex matrices (arrays), up to order 9 by 9. If the orders are acceptable for multiplication (a notice is printed if they are not), the resulting product matrix is sent back to the main program.

# PTPLOT

This subprogram is a plotting routine using the computer page printer and paper for output. With two given, equally dimensioned arrays of real numbers, one is plotted against the second, using 90 horizontal spaces on the output paper. The values of the two arrays corresponding to each plotted point are printed. The two extreme values of the dependent variable are printed, and titling for the column listing of the variables (such as "Frequency" for the independent and "Response" for the dependent) is available. If either array passes through zero value an appropriate axis will be printed. The length of the printout (number of independent points plotted) is limited solely by the storage size of the computer.

# PLOTIT

This subprogram will plot up to nine dependent arrays of real numbers against one independent array. Basically an extended form of PTPLOT above, this routine uses a horizontal field of 100 points on the page printer, since only the independent variable is specifically listed. A common scale for the dependent variables is used (allowing comparison of various responses) with values marked at the beginning and at 25% locations across the bottom of the plot. Axes, like those in PTPLOT, are available. The independent array may be sorted into

ascending order if desired. Titling is available, such that each curve may be labelled along with its "mark" on the plot. The total number of independent variable points which may be plotted depends on the number of dependent arrays used. All arrays (including the independent) are formed into a single array within the program, which is limited to 1,000 points. Thus three dependent arrays of length 250 may be used, or nine dependent arrays, each of size 100.

#### E. Variables Used in VLFANT

The choice of variables for VLFANT was made with quick recognition as the main objective. Since names are limited to six alphanumeric characters, in some cases a compromise was necessary. (This is the reason "aerial" is used so much in place of the more common "antenna").

All variables are single precision (16 bits) rather than double precision (32 bits). Although there is some loss in accuracy since numbers of quite different magnitudes are multiplied together (for example inductive values with orders of magnitude of  $10^{-6}$  are multiplied by the radian frequency with order of magnitude of  $10^{5}$ ) the loss is in the least significant digits and is not felt to warrant the use of double precision.

Impedances are all complex, with the exception of pure resistances when they are "given" variables. The complex "s," from Laplace analysis, is used extensively.

Table VII lists the most important variables. Of particular interest are those which must be supplied by the program user, since it is here that changes in the system must be made. A number of

variables not listed will be found in VLFANT, but these are generally used for intermediate steps and are of major concern only when making a detailed study of the programs.

# TABLE VII

# VARIABLES USED IN VLFANT

Term	Type R - Real C - Complex	Defined By U - User P - Program	Units	Comments
CENFCY	R	U	cycles	Center (operating) frequency
WATTS	R	U	watts	Desired radiated power at center frequency
RAD	R	U	ohms	Aerial radiation resistance
RAS	R	U	ohms	Total aerial resistance (radiation plus loss)
CAP(5,1)	R	U	farads	Aerial static capacitance
COIL(5,1)	R	U	henrys	Aerial inductance
ZOUT	R	U	ohms	Helix input impedance at operating frequency
RBW	R	U	ohms	Bandwidth resistor resistance
PRBW	R	U	watts	Bandwidth resistor dissipation
ZIN	R	U	ohms	Tee input impedance at operating frequency
RBWCH	R	U	henrys	Bandwidth system vario- meter - upper limit
RBWCL	R	U	henrys	Bandwidth system vario- meter - lower limit
CP21H	R	U	farads	Transformer Cap(1,2)
CP21L	R	U	farads	Secondary Cap(1,2) lower limit
СР23Н	R	U	farads	Tee Leg two ,Cap(2,3) upper limit
CP23L	R	U	farads	Cap(2,3) lower limit

CL21H	R	U	henrys	Tee Leg Coil(2,1) one upper limit
CL21L	R	U	henrys	Coil(2,1) lower limit
CL22H	R	υ	henrys	Leg Coil(2,2) two upper limit
CL22L	R	U	henrys	Coil(2,2) lower limit
COIL(2,1)	R	U	henrys	Tee Leg one Value for coil in Tee section
COIL(1,1)	R	U	henrys	Inductance for primary of each transformer
CLP(a)	R	U	-	Transformer coupling coefficient (a goes from 1-4)
TAP(a)	R	U	henrys	Transformer secondary winding (a goes from 1-4)
RPTP	R	U	ohms	Power amplifier plate- to-plate resistance
CAP(1,1)	R	Ŭ	farads	Transformer primary circuit capacitance
COIL(3,1)	R	U	henrys	Bandwidth resistor coupling variometer
K	R	U	-	Number of steps in fre- quency deviation program
DEL	R	U	-	Size of each step in frequency deviation
OMEGA	R	Р	radians/ sec.	Frequency in radians
S	С	Р	radians/ sec.	Complex operator, fre- quency in radians
VOLTS(a,b)	C	Р	volts	Voltages ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)
AMPS(a,b)	С	Р	amperes	Currents ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)

ZTOTAL(a,b)	С	Р	ohms	Impedance ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)
YTOTAL(a,b)	С	Р	mhos	Admittances ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)
POWER(a,b)	С	Р	watts	Power ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)
PHASE(a,b)	С	Р	degrees (rad.)	Phase angle ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)
COIL(a,b)	R	Р	henrys	Inductors ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)
CAP(a,b)	R	Р	farads	Capacitors ("a" gives block, "b" input or output)
XL(a,b)	С	Р	ohms	Inductive reactance (same indexing as above)
XC(a,b)	С	Р	ohms	Capacitive reactance (same indexing as above)
ZONE	С	P	ohms	Tee Leg one impedance
ZTWO	С	Р	ohms	Leg two impedance
ZTHREE	С	Р	ohms	Leg: three impedance
ZANT(L)	С	Р	ohms	Aerial input impedance in frequency deviation run
ZHELIX(L)	С	Р	ohms	Helix input impedance in frequency deviation run
ZRES(L)	С	Р	ohms	Bandwidth circuit impedance in frequency deviation run
ZTEE(L)	С	Р	ohms	Tee input impedance in frequency deviation run
ZTOWR(L)	С	Р	ohms	Aerial and Coil(4,2) impedance in frequency deviation
QNOW	R	Р	-	Q of aerial circuit including loss resistance

SELRES	R	Р	cycles	Aerial self-resonant frequency
XFMR1(L)	С	Р	ohms	Transformer one unit, primary impedance, frequency run
XFMR2(L)	С	Р	ohms	Transformer two units, primary impedance, frequency run
XFMR3(L)	С	Р	ohms	Transformer three units, primary impedance, frequency run
XFMR4(L)	С	Р	ohms	Transformer four units, primary impedance, frequency run
CURANT (L)	С	Р	amperes	Aerial Current during frequency response run
CURTWR(L)	С	Р	amperes	Current through ZTOWER(L) frequency run
CURHEL(L)	С	Р	amperes	Helix current, frequency run
CURRES(L)	С	Р	amperes	Bandwidth resistor current, frequency run
CURTEE(L)	С	Р	amperes	Tee network current, frequency run
CURXL(L)	С	Р	amperes	Transformer one unit, primary current, frequency run
CURX2(L)	С	Р	amperes	Transformer two units, primary current, frequency run
CURX3(L)	С	Р	amperes	Transformer three units, primary current, frequency run
CURX4(L)	С	Р	amperes	Transformer four units, primary current, frequency run
A(c,d)	С	Р	-	Aerial, forward, ABCD matrix
B(c,d)	С	· P	-	Helix, forward, ABCD matrix
C(c,d)	С	Р	-	Bandwidth resistor, forward, ABCD matrix
	1	1	1	

D(c,d)	С	Р	—	Tee, forward, ABCD matrix
E(c,d)	С	Р	-	Transformer one, forward, ABCD matrix
F(c,d)	С	Р	· _	Transformer one, reverse, ABCD matrix
G(c,d)	С	Р		Tee reverse, ABCD matrix
0(c,d)	С	Р	_	Helix reverse, ABCD matrix
P(c,d)	С	Р	-	Aerial reverse, ABCD matrix
R(c,d)	С	Р	-	Transformer two, forward, ABCD matrix
T(c,d)	С	Р	-	Transformer two, reverse, ABCD matrix
U(c,d)	С	Р	-	Transformer three, forward, ABCD matrix
V(c,d)	С	Р	-	Transformer three, reverse, ABCD matrix
W(c,d)	С	Р	-	Transformer four, forward, ABCD matrix
X(c,d)	С	Р	-	Transformer four, reverse, ABCD matrix
EP(c,d)	С	Р	-	Amplifier one, forward, ABCD matrix
RP(c,d)	С	Р	-	Amplifier two, forward, ABCD matrix
UP(c,d)	С	Р	_	Amplifier three, forward, ABCD matrix
WP(c,d)	С	Р	-	Amplifier four, forward, ABCD matrix
CURRAD(L)	С	Р	amperes	Current through radia- tion resistance, frequency run
PWROUT(L)	С	Р	watts	Power across radiation resistance, freq, run
ZTANK(a)	С	Ρ	ohms	Transformer secondary impedance with power amplifiers connected (a goes 1-4)

120 -

#### V. Discussion

The construction of an antenna system for a high power Very Low Frequency transmitter station is an extensive undertaking. The physical structure is usually very large (but electrically small), and is a compromise from the very beginning. Aerial deficiencies cannot be completely overcome, but by a prudent choice of coupling and matching system full use may be made of the finally accepted system design.

In the past much use has been made of basic theoretical studies followed by modeling studies of the most promising designs. Useful information from these tests has been accurate enough to be used in the final design and also to develop the necessary coupling and matching networks.

A network analysis for the complete system is made first at the limits of the operating frequency range to determine extremes for tunable components. A more detailed analysis is then carried out for the operating frequency. If the transmitted waveform involves frequency deviation (such as with FSK), the antenna system is analyzed at the frequencies corresponding to the maximum deviation encountered. Within this range intermediate values may be obtained by reference to a set of universal curves for the type of circuit involved.

If the antenna system at Northwest Cape is used as an example, the circuit may be analyzed at resonance in terms of input and output parameters at the various network blocks in a relatively short time. A more detailed analysis, such as computation of voltage, current, and power dissipated for each of the individual elements, will take much longer. The complexity increases if the frequency is allowed to vary from resonance, such that a complete analysis becomes very time

consuming. The choice of frequency steps when operating off resonance is important: is five cycles satisfactory or should increments of one-half cycle be used?

Parameter values in the field will vary from those measured in model studies or found from a purely theoretical analysis. If the antenna system is designed with such changes in mind (provisions for shunt capacitance, additional load inductance, variation in loss resistance, etc.), then the final adjustement in the field will be more easily accomplished. To be prepared for such variations a good theoretical analysis is necessary, which requires a rather large amount of time. If a major parameter such as the radiation resistance is found to have a different value in the field, however, the design analysis must be modified in whole, since it is no longer correct.

It is at this point that a computer program for network analysis can be extremely beneficial. A number of such programs have been developed in the last several years by IBM and others. The programs vary in their usefulness, since they are usually very general in design. Within the last year the Jet Propulsion Laboratory has introduced two programs for antenna design, but these are applicable only for specialized antennas at much higher frequencies. While most of these programs could be of some use, more beneficial results could be obtained from a program tailored to the VLF antenna system in particular. The author is aware of only one program other than VLFANT which is so designed, and this program (part of a larger study) apparently has never been publicly disclosed.<sup>33</sup>

VLFANT is a general program, tailored to meet the special networks encountered in a VLF antenna system. Once the initial parameters

have been defined (such as top-hat capacitance, radiated power, operating frequency, etc.), settings for tunable components may be determined. The frequency may then be varied at will, using increments of any desired size. Results are printed out and plotted to give added insight into system response if desired. Tuning ranges may be determined for variable components by choosing operating frequencies at the ends of the VLF band. Impedances, voltages, currents, phase angles, and so forth may be easily found. Any parameter may be modified and a new set of results will be available with only a few minutes of computer time.

One area not covered in VLFANT is the operation of the Class C power amplifiers normally encountered in high power transmitter. In the analysis the power amplifiers are considered to be constant voltage generators. This is a reasonable assumption at the resonant frequency where the antenna system load is designed to be a pure resistance, but off resonance the load will vary and include a reactance term, and the constant voltage assumption will no longer be valid. A thorough analysis is difficult, since the effective plate resistance (treated as the generator internal resistance) is a function of various parameters including the load impedance magnitude and phase angle.

One empirical study of this problem has been made, where an actual circuit was constructed and various measurements made and plotted. While this gives a good indication of Class C operation in general, it is good only for the tube and circuit tested, and does not yield a theoretical generalization of the problem.<sup>34</sup>

One student at the Naval Postgraduate School has written a computer program involving the use of Class C amplifiers as part of his thesis work on network synthesis using active devices.<sup>35</sup> Basic tube parameters (plate resistance, output capacitance, amplification factor, etc.) and maximum ratings (plate dissipation, grid drive, plate voltage, bias levels, etc.) are first entered for the tube under study. Then the minimum output power, tube efficiency and bandwidth desired at the operating frequency are entered. The program computes the necessary operating parameters to meet the required conditions, and indicates which requirement is critical if the desired conditions cannot be met. An LC tank circuit is the tube load and a value for the necessary inductance is given for desired operation.

While this program is not immediately usable with VLFANT, it appears to be an excellent starting point for the inclusion of Class C amplifier operation. A combination of the Class C amplifier-VLFANT programs should give all information necessary for a complete VLF transmitter-antenna system analysis.

A second area of interest is the transient response of the antenna system when fed with an FSK input. The ABCD matrices developed in VLFANT may be used to form a transfer function in a Laplace analysis of the circuit response, but due to limitations in time such a study was not undertaken in this thesis. It should be noted that in the circuit analysis reported in DECO Interim Report No. 34-C-6 an FSK signal using a modulation index of one produced less transient response degradation than did a similar signal with an index of one-half. In no case, however, did the transient distortion go to zero, so strict

attention should be paid to this subject since in systems operating near breakdown levels transients may cause severe damage to the system.

With respect to the Northwest Cape VLF station used as the model in VLFANT, the output data was found to be in excellent agreement with that measured by the facility itself. The numerical examples used in the Basic Principles section of this thesis was taken from original design information supplied while the program was being written. After the transmitter installation was completed, thorough site measurements were made and some of the parameters were found to be noticeably different from what had been predicted. In particular, the loss resistance was lower than first thought, and a 40 foot length of bus bar added some series inductance to the aerial. These variations were easily taken care of in VLFANT: the new data was entered into the program and with only a few minutes of computer time the new output data was available.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

The design and construction of VLF transmitting antenna systems is an involved and expensive project. With the increased importance of VLF communications in the past few years efficient and reliable operation of all components of such a system becomes even more important.

The best analysis possible of a proposed system must be achieved, and all data relevant to existing system should be up-to-date, so that maximum overall efficiency is attained.

Computer programs designed for use on high-speed digital computers help to achieve an accurate analysis of systems in a short time. VLFANT, designed expressly for VLF antenna systems, will provide information of relevance to this specialized field, and may be used from the beginning design stages through to a fully operational status. When tested with the Northwest Cape transmitter installation as a model, output data was in excellent agreement with data measurements made in the field. A large quantity of additional information, either too difficult or time consuming to actually measure, was also provided, which gives a deeper insight into the operation of the station.

The digital computer program developed here has only scratched the surface of the many advantages available in using such a tool for the optimum design of VLF antenna systems. Some areas requiring additional study have been pointed out (Class C amplifier operation, transient response, etc.), and by an extended use of a program such as VLFANT, other such areas may be determined.

Full application of such a program should be made, especially in the all important design stage, for as has been pointed out before there are two ways to design an antenna system: "(1) design the unit

properly, in a comfortable office during the day, or (2) slowly freeze, night after night, while trying to make a poor design work."<sup>15</sup>

- Mathews, F. S., A. D. Watt, and A. J. Farstad, "VLF Transmitting Antennas." DECO Report 34-A-1, Vol. II, Parts 1 and 2, revised (July 1963). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 85360.
- Moore, R. K., "Radio Communication in the Sea." <u>IEEEE Spectrum</u> (November 1967), pp. 42-51.
- 3. Greenwood, T. L., "The Radio Spectrum Below 550 kHz." <u>IEEE</u> Spectrum (March 1967), pp. 121-123.
- 4. Terman, F. E., <u>Radio Engineers</u> Handbook. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1943.
- 5. Walter, J. C., "Very Low Frequency Antennas Are Going Back to Work." Electronics (January 1965), pp. 80-86.
- King, R. W. P., H. W. Mimno, and A. H. Wing, <u>Transmission Lines</u>, <u>Antennas and Wave Guides</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1945.
- Tanner, R. L. and M. G. Andreasen, "Numerical Solution of Electromagnetic Problems." <u>IEEE Spectrum</u> (September 1967), pp. 53-61.
- Hobart, T. D., "Navy VLF Transmitter Will Radiate 1,000 KW." Electronics (December 1952), pp. 98-101.
- Brown, W. W., "Performance and Design Criteria for High Power V.L.F. Antennas." VLF Symposium, Paper #8.
- Wheeler, H. A., "Fundamental Relations in the Design of a VLF Transmitting Antenna." VLF Symposium, Paper #15.
- Ankers, R. E., "Transmitting Antenna Design Study." DECO Report 13-S-3 (February 1957). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 71360.
- 12. Phillips, C. C., "Antenna Modelling Studies (Phase I)." DECD Report 13-S-2 (January 1957). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 71360.
- Alberts, W. S., and others, "Recommended Radiation System Design." DECO Report 13-S-4 (June 1957). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 71360.
- 14. Linfield, R. F., "VLF System Design, Specification and Evaluation Processes." DECO Report 34-F (June 1965). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 85360.

- 15. Fowler, A. M. and E. J. Kemp, "Practical Design of Aerial Coupling Units." <u>Proceedings</u>, <u>IREE</u>, <u>Australia</u> (October, 1964), pp. 675-701.
- <u>Reference</u> <u>Data for Radio Engineers</u>, International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation, 4th edition. New York: American Book -Stratford Press, Inc., 1964.
- 17. Henny, K., <u>Radio Engineering Handbook</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1959.
- Goddard, E. G. and R. E. Bauer, <u>Electronic Systems Engineering</u>. Monterey: United States Naval Postgraduate School, 1954.
- 19. Salaman, R. K. and R. W. Plush, "The Performance of Some Modulation and Coding Methods Applicable to VLF Communications Systems." DECO Report 34-R-1 (November 1963). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 85360.
- 20. Linfield, R. F. and C. D. Beach, "Final Research Report." DECO Report 34-R-8, Volume I (March 1965). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 85360.
- 21. Allen, J. W. and R. F. Linfield, "Description of Experimental FSK System." DECO Report 34-S-3, Parts I and II (October 1964). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 85360.
- 22. Landee, R. W., <u>Electronic Designers' Handbook</u>. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., 1957.
- 23. Bennet, W. R. and S. O. Rice, "Spectral Density and Auto-Correlation Functions Associated With Binary Frequency-Shift Keying." <u>Bell System Technical Journal</u> (September 1963), pp. 2355-2385.
- 24. Arundell, D., "Investigation of Frequency Modulation of Very Low Frequency Transmitters." [U] (Thesis) Annapolis: United States Naval Postgraduate School, 1950. [Confidential]
- 25. Selover, E. E. and others, "Use of Commercial Broadcast Stations for Emergency DoD Communications." <u>IEEE Transactions</u> on <u>Communications Technology</u>, Vol. 15, No. 4 (August 1967), pp. 579-592.
- 26. Jacob, M. I. and H. N. Brauch, "Keying VLF Transmitters at High Speed." Electronics (December 1954), pp. 148-151.
- 27. Wolff, H. G., "High-Speed Frequency-Shift Keying of LF and VLF Radio Circuits." <u>IRE Transactions on Communications</u> Systems (December 1957), pp. 29-42.

- Galejs, J., "Electronic Broadbanding of High-Q Tuned Circuits or Antennas." <u>Archiv der Elektrischen Ubertragung</u>, Vol. 17, No. 8 (August 1963b), pp. 375-380.
- 29. Galejs, J., "Switching Reactive Elements in High-Q Antennas." <u>IRE Transactions on Communications Systems</u> (Correspondence) (June 1964), pp. 254-255.
- 30. Johannessen, P. R., "Automatic Tuning of High-Q Antenna for VLF FSK Transmission." <u>IRE Transactions</u> on <u>Communications</u> <u>Systems</u> (March 1964), pp. 110-115.
- 31. Zverev, A. I. and H. Blinchikoff, "Application of Network Synthesis to Broadbanding of Low-Frequency Antennas." <u>IRE Transactions on Communications Systems</u> (June 1964), pp. 237-250.
- 32. "Computer Programs for Antenna Feed System Design and Analysis," Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Technical Report No. 32-979. Pasadena: California Institute of Technology, 1967.
- 33. "A Proposal to Study: Techniques for Maximizing the Bandwidth and Radiated Power From LF and VLF Transmitting Antennas," TRG Proposal No. W103, TRG, Incorporated, Menlo Park, California, 1965.
- 34. Farstad, A. J. and others, "Performance of a Simulated Frequency Shift Keyed VLF Transmitting Facility," DECO Report 34-C-6 (July 1964). Submitted to BuShips on Contract NObsr 85360.
- 35. Sketoe, J., Capt. USMC. Private Communication (November 1967). United States Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California.
- "Naval Communications Bulletin," OPNAV 94-P2. No. 98 (October -November 1967).
- 37. "Proof of Performance, Final Report, for U. S. Naval Communications Station, North West Cape, Australia." HNCD (A Joint Venture), Los Angeles, California, 29 September 1967.
- 38. Proceedings of the IRE, Volume 45, No. 6 (June 1957).
- 39. Supplement to "The Australian Financial Review," 21 October 1964.
- 40. "The Australian Woman's Weekly," 19 April 1967.

BANDWIDTH RESISTOR NETWORK:

The "Q" of an aerial is determined by the largest reactance together with the total resistance present in the circuit, and for most VLF aerials may be expressed by:

$$Q_{aerial} = \frac{\left|\frac{X_c}{R}\right|}{R} \dots (1)$$

where Q<sub>aerial</sub> = Quality factor for aerial

X = Value of aerial static capacitance

When speaking of the aerial alone, R is the radiation resistance; for the antenna system as a whole R is the sum of the radiation and loss resistances. See Figure 44. The corresponding bandwidth is given by:

$$BW = \frac{f_o}{Q} \qquad \dots (2)$$

where BW = 3 db bandwidth in Hz

f = operating frequency in Hz

Similar definitions for Q and bandwidth are applicable for series circuits at their resonant frequency.

Networks in the complete antenna system are designed to be lossless, that is, they have extremely low loss resistances and have reactances much greater than the resistance present. When these networks are used for impedance matching at the operating frequency their reactance terms cancel out such that no new reactance is added to the overall system, and being lossless no additional resistance is added.



Figure 44. Basic Aerial Circuit



Figure 45. Basic Bandwidth Widening Circuit

Figure 45 shows a helix impedance matching circuit connected to the basic aerial circuit. To the right of cut A the input impedance will appear as a pure resistance at the resonant frequency. There might be a tendency to apply Equations (1) and (2) at this input, but they no longer apply since the circuit is no longer a simple series circuit. To the left of cut A a new Bandwidth Resistor network has been connected. By varying inductance  $L_A$  the effect of resistance  $R_2$  is changed.

To properly evaluate the actual circuit Q when the Bandwidth Resistor is added to the network, a definite procedure must be used. In Figure 46 all series reactances in the helix-aerial circuit have been combined; from the design of the helix network the resulting reactance will be capacitive. The series Bandwidth Resistor circuit has been put into its equivalent parallel form.

Figure 47 shows the aerial RC' series network replaced by its equivalent parallel form -  $R_p C_p'$ , and the parallel resistance of the bandwidth resistor has been moved to the far right hand end of the network. The resistances  $R_p - R_2'$  may now be combined, and then the resistance sum and  $C_p'$  combination put into series form,  $R_{new}$ -C'. See Figure 48.

The capacitive reactance undergoes little change during the above transformation, so C' may be put back into a form similar to the original circuit, as shown in Figure 49. Now a new Q for the circuit may be defined.

$$Q_{new} = \frac{X_c}{R_{new}} \dots (3)$$

The procedure described above is useful where an assumed value for the Bandwidth Resistor network coupling inductor is used.



Figure 46. Reactances Summed



Figure 47. Parallel Representation







Figure 49. Resultant Widened Circuit

As  $L_4$  is varied the resulting parallel resistance  $R_2'$  will vary, resulting in a range of values for  $Q_{pew}$ .

This type of transformation above will hold for only one frequency since the reactance values effect the final value of  $R_{new}$ . Additionally, the helix circuit was designed to match the original aerial resistance R, and the desired input impedance will no longer be seen with  $R_{new}$  in the circuit. If the circuit impedance is computed at other than the operating frequency, an even larger discrepancy will be noted.

The question then is how to properly evaluate the effect of the Bandwidth Resistor network. This can be done by observing the current flowing into the series aerial circuit and through the radiation resistance. An ammeter is placed in series with the connection between the helix and aerial circuit, at the junction of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . Then when voltage is applied at the input to the overall circuit, Figure 45, current will divide into three paths: through  $L_2$ ,  $L_3$  and  $L_4$ .

In actual operation the voltage at the circuit input will be different with the Bandwidth Resistor. in the system since the overall impedance will draw more current through previous stages (such as a Tee impedance matching network) and the new system response may be properly evaluated.


111 OPEGA = 2.\*\* PI \* CENECY S = C OPERCY \* 1.00-53 F0 = CENECY \* 1.00-54 F0 = CENECY \* 1.00-55 F0 = CENE

12.745 678901234567 55

SUBRCUTINE PRINT 00000 THIS SUBROUTINE WILL PRESENT THE MAJOR PARAMETERS FOR THE ANTENNA SYSTEM. CCMMON/A/ COIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, RDC, RAD, RBW, PI CCMMON/B/ CPL(4), PHASE(5,2), TAP(4), COILM(4) CCMMON/D/ TOWER, SELRES, WATTS, QNOW, PRBW, PRBWKW, PWRKW CCMMON/F/ CP21H, CP21H, CP23H, CP23U, CL21H, CL21L, CL22H, CL22L CCMMON/H/ FA, FC, FD, EE, EF, EG, FQ, RBWCL, RBWCH CCMMON/H/ CAH, CAL, CBH, CBL, CCH, CCL, CDH, CDL CCMMON/P/ DUM, ZLCAD, RPTP, QPRI(4), CAPI(4) CCMMON/Z/ IBAR(120) С COMPLEX ZLOAD C C AAA = CAP(5,1) \* 1.0E6AAB = COIL(5,1) \* 1.0E6CAF = CP21H \* 1.0E6CAL = CP21L \* 1.0E6CBL = CP23H \* 1.0E6CCH = CL21H \* 1.0E6CCH = CL21H \* 1.0E6CCH = CL22H \* 1.0E6CCF = CL22H \* 1.0E6CCF = CL22H \* 1.0E6CEF = RB%CH \* 1.0E6EA = COIL(1,1) \* 1.0E6EC = TAP(1) \* 1.0E6EC = TAP(2) \* 1.0E6EF = TAP(4) \* 1.0E6EF = TAF + TCCCC THE FOLLOWING PRESENTS THE INITIAL DATA AND COMPONENT RANGES FCLLOWING PRESENTS THE INITIAL DATA AND CUMPUNENT \* WRITE (6,1002) (IBAR(I), I = 1,113) WRITE (6, 10(5)) WRITE (6, 10(7) (IBAR(I), I = 1,45) WRITE (6, 10(2)) RAD, RDC, AAA, AAB WRITE (6, 10(4)) RBW, PRBWKW - --WRITE (6, 10(4)) RBW, PRBWKW - --WRITE (6, 10(4)) RBW, PRBWKW - --WRITE (6, 10(5)) CCL, CCH, CDL, CDH WRITE (6, 10(5)) EG WRITE (6, 10(6)) EA WRITE (6, 10(6)) RDT, CL, CAH WRITE (6, 10(6)) RPTP WRITE (6, 10(6)) RPTP WRITE (6, 2001) (IBAR(I), I=1,59) FCCPMAT ( 1H), 15X, 'OUTPUT DATA FOR THE VLF ANTENN) WRITE { (6, 2001) (IBAR(I), I=1,59) WRITE { (6,2001) (IBAR(I), I=1,59) C ICCO FCRMAT { 1H1, 15X, 'OUTPUT DATA FOR THE VLF ANTENNA AT ', 'I'NCRTHWEST CAPE' 1005 FCRMAT { '+', IX, 'IHSE ARE THE BASIC ANTENNA SYSTEM PARAMETERS' ) ICOT FORMAT(IHC, 10X, 'HESE ARE THE BASIC ANTENNA SYSTEM PARAMETERS' ) ICOT FORMAT { '+', IX, 'HESE ARE THE BASIC ANTENNA SYSTEM PARAMETERS' ) ICOT FORMAT(IHC, 10X, 'HEASA,' KHZ', //, 30X, 'I'HODWER RADIATED. 6X, FI0.3, 'H KW) 'C2OFRADIATION RESISTANCE, FIC.3, 'H'', '/, 30X, 'I'SHIDSS RESISTANCE, FIS.3, 'H' CHMS,'/', 30X, 'I'SHIDSS RESISTANCE, FIS.3, 'H' CHMS,'/', 30X, 'I'SHIDSS RESISTANCE, FIS.3, 'H' UFARAD,'/', 30X, 'I'SHIDSS RESISTANCE, FIS.3, 'H' UHENRY) I'C40 FCRMAT(IHD, 10X, 'AERIAL CIRCUIT', '/', 30X, 'I'SHIDSS RESISTANCE, FIS.3, 'H' UHENRY) I'C40 FCRMAT(IHD, 10X, 'AERIAL CIRCUIT,'', 30X, 'I'SHIDSS RESISTANCE, ICO', FIO.3, 'H' UHENRY) I'C40 FCRMAT(IHD, 10X, 'AERIAL CIRCUIT,'', 30X, 'I'SHIDSTANCE, I'SANCE, FIO.3, 'H'', 'S3X, 'I'SHIDSS RESISTANCE, I'SANDALOTH RESISTOR CIRCUIT,'/', 30X, 'I'SHIDS', 'SHIDSN', 'SHON', 'SANDAL', 'SANDA', '

	RETURN
- C	SUBROUTINE AERIAL
00000	THIS SUBROUTINE WILL DETERMINE THE MAJOR CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE AERIAL SYSTEM ALONE, INCLUDING "Q" AND BANDWIDTH.
L	CCMPLEX S. XC(5.3). XL(5.3), XTOTAL(5.2), YTOTAL(5.2), 1ZTOTAL(5.2). 7T. AMPS(5.3). VOLTS(5.3), POWER(5.2). 2ZGNE, ZTWG, 7THREE
c	COMMON/A/ COIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, RDC, RAD, RBW, PI COMMON/D/ TOWER, SELRES, WATTS, ONOW, PRBW, PRBWKW, PWRKW CGMMON/E/ VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, YTOTAL, ZTOTAL – COMMON/G/ CENFCY, OMEGA, S COMMON/H/ EA, EC, ED, EE, EF, EG, FQ, RBWCL, RBWCH CCMMON/Z/ IBAR(120)
3	EFFCY=(RAD/(RAD+RDC))*100.0 TMETER= TOWER*0.3048 AMPS(5.2) = SQRT (WATTS / RAD ) AMPS(5.2) = AMPS(5.2) VGLTS(5.2) = RAD * AMPS(5.2) SELRES = 1./(2.*PI* (SQRT ( CAP(5.1)*COIL(5.1) ) ) ) SR = SELRES * 1.0E-3 Xt(5.1)=1.0/(S*CAP(5.1) XTOTAL(5.1)=RAS + XTOTAL(5.1) XTOTAL(5.1) = RAS + XTOTAL(5.1) YOLTS(5.1) = ZTOTAL(5.1) * AMPS(5.1) VOLTS(5.1) = ZTOTAL(5.1) * AMPS(5.1) OANT = CABS ( XC(5.11 ) / RAD BANWTH = CENFCY / QANT THTV = CABS( XTOTAL(5.1) * AMPS(5.1) * 1.0E-3 BASEV = CABS( XTOTAL(5.1) + AMPS(5.1) * 1.0E-3 FA = AMPS(5.1) FA = AMPS(5.1)
C	FD = VULS(5,2), (XTOTAL(5,1)) FC = RDC * AMPS(5,2) QNCW = CABS (XC(5,1)) / RAS BWW = CENFCY / QNOW
6	WRITE (6,500)       (IBAR(I), I=1,16)         WRITE (6,501)       (RAD, RX         WRITE (6,515)       QANT, BANWTH         WRITE (6,520)       RCC, EFFCY         WRITE (6,525)       QANUW, BANW         WRITE (6,530)       PWRKW, FA         WRITE (6,536)       THTV, BASEV         WRITE (6,540)       FB, FC
C 500 501 505 510 515 520 525 530 535 540	FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR ANTENNA') FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR ANTENNA SELF-RESONANCE', F8.3, ' HERTZ ', 165, 'OPERATING FREQUENCY', F11.3, ' KHZ') FORMAT ( '0', T20, 'RADIATION RESISTANCE', F10.3, ' OHMS', 1765, 'TOTAL REACTANCE', F15.3, ' OHMS') FORMAT ( '0', T20, 'Q (AERIAL)', 10X, F10.3, 1765, 'BANDWIDTH (3CB)', F15.3, ' HERTZ ',/) FORMAT ( '0', T20, 'Q (WITH LOSSES)', F15.3, 1765, 'EFFECIENCY', 10X, F10.3, ' PER-CENT') FORMAT ( '0', T20, 'Q (WITH LOSSES)', F15.3, 1765, 'BANDWIDTH (3DB)', F15.3, ' HERTZ ',/) FORMAT ( '0', T20, 'POWER RADIATED', F16.3, ' KILOWATTS', 1765, 'BANDWIDTH (3DB)', F15.3, ' HERTZ',/) FORMAT ( '0', T20, 'POWER RADIATED', F16.3, ' KILOWATTS', 1765, 'BANDWIDTH (3DB', F10.3, ' KV', 1765, 'BANDWIDTH (3DK, F10.3, ' KV', 1765, 'BASE VOLTAGE', F18.3, ' KV', 1765, 'VOLTS ACROSS RAD', F14.3, ' VOLTS',

123456789012345 0000000000000 THIS SUBROUTINE WILL DETERMINE THE VALUES OF THE HELIX REQUIRED, AND SETTINGS FOR THE SERIES AND COUPLING VARIOMETERS. IF MODE = 0 USE ORIGINAL METHOD = 1 USE ZERO ANGLE METHOD CCMPLEX S. XC(5.3), XL(5.3), XTOTAL(5.2), YTOTAL(5.2), 1ZTOTAL(5.2), ZT. AMPS(5.3), VOLTS(5.3), POWER(5.2), 2ZONE, ZTWO, ZTHREE С CCMMON/A/ COIL(5.3), CAP(5.3), RAS, RDC, RAD, RBW, PI CCMMON/E/ VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, YTOTAL, ZTOTAL CCMMON/G/ CENFCY, CMEGA, S CCMMON/7/ IBAR(12C) С IF ( MODE ) 1.1.2 С XL(4,3) = - XTOTAL(5,1) COIL(4,3)=(CABS(XL(4,3)))/OMEGA XL(4,1) = CMPLX ( 0,0, SCRT(ZOUT \* RAS) COIL(4,1)=(CABS(XL(4,1)))/OMEGA CCIL(4,2) = COIL(4,3) - COIL(4,1) XL(4,2) = S \* COIL(4,2) XTOTAL(4,2) = XTOTAL(5,1) + XL(4,2) GC TO 3 1 С 2 XTOTAL(4,3) = CMPLX(0.0, SQRT(RAS \* (ZOUT-RAS))) - XL(4+2) = CMPLX(0.0, CABS(XTOTAL(4+3) + XTOTAL(5,1)) XTOTAL(4,2) = XTOTAL(5,1) + XL(4,2) TOPNEW = ((RAS\*\*2) + (AIMAG(XTOTAL(4+2)) \*\*2)) XL(4,1) = CMPLX(0.0, TOPNEW / AIMAG(XTOTAL(4+3))) COIL(4+1) = AIMAG(XL(4+1)) / OMEGA COIL(4+2) = AIMAG(XL(4+2)) / OMEGA ) 3 CONTINUE 3 CONTAL(4,1) 3 CONTAL(4,1) 4 CONTON 3 CONTAL(4,1) 4 CONTON 3 CONTAL(4,1) 4 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTON 3 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTON 3 CONTON 3 CONTAL 4 CONTON 3 CONTON C ) С WRITE WRITE WRITE WRITE WRITE (6,400) (6,401) (6,405) (6,410) (6,420) (IBAR(I), I=1,20 ZOUT AA, AB ZTOTAL(4,1) 1 С 430 FORMAT ( '3', 'DATA FOR HELIX HOUSE' ) 431 FORMAT ( '+', 20A1 ) 435 FORMAT ( '0', 19X, 'DESIRED OUTPUT IMPEDANCE', F15.3, ' 410 FORMAT(1H0, 19X, 11HSERIES COIL, 18X, F10.3, 8H UHENRY, 120X, 13HCOUPLING COIL, 16X, F10.3, 8H UHENRY) 420 FORMAT(1H0,19X, 28HAT RESONANCE, INPUT IMPEDANCE, 5X, 12G10.5.6H OHMS) 0HMS+ ) //, С AMPS(3,1) = AMPS(4,1)VOLTS(3,1) = VOLTS(4,1) С RETURN SUBROUTINE TANK **COCOMONOO** THIS SUBROUTINE WILL DETERMINE THE INPUT IMPEDANCE THAT THE CLASS-C AMPLIFIER TUBES WILL SEE-WHEN THE ANTENNA SYSTEM IS CONNECTED, FOR USE OF 1, 2, 3, AND 4 POWER AMPLIFIERS. CCMPLEX S. XC(5.3). XL(5.3). XTOTAL(5.2). YTOTAL(5.2). 1ZTOTAL(5.2). ZT. AMPS(5.3). VOLTS(5.3). POWER(5.2). 2ZONE. ZTWO. ZTHREE С CCMPLEX BOTTOM. G. ZLOAD. X С COMMON/A/ CCIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, RDC, RAC, RBW, PI COMMON/B/ CPL(4), PHASE(5,2), TAP(4), COILM(4) CCMMON/E/ VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, YTOTAL, ZTOTAL CCMMON/F/ CP21H, CP21L, CP23H, CP23L, CL21H, CL21L, CL22H, CL22L COMMON/G/ CENFCY, OMEGA, S COMMON/H/ EA, EG, EO, EE, EF, EG, FO, RBWCL, RBWCH COMMON/H/ CAH, CAL, CBL, CGH, CCL, COH, CDL CGMMON/I/ CAH, CAL, CBL, CGH, CAPI(4) CCMMON/Z/ IBAR(12)) 1)",

101 С  $\begin{array}{l} XL(1,\cdot1) = S & * COIL(1,\cdot1) \\ CAP(1,\cdot1) = 1,\cdot0 / (CMEGA * AIMAG( XL(1,\cdot1) ) \\ XC(1,\cdot1) = 1,\cdot0 / (S & * CAP(1,\cdot1) ) \\ FF = CAP(1,\cdot1) * 1,\cdotCE6 \\ WRITE(6,\cdot100) \\ WRITE(6,\cdot100) \\ WRITE(6,\cdot100) \\ EA \\ WRITE(6,\cdot100) \\ RITE(6,\cdot100) \\ RITE(6,\cdot100)$ 102 103 104 105 WRITE (6,113) = LTOTAL(2,1) DC 2 LIMA = 1,4 XL(1,2) = CMPLX(0.0.0, OMEGA \* TAP(LIMA)) COTLM(LIMA) = CPL(LIMA) \* SORT(COTL(1,1) \* TAP(LTMA)) TOP = (OMEGA \* COTLM(LIMA)) \*\*2<math>XC(1,2) = -((LIMA\*XL(1,2)) \* AIMAG(ZTOTAL(2,1))) - ) CAPI(LIMA) = 1.0 / (OMEGA \* CABS(XC(1,2))) LOAD = ((XC(1,2) + ZTOTAL(2,1)) / LIMA BCTTOM = XL(1,2) + ZLOAD G = XL(1,1) + (TOP / BOTTOM) OPRI(LIMA) = ABS(AIMAG(G) / REAL(G)) R = FACT1(OPRI(LIMA)) \* REAL(G) X = CMPLX(0.0, FACT2(OPRI(LIMA)) \* AIMAG(G)) YTOTAL(1,1) = (1.0/XC(1,11)) + (1.0/X) + (1.0/R) ZTOTAL(1,1) = (1.0/XC(1,11)) + (1.0/X) + (1.0/R)C £ CA = CAP1(LIMA) \* 1.0E6 ER = TAP(LIMA) \* 1.0E6 EH = COILM(LIMA) \* 1.0E6 WRITE (6, 125) LIMA WRITE (6, 125) ER. EH. CPL(LIMA) WRITE (6, 150) CA 4 C IF ( CP21H -CAP1(LIMA)) 20, 21, 21 20 WRITE (6, 240 ) CAH GC TO 22 21 IF ( CP21L -CAP1(LIMA)) 22, 22, 23 32 WRITE (6, 245) CAL 22 CONTINUE WRITE (6,155) ZLOAD WRITE(6,160) ZTOTAL(1,1) 2 CONTINUE 2 CONTINUE 1C0 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TANK CIRCUIT' ) 101 FORMAT ( '+', 21A1 ) 105 FORMAT ( '0', 10X, 'FOR EACH POWER AMPLIFIER-', 126 FORMAT ( '0', 36X, 'FOR EACH POWER AMPLIFIER-', 126 FORMAT ( '0', 36X, 'FRIMARY CAPACITOR SET AT', F20.3, 'UFARAD') 106 FORMAT ( '0', 36X, 'THE DESIRED PLATE-TO-PLATE LOAD IS', 117 FORMAT ( '0', 36X, 'THE NETWORK LOAD IS', 2G15.5, 'OHMS' ) 125 FORMAT ( 10', 10X, 'FOR', 12, 2X, 'POWER AMPLIFIERS' ) 125 FORMAT ( 10', 10X, 'FOR', 12, 2X, 'POWER AMPLIFIERS' ) 145 FORMAT ( 10', 20X, 28HEACH SECONDARY INDUCTANCE IS, 10X, 2710.3, 8H UHENRY,//, 21X, 23HCOUPLING COEFFICIENT IS, 7X,FI0.3) 150 FORMAT ( '0', 20X, 'SECONDARY CAPACITOR SET AT', F14.3, 'UFARAD') 155 FORMAT ( '0', 20X, 'LOAD SEN BY SECONDARY', 2G15.5, 'OHMS') 160 FORMAT ( '0', 21X, 'PLATE-TO-PLATE LOAD IS' 2G15.5, 'OHMS') 160 FORMAT ( '0', 21X, 'CAP(1,2)',2X, 'TOO HIGH MAX VALUE IS', 177.3, 'UFD') 245 FORMAT ( 10C, 20X, 'CAP(1,2)',2X, 'TOO LOW. MIN VALUE IS', 167.3, 'UFD') C 161 161 162 163 165 165 C

RETURN

SUBROUTINE TEE ( ZIN, ZOUT ) 1234567800123456789012345 000000 THIS SUBROUTINE WILL DETERMINE THE SETTINGS FOR THE TEE MATCHING NETWORK TO MATCH THE HELIX COIL IMPEDANCE TO THE OUTPUT TRANSFORMERS. CCMPLEX S, XC(5,3), XL(5,3), XTOTAL(5,2), YTOTAL(5,2), 1ZTOTAL(5,2), ZT, AMPS(5,3), VOLTS(5,3), POWER(5,2), 2ZONE, ZTWO, ZTHREE COIL(5.3), CAP(5.3), RAS, RDC, RAD, RBW, PI ZONE, ZIWO, ZIHREE VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, YTOTAL, ZTOTAL CP21H, CP21L, CP23H, CP23L, CL21H, CL21L, CL22H, CL22L GENFGY, OMEGA, S CAH, CAL, CBH, CBL, CCH, CCL, CDH, CDL IBAR(120) С CCMMON/A/ COMMON/C/ CCMMON/E/ COMMON/F/ CCMMON/F/ CCMMON/I/ CCMMON/Z/ С ZTEE= SQRT (ZIN \* ZOUT) CAP(2,3) = 1.0/(CMEGA \* ZTEE) CCIL(2,2) = ZTEE / CMEGA XL(2,2) = CMPLX( 0.C, OMEGA \* COIL(2,2) XC(2,3) = 1.0 / ( S \* CAP(2,3)-)-XL(2,1) = CMPLX ( 0.0, ZTEE ) COIL(2,1) = AIMAG ( XL(2,1) ) / OMEGA ) С ZCNE = ZTWO = ZTHREE CCIL(2,1)) CCIL(2,2) .1.0 / ( S \* \* ( (S (S ) # CAP(2,3) 3 С CAP{2,3} \* 1.0E6 COIL(2,1) \* 1.0E6 COIL(2,2) \* 1.0E6 AIMAG (ZONE) AIMAG (ZTHO) AIMAG (ZTHREE) С WRITE WRITE WRITE WRITE WRITE (6,200) -(6,201) (6,202) (6,210) (6,220) (6,230) (IBAR(I), I=1,20) ZIN, ZOUT ZTEE, Z1, Z2, CD Z3, CB CC С С 

 wRITE
 (6, 280)
 2TOTAL(2,1)

 200
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 201
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 202
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 201
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 202
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 202
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 210
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 210
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 210
 FORMAT ( '0', 'DATA FOR TEE SECTION' )

 210
 FORMAT ( 10, 20X, 'TO MATCH AN IMPEDANCE OF', F10.3, 'DHMS, //, 21X, 'TO FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'THEG THRE, 'F0.3, 'EOUL ONE', F10.3, 'HERTY'1

 220
 FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'THLEG THRE, 'F0.3, 'GO HIGH MAX VALUE IS', 'ITHAP ONE, F11.3, 'SH UFD1

 230
 FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'CAP', I3, 2X, 'TOO HIGH MAX VALUE IS', 'IFI0.3, 'HED''

 240
 FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'CAP', I3, 2X, 'TOO LOW. MIN VALUE IS', 'IFI0.3, 'HEO''

 245
 FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'COTL', I3, 2X, 'TOO LOW. MIN VALUE IS', 'IFI0.3, 'HEO''

 255
 FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'COTL', I3, 2X, 'TOO LOW. MIN VALUE IS', 'IFI0.3, 'HERNY''

 255
 FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'COTL', I3, 2X, 'TOO LOW. MIN VALUE IS', 'IFI0.3, 'HERNY''

 260
 FORMAT ( 1H0, 20X, 'IFINPUT TO FEE, 2610.3, 'OH OH S)

 9 C OHMS . 230 MIN VALUE IS . 7X. С RETURN 3 5 SLAROUTINE RESIST \_ L VCOIL. VO.\_ MASK 0000 THIS SUBROUTINE WILL DETERMINE THE EFFECT OF THE BANDWIDTH RESISTOR SYSTEM AS THE SERIES 1.

COIL IS VARIED FROM MINIMUM TO MAXIMUM VALUE. 123+567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901232222238333333333333344444444444555555556666 VCOIL IS ANY DESIRED VALUE FOR THE BANDWIDTH RESISTOR COIL, AND VO THE RESULTING O OF THE CIRCUIT. IF THE MINIMUM AND MAXIMUM VALUES OF THE COIL SETTING ARE DESIRED, SET VCOIL = 0.0. MASK = 0 NO PRINTOUT MASK = 1 PRINT OUT RESULTS IF. CCMPLEX S, XC(5.3), XL(5.3), XTOTAL(5.2), YTOTAL(5.2), 1ZTOTAL(5.2), ZT, AMPS(5.3), VOLTS(5.3), POWER(5.2), 2ZCNE, ZTWO, ZTHREE С CEMMON/A/ COIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, RDC, RAD, RBW, PI COMMON/D/ TOWER, SELRES, WATTS, ONOW, PRBW, PRBWKW, PWRKW CCMMON/E/ VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, YTOTAL, ZTOTAL CCMMON/E/ CENFCY, OMEGA, S CCMMON/H/ EA, EC, ED, EE, EF, EG, FQ, RBWCL, RBWCH CCMMON/Z/ IBAR(12C) C. IF ( VCOIL ) 4,4,5 £. 4 COIL(3,1) = RBWCL GO TO 6 5 COIL(3,1) = VCOIL С 6 QS = CABS ( XTOTAL(4,2) ) / RASRP = FACTI(OS) \* RASC GP = (1.C/BWRP) + (1.0 / RP) GP = 1.0 / ( CABS( XTOTAL(4,1) RS = 1. / (FACTI(CP) \* GP ) VO = CABS ( XC(5,1) ) / RS IF ( COIL(3,1) - RBWCL ) 2,2,3 COIL(3,1) = RBWCH GC TO 1 3 GMAX = CABS ( XC(5,1) ) / RS DB = RBWCH \* 1.0E6 DC = RBWCL \* 1.0E6 С ) \* GP ) € IF ( MASK ) 7,7,8 3 WRITE (6,300) WRITE(6,301) (IBAR(I), I = 1,27) WRITE (6,310) DB, QMAX, DC, QMIN-С 3CO FCRMAT(1HO, 27HDATA FOR BANDWIDTH RESISTOR) 301 FCRMAT ( '+', 30A1 ) 310 FCRMAT(1HO, 1CX, 38HWITH BANDWIDTH RESISTOR THE O MAY VARY.(// 120X, 11HCGIL SET AT, F10.3, 8H UHENRY, 9H THE Q IS, F10.3) ) C 7 CENTINUE RETURN END \*/#\*

C.	SUBROUTINE MATRIX ( CENFCY, MASK )
č	THE CHOROLITINE WELL BUT THE MADIDIC BOLOCKER
C C C	OF THE SYSTEM INTO AN "ACCO" MATRIX FORMULATION, FOR USE IN MANIPULATION.
	IF MASK = 0 NO MEINTOUT MASK = 1 PRINT OUT MATRICES
C C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	<u>CCMPLEX S. XC(5.3), XL(5.3), XTOTAL(5.2), YTOTAL(5.2),</u> IZTOTAL(5.2), ZT. AMPS(5.3), VOLTS(5.3), POWER(5.2), ZZGNE, ZTWO, ZTHREE
L	CCMPLEX 442.21, 812.21, C12.21, 012.21, V12.21, V12.21, V12.21, X12.21, V12.21, V12.21, X12.21, X12.21, V12.21, X12.21, X12.21, V12.21, X12.21, X12.21
С	CCMPLEX 7TANK(4)
с	CCMPLEX 44. BB. CC. DD. EE. FF
	COMMON/A/ COIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, ROC, RAD, RBW, PI COMMON/B/ CPL(4), PHASE(5,2), TAP(4), COILM(4)
	COMMON/N/ A. B. C. D. E. F. G. O. P. R. T. U. V. W. X. UU. VV COMMON/P/ DUM. ZLOAD. RPTP. OPRI(4), CAPI(4) COMMON/Q/ EP. RP. UP. WP
ç	
L	CMEGA = 2.0 * PI * CENFCY
	$\frac{1}{2CNE} = (S * CCIL(2,1))$
6	ZTHREE = ( 1.0 / ( S * CAP(2,3) ) )
Č THT	S IS NATRIX FOR ANTENNA
	A(1,1)=(S+ CCIL(5,1)+ 1.0/(S+ CAP(5,1)) +RAO +RDC) / RAD
	A(2,1) = 1.0/RAO $A(2,2) = (D_{0}C_{0}O_{0}O)$
C THI	S IS MATRIX FOR HELIX HOUSE 
	B(1,2)=S* CCIL(4,2) B(2,1) = 1.C / ( S * COIL(4,1) )
- <del>C TH</del>	B(2,2)= ((S* COIL(4,1)) + (S * COIL(4,2)) ) / (S* COIL(4,1)) IS IS MATRIX FOR BANDWIDTH RESISTOR
	$\begin{array}{l} C(1,1) = (1,0,0,0) \\ C(1,2) = (0,0,0,0) \\ C(2,2,1) = (1,0,2,0,0) \\ C(2,2,1) = (1,0,2,0,0) \\ C(2,2,1) = (1,0,2,0,0) \\ C(2,1,1) \\ C(2,1,1)$
C THI	S IS MATRIX FOR TEE NETWORK
	$D(1\cdot2) = ZONE + ZTWO + (ZONE * ZTWO) / ZTHREE$
<u> </u>	$D(2\cdot2) = 1\cdot0 + (2TWO / 2THREE)$
č	
	AA = COIL(1,1) / COILM(L) = (COILM(L)++2) / (COILM(L)++2) / (COILM(L))
	FF = 1.0/(S * S * CAPI(L) * COILM(L) * L) BB = 4/(AA)/(S*CAPI(L) + COILM(L) * L)
	CC = (AA * S * CAPI(L) ) + (1.0/(S*COILM(L) ) ) $DD = (S * CAP(L) + BB) + EE + (TAP(L) / COILM(L) )$
С	GG TO 1 3. 4. 5. 6 1. 1
C C	THIS IS MATRIX FOR 1 TANK CIRCUIT
3	E(1,1) = AA $E(1,2) = BB$
	$E(2 \cdot I) = CC$ $E(2 \cdot 2) = 00$
С	THIS IS REVERSE MATRIX FOR 1 TANK CIRCUIT $F(1,1) = F(2,2)$
	F(1,2) = E(1,2) F(2,1) = E(2,1) F(2,2) = F(1,1)
	GC TO 7 THIS IS MATRIX FOR 2 TANK CIRCUITS
4	R(1,1) = AA R(1,2) = BB
	$\begin{array}{l} R(2,1) = CC \\ R(2,2) = DD \end{array}$
С	THIS IS REVERSE MATRIX FOR 2 TANK CIRCUITS T(1+1) = R(2+2)
	$\frac{T(1,2) = R(1,2)}{T(2,1)} = R(2,1)$
	T(2,2) = R(1,1) GQ TQ 7
	THIS IS MATRIX FOR 3 TANK CIRCUITS
- 5	U(1,1) = AA

C	THIS IS REVERSE MATRIX FOR 3 TANK CIRCUITS
	$V(1 \cdot 2) = U(1 \cdot 2)$ $V(1 \cdot 2) = U(1 \cdot 2)$ $V(2 \cdot 1) = U(2 \cdot 1)$
	V(2,2) = U(1,1)
(	THIS IS MATRIX FOR 4 TANK CIRCUITS
	w(1,2) = BB
	$W(2,1) \neq U_{0}$ W(2,2) = CO
0	X(1,1) = W(2,2)
	$\begin{array}{l} X(1,2) = W(1,2) \\ X(2,1) \approx W(2,1) \end{array}$
	7 CONTINUE
Č	THIS IS REVERSE MATRIX FOR THE TEE NETWORK
	$\frac{G(1,1)}{G(1,2)} = D(1,2)$
	G(2,1)=D(2,1) G(2,2) = D(1,1)
	Q(1+1) = B(2+2)
	O(1,2) = B(1,2) O(2,1) = B(2,1)
C	THIS IS THE REVERSE MATRIX FOR THE ANTENNA
	P(1,1) = A(2,2) P(1+2) = RAC
	P(2,1) = A(1,2) $P(2,2) = (1.0,0.0)$
C	
	1 write (6, 7(00)
	WEITE $(6,70(1))$ (IBAR(I), I = 1,29) WEITE $(6,70())$
	$- \frac{\text{WRITE}^{\circ}}{\text{WRITE}} (6,701) + (\Delta(1,J), J=1,2), I=1,2)$
	WRITE (6,7C1) ( (P(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6,720)
	WRITE (6,730)
	WRITE (6,701) ((0(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6,740)
	WRITE (6, 741)
	WRITE (6,721) ( (R(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6, 742)
	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{wRITE} & (6,7(1)) & (U(1,1), J=1,2), I=1,2) \\ \text{wRITE} & (6,743) \end{array} $
	WRITE (6,7C1) ( (W(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6,750)
	$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}$
	WRITE (6,701) ( (T(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6, 752)
	$\frac{1}{4} R I T E = \{0, 701\} ( \{ V(I, J), J=1, 2\}, I=1, 2\}$
	WRITE (6,7(1)) ( (X(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6,7(0)
-	WRITE (6,7C1) ((G(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6,770)
	WRITE (6,7C1) ( (0(1,J), J=1,2), I=1,2) WRITE (6,7C0)
ĉ	$kRITE = \{6,7CL\} ( (P(I,J), J=1,2), I=1,2)$
U	7600 FERMAT ( 11", "MATRICES FOR CIRCUIT ELEMENTS" )
	705 FCRMAT ( '0', 10X, 'MATRIX (A) FOR ANTENNA CIRCUIT'
	710 FERMAT ( "0", 1)X, "MATRIX (B) FOR HELIX CIRCUIT! ) 720 FERMAT ( "0", 1)X, "MATRIX (C) FOR BANDWIDTH RESISTOR! )
	733 FORMAT ( '0', 10X, 'MATRIX (D) FOR TEE NETWORK! 1 740 FORMAT ( '0', 10X, 'MATRIX (F) FOR 1 TANK CIRCUIT! )
	741 FORMAT ( '0', 10%, 'MATRIX (R) FOR 2 TANK CIRCUITS' ) 742 FURMAT ( '0', 10%, 'MATRIX (U) FOR 3 TANK CIRCUITS' )
	743 FORMAT ( 404, 10X, 4MATRIX (M) FOR & TANK CIRCUITS: ).
	751 FORMAT ( 101, 10X, 1MATRIX (T) FOR 2 REVERSE TANKS! ) 752 FORMAT ( 101, 10X, 1MATRIX (V) FOR 3 REVERSE TANKS! )
	753 FORMAT ( 'J', IJX, 'MATRIX (X) FOR 4 REVERSE TANKS' ) 760 FORMAT ( 'J', IJX, 'MATRIX (G) FOR REVERSE TEE! )
	77° FORMAT ( 1)1, I)X, IMATRIX (D) FOR REVERSE HELIXI ) 780 FORMAT ( 1)1, I)X, IMATRIX (P) FOR REVERSE ANTENNAI )
ſ	2 CONTINUE
C	FFTURN FND
	SUBROUTINE EVARY ( MASK )
L.	
Ĺ	THIS SUBROUTINE WILL DETERMINE THE Q AND BANDWIDTH FOR THE ANTENNA ALONE ( QA & BWA )
C	AND WITH THE LOSS RESISTANCE INCLUDED ( OL & BWL ).

	C	
101 202	C IF MASK = U NO PRINTOUT	
203	C MASK = 1 PRINT OUT RESULTS	
225		-
0.6	CCMMON/A/ CDIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, RDC, RAD, RBW, PI CCMMON/D/ OVERED(41), OVOA(41), OVOI (41), OVBWA(41), OVBWI (41)	
ics	C	
10 11	DC 1C L = 1,41 CYCLES = 1E4 + (L - 1) * 5E2 GWEREQ(L) = (1,E-3) * CYCLES	
12	QVQA(L) = 1.0 / (CMEGA * CAP(5,1) * RAD)	
14	QVQL(L) = 1.0 / ( CMEGA * CAP(5,1) * RAS ) QVBWA(L) = CYCLES / QVQA(L) - QVBWA(L) = CYCLES / QVQA(L) -	
17	10 CONTINUE	
18		
20	IF ( MASK - 1 / 99, 1, 99	
21		
23	WRITE (0, 5(0)) DC 2 L = 1+41+2	
24	WRITELA,4001 QVFREG(L), QVOA(L), QVBWA(L), QVQU(L), QVBWL(L)	
26	S CONTINUE	
27	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	
22	100 FCRMAT(1H0,//,2CX, JVARIATION-OF-Q AND BANDWIDTH ************************************	<del>,</del>
36	200 FORMAT ( 1HC, 9X, "FRÉQUENCY", 25X, "ANTENNA ALONE",	
231	120X, 'WITH LOSS' )	
33	1'Q', 3X, 'BANDWIDTH' )	
34	400 FCRMAT ( 1H0, 5%, F10.1, 25%, 2(F10.3), 10%, 2(F10.3) )	1
126	C RETIRN	
37	END	

C

С

C C

(

1 ....

1 THIS SUBROUTINE WILL VARY THE FREQUENCY AROUND THE CENTER FREQUENCY, IN A TOTAL OF "K" STEPS WITH INCREMENTS OF "DEL" CPS. ARRAYS FOR THE COMPLEX IMPEDANCES LOOKING INTO THE AERIAL, HELIX, BANDWIDTH RESISTOR, TEE NETWORK AND P.A. TRANSFORMERS WILL BE GENERATED. HK IS A CONTROL CARD= IF HK =  $\bigcirc$  NEGLECT THE RESISTOR HK = 1 USE THE RESISTOR  $M \Delta S K = 1$   $M \Delta S K = 2$   $M \Delta S K = 3$   $M \Delta S K = 4$ NÓ PRINTOUT PRINT IMPEDANCES PRINT TRANSFORMERS PRINT IMPEDANCES & TRANSFORMERS IĒ CCMPLEX S. XC(5.3). XL(5.3), XTOTAL(5.2), YTOTAL(5.2), 1ZTOTAL(5.2). 2T. AMPS(5.3). VOLTS(5.3). POWER(5.2), 2ZCNE. 7TWC. 7THREE C CCMPLEX ROTTOM, XFMR1(241), XFMR2(241), 1XFMR3(241), XFMR4(241), ZANT(241), ZTOWR(241), ZHELIX(241), 2ZRES(241), ZTFF(241) C CCMPLEX 7TANK(4), 7LGAD, X, G С CCMMON/A/ CUIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, RDC, RAC, RBW, PI CCMMON/B/ CPL(4), PHASE(5,2), TAP(4), CHILM(4) GCMMON/J/ FREG(241), DIV(241), ZANT, ZHELIX, ZRES, ZTFE, 7TOWR CCMMON/K/ XEMR1, XEMR2, XEMR3, XEMR4 CCMMON/P/ DIM, ZLCAC, RPTP, QPRI(4), CAP1(4) CCMMON/Z/ IB/P(120) С KK = ((K - 1) / 2) + 1С DC 55 L = 1.K BETA' = KK - L FREQ(L) = CENFCY - ( BETA \* DEL) DIV(L) = FREQ(L) - CENFCY OMEGA=(2.\*PI\*FREQ(L)) S = CMPLX ( 0.0, OMEGA) XTCTAL(5.1) = CMPLX(2.), (OMEGA\*COIL(5.1)) - 1.0/(OMEGA\*CAP(5.1))) ZANT(L) = RAS + XTCTAL(5.1) С xTCTAL(4,2) = xTOTAL(5,1) + ( S \* GOIL(4,2) )ZTOWR(L) = xTOTAL(4,2)C. YTOTAL(3,1) = 1.0 / ( RBW + ( S \* COIL(3,1) )) ZRES(L) = 1.C/( (1.7/ZHELIX(L) ) + YTOTAL(3,1) ZCNE = (S \* CCIL(2,1)) ZTWO = (S \* CCIL(2,2) ) ZTHREE = CMPLX(C.0,-1.0/(CMEGA \* CAP(2,3) ))  $\begin{array}{c} IF ( HK ) & 1.2.1 \\ ZTCTAL(2.2) & = ZRES(L) + ZTWO \\ GC TO 3 \end{array}$ С ZTOTAL(2,2) = ZHELIX(L) + ZTWO YTCTAL(2,2) = (1.0 / ZTOTAL(2,2)) + 1.0 / ZTHREE ZTEE(L) = ZONE + (1.0 / YTOTAL(2,2)) $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{XC}(1,1) = \mathsf{CMPLX}(\mathbb{C} \cap (-1, \mathbb{Q}/(\mathsf{CMEGA} \ast \mathsf{CAP}(1,1))) \\ \mathsf{XL}(1,1) = \mathsf{CMPLX}(\mathbb{C} \cap (-1, \mathbb{Q}/(\mathsf{CMEGA} \ast \mathsf{COTL}(1,1))) \end{array}$ ) С DC 12 LIMA = 1,4 TCP = (OMEGA \* COILM(LIMA)) \*\*2 XC(1,2) = 1.6 / (S \* CAPI(LIMA)) XL(1,2) = S \* TAP(LIMA) ACTTCM = YL(1,2) + ZTEF(L) / LIMA ACTTCM = YL(1,2) + ZTEF(L) / LIMA ACTTCM = XL(1,2) + (TCP/ROTTOM) G = XL(1,1) + (TCP/ROTTOM) G = XL(1,1) + (TCP/ROTTOM) K = FACTI( (PKI(LIMA)) \* REAL(G) K = CMPLX(C,C, FACT2(OPRI(LIMA)) \* AIMAN YTCTAL(1,1) = (1.0/XC(1,1)) + (1.0/X) + (1.0) \* AIMAG(G)
) + (1.0/R) } С GC TC (20, 21, 22, 23 ), LIMA С 20 XFMR1(L) = 1.0 / YTOTAL(1.1) GC TG 12  $\frac{x + y + 2(1)}{6 - 1 - 1} = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$ 22 XEVR3(1) = 1.1 / MICTAL(1.1) GC TC 1

```
11450749
1150749
1150749
11
1
 220
147
 2 '
 2]
1.3444 7.5.
1-123456
```

```
23 XEMR4(L) = 1. / YTOTAL(1.1)
C
 12 CONTINUE
С
 99 CENTINUE
C
C
 Ū
 6

    16
    17
    18
    8

С
 4 CENTINUE
C
С
```

RETURN

, In

SUBROUTINE ANTAMP ( K. MODE, MASK, HK ) C THIS SUBROUTINE WILL DETERMINE THE VARIATION IN CUPRENT WITH FREQUENCY, USING THE VOLTAGE MEASURED AT EACH OF THE NODES WHEPE CURRENT IS COMPUTED. VOLTAGE AND CURRENT ARE MEASURED PLATE-TO-PLATE AND WILL BE DETERMINED REFORE THIS SUBROUTINE IS CALLED. MATRIX SUBROUTINE WILL BE USED TO OFTERWINE VOLTAGES AND SUBROUTINE DELTA THE IMPEDANCES. GROUP ONE INCLUDES TRANSFORMER. TEE, RESISTOR, AND HELIX CURRENTS. GROUP TWO INCLUDES TOWER ("ANTENNA"), AERIAL, AND RADIATION RESISTANCES CURRENTS AND POWER DUTRUT IN WATTS. 1F MODE = 1 USE 1 TRANSFORMER MODE = 2 HISE 2 TRANSFORMERS MODE = 3 HISE 3 TRANSFORMERS MUDE = 4 HISE 4 TRANSFORMERS IF HK = 0 NEGLECT BANDWIDTH RESISTOR HK = 1 USE BANDWIDTH RESISTOR NO PRINTOUT RRINT GROUP ONF RRINT GROUP TWO PRINT GROUPS ONF & TWO ·Δςκ = 1 ΜΔςκ = 2 ŢF  $M\Delta SK = 3$   $M\Delta SK = 4$ č CCMPLEX S. YC(5.3). XL(5.3). YTOTAL(5.2). YTOTAL(5.2). 17TOTAL(5.2). 7T. AMPS(5.3). VOLTS(5.3). POWER(5.2). 27ONE. 7TWO. 7THREE C COMPLEX PRIMEY, TOR, BOTTOM, XEMR1(241), XEMR2(241), 1XEMR3(241), XEMR4(241), 7ANT(241), **ZTOWR(241), ZHELIX(241)**, 2ZPES(241), 71EE(241) C C(MPLEX A(2,2), B(2,2), C(2,2), D(2,2), F(2,2), F(2,2), G(2,2), 10(2,3), P(2,2), P(2,2), T(2,2), U(2,2), V(2,2), W(2,2), X(2,2), 2000(FX XX(2,2) COMPLEX XX(2,2) COMPLEX CUPANT(241), C'URTWP(241), CURHFL(241), CURRFS(241), 1CURTEF(241), C'URX1(241), CURX2(241), CURX3(241), CURX4(241) COMPLEX CUPANT(241), PWRONT(241) COMPLEX CUPANT(241), PWRONT(241) C COMMON/A/ COIL(5.3), CAP(5.3), RAS, ROC, RAD, PRW, PT COMMON/F/ VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, VIOTAL, ZTOTAL COMMON/F/ VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, VIOTAL, ZTOTAL COMMON/F/ FREQ(2411, DIV(2411, ZANT, ZHELIX, ZRES, ZTEE, ZTOWR COMMON/F/ CUPANT, CORVERS, STAR4 COMMON/F/ CUPANT, CORVER, CURHEL, CURRES, CORTEE COMMON/N/ A, B, C, D, F, F, G, O, P, R, T, U, V, W, X, UN, VV COMMON/N/ A, B, C, D, F, F, G, O, P, R, T, U, V, W, X, UN, VV COMMON/N/ CURXI, CURV2, CUPX3, CURX4 COMMON/R/ CURRAD, PMPONIT COMMONIA/ CHRRAD. PWRONIT CCMMON/7/ IRAR(120) 0000 KK = ( (K-1) / 2 ) + 1 С DD 15 L = 1.K EPEDCY = ERED(L) CALL MATRIX ( EREDCY, ) 1 GC TO ( 1. 2. 3. 11 ). MODE C 1 С 2 CHRX2(L) = PO(1,1) / XFMR2(L)CHRX(L) = CHPX2(L) PP(2,1) = -PD(2,1)CALL MULT ( T, PC, WW, 2, 2, 2, 1) VOLTS(2,1) = WW(1,1) GO TO 21 С 3 CHPX3({) = HP(1+1) / YEMR3(L) CHPX({) = CHEX3(L) HR(2+1) = -HP(2+1) CALE MHT ( V+HP+WA+2+2+2+1) VO(TS(2+1) = WW(1+1) GP TO 21 ) C. C  $\begin{array}{l} 1 & 0 & \text{IRTEF(L)} &= & \text{ARTES(2+1)} & / & \text{ATEF(L)} \\ 0 & \text{ATEF(L)} & ( & \text{ARTES(2+1)} & / & \text{ATEF(L)} \\ / & \text{ATES(3+1)} &= & \text{ID(1+1)} \\ / & \text{ATES(3+1)} &= & \text{ATES(3+1)} & / & \text{ARES(L)} \\ / & \text{ATES(4+1)} &= & \text{ATES(3+1)} & / & \text{ARES(L)} \\ \end{array}$ )

С  $\begin{array}{l} \text{IF} ( HK ) 2^3, 2^3, 2^3, 2^2 \\ \text{22} \quad \text{CALL MULT} ( C, 101, VV, 2, 2, 2, 1 ) \\ \text{VO}(\text{TS}(4,1) = VV(1,1) \\ \end{array}$ 23 CURTWR(L) = VV(1+1)
23 CURTWR(L) = VOLTS(4+1) / ZTOWR(L)
CURHEL(1) = VOLTS(4+1) / ZHELIX(L)
CALL MULT ( 0, VV, WW, 2, 2, 2, 1)
VO(TS(5+1) = WW(1+1)
CURANT(L) = VOLTS(5+1) / ZANT(L)
CALL MULT ( P, WW, UU, 2, 2, 2, 1)
VOLTS(5+2) = UU(1+1)
CURRAD(L) = VOLTS(5+2) / RAD
PWROUT(L) = VOLTS(5+2) \* CONJG( CURRAD(L))
10 CONTINUE С 1 С.  $\begin{array}{l} GO TD ( 4, 5, 6, 5 ) , MASK \\ WRITF(6, 5CC) MDF \\ WRITF(6, 5CC) MDF \\ WRITF(6, 5CC) (IRAR(I), I = 1,116) \\ WPITF (6, 6C5) \\ WRITF(6, 715) (IRAP(I), I = 1,95) \\ WRITF(6, 725) \\ WRITF(6, 735) (IRAR(I), I = I,110) \\ DO (7 | 1 = 1, K | 15, 14, 13 \\ IF ( 1 - KK | 15, 14, 13 \\ IF ( 1 - KK | 15, 14, 15 \\ IF ( 6, 76C) (IRAR(I), I = 1,116) \\ SWRITF(6, 74C) FRFO(L), CURX (L), CURTEF(L), CURRES(L), CURHEL(L) \\ TONTINUE \\ WRITF(6, 725) (IRAR(I), I = 1,110) \\ IF ( 6, 725) (IRAR(I), I = 1,110) \\ SWRITF(6, 735) (IRAR(I), I = 1,110) \\ SWRITF(6, 725) (IRAR(I), I = 1,110) \\ WRITF(6, 725) (IRAR(I), I = 1,110) \\ WRITF(6, 725) (IRAR(I), I = 1,110) \\ SWRITF(6, 725) (IRAR(I), I = 1,11$ 14 15 7  $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:second} & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(4,735) & (\mathsf{TPAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,110) \\ & \mathsf{IF} & (\mathsf{MASK} = 3) & 4, 6, 6 \\ & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,6,5)] & \mathsf{MODF} \\ & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,6,5)] & (\mathsf{IPAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,116) \\ & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,75) & (\mathsf{IPAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,95) \\ & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,725) & (\mathsf{IPAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,10) \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,735) & (\mathsf{IPAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,110) \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,735) & (\mathsf{IPAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,116) \\ & \mathsf{IF} & (\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{KK} - 1) & \mathsf{IR}, 17, \mathsf{IR} \\ & \mathsf{IF} & (\mathsf{L} = \mathsf{KK} - 1) & \mathsf{IR}, \mathsf{IF}, \mathsf{IR} \\ & \mathsf{IF} & \mathsf{IC}(\mathsf{A}, 2^\circ) & (\mathsf{IRAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,116) \\ & \mathsf{IR} & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,735) & (\mathsf{IRAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,116) \\ & \mathsf{R} & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,735) & (\mathsf{IRAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,116) \\ & \mathsf{R} & \mathsf{WR}[\mathsf{TF}(6,735) & (\mathsf{IRAR}(\Gamma), \ \Gamma = 1,110) \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},735) & (\mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \Gamma = 1,110) \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \Gamma = 1,110) \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \Gamma = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \Gamma = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{C},\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{TF}(\mathsf{RAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I}), \ \mathsf{IE} = \mathsf{IRAR}(\mathsf{I})] \\ & \mathsf{MR}[\mathsf{IRAR}$ ſ 16 17 18 9 С 4 CONTINUE С С RETHRN

1(1

1(3)1(4) $1^{2}$ 

2

146

SUBROUTINE GRAPH ( K. MODE. ITYPE ) THIS SUBROUTINE WILL TAKE THE TABULAR DATA DEVELOPED BY SUBROUTINE DELTA AND PREPARE IT FOR GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION. IT WILL THEN MAKE THE NECESSARY CALLS FOR THE GRAPHS. MOI IS A CONTROL USED TO DETERMINE THE TYPE OF GRAPHS TO BE USED. MODE IF MODF=1 MODE=2 MODE=3 USE THE PTPLOT GRAPHS USE THE PLOTIT GRAPHS USE BOTH TYPES OF GRAPHS IF TYPF=1 TYPF=2 GRAPH THE IMPEDANCES COMPLEX S, XC(5,3), XL(5,3), XTOTAL(5,2), YTOTAL(5,2), 12TOTAL(5,2), ZT, AMPS(5,3), VOLTSI5,3), POWERI5,2), 77ONF, ZTWO, ZTHRFE COMPLEX PRIMRY, TOP, BOTTOM, XEMR1(241), XEMR2(241), 1XEMR3(241), XEMR4(241), ZANTI241), ZTOWR(241), ZHELTX(241), 2ZRES(241), ZTEF(241) COMPLEX CURANT(241), CUPTWPI241), CURHEL(241), CURRESI241), COMPLEX CURANT(241), CUPTWPI241), CURHEL(241), CURX4(241) COMPLEX CURPAD(241), PWROHTT241) С DIMENSION ALPHA (241), BETA [241), GAMMA (241), FATA (241) DIMENSION FPSI(241), PSI(241) DIMENSION VI(1), V2(1), V3II), V4(1), V5(1), V6(1), V7(1), IVR(1), V9(1) DIMENSION TITLE1(1), TITLE2(1), TITLE3II), TITLE4II), TITLE5II) C COMMON/H/ EA, FC, ED, EE, FF, EG, FO, RBWCL, RBWCH COMMON/J/ FREQ(241), DIV(241), 7ANT, 7HELIX, 7RES, ZTEE, ZTOWR COMMON/K/ XEMR1, XEMR2, XEMR3, XEMR4 COMMON/L/ CURANT, CUPYRR, CURHEL, CURRES, CURTEE COMMON/M/ CURAL, CUPX2, CURX3, CURX4 COMMON/R/ CURPAD, PWPOUT COMMON/S/ A)PHA, BETA, GAMMA, EATA, EPSI, PSI C 60 TO ( 3, 4 ) - ITYPE ---3 WRITE (6,199) FO DO 1 INDEX = 1.K  $\Delta$ LPHAIINDEX) = REAL (7HELIX(INDEX) BETA(INDEX) =  $\Delta$ IMAG( 7HELIX(INDEX) GAMMA(INDEX) = REAL ( 7TEF(INDEX) EATA(INDEX) =  $\Delta$ IMAG( 7TEF(INDEX) 1 CONTINUE С С GO TO ( 50, 53, 50 ), MODE С 50 CONTINUE WRITEI6,2051 CALL PTPLOT WRITEI6,2061 CALL PTPLOT WRITEI6,2071 CALL PTPLOT WRITEI6,2029 CALL PTPLOT DIV. ALPHA. K. ( EREQ-KH7 HELIX-R \* 1 1-DIV. BETA . K. FREQ-KHT HELIX-JX+ + DIV. GAMMA. K. EREQ-KH7 1 TEE -R 1 - 1 I DIV. EATA . K. TFF -JX . · EREO-KHZ 1 С 51 IF ( MODE - 1 ) 52, 52, 53 53 CONTINUE WRITEI6.200) CALL PLOTIT ( K. G. DIV. ALPHA. BETA. Y3. Y4. 195. Y6. Y7. Y8. Y9. K. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 2'PESISTIVE HELIY IMPEDANCE 3'FFACTIVE HELIY IMPEDANCE 4TITLE3. TITLE4. TITLE5 ) WRITE (6.261) CALL PLOTIT ( K. G. DIV. GAMMA. EATA. Y3. Y4. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 2'RESISTIVE TEE IMPEDANCE 4'RESISTIVE TEE IMPEDANCE 4'REACTIVE TEE IMPEDANCE 4'REACTIVE TEE IMPEDANCE 4'REACTIVE TEE IMPEDANCE 4'TITLE3. TITLE4. TITLE5 ) С 1 \*\* C GO TO 52 С 4 WPITE (6, 192) FO DO 2 INDEX = 1.K ALPHA(INDEX) = RFAL (CURTWR(INDEX) RETA(INDEX) = AIMAG(CURTWR(INDEX) GAMMAINDEX) = RFAL (CURTEFINDEX) FATAINDEX) = AIMAGICURTE(INDEX) PSIINDEX) = RFAL (CURXI(INDEX) 2 CONTINUE ) C GD TO 1 66. 63. 61 1. MODE С 60 CUNTINUE #PITE(6,405) CALL PTPLOT #PITE[6,406) CALL PTPLOT WRITE[6,407) CALL PTPLOT #PITE(6,402) ( DIV. ALPHA. K. • FREQ-KH7 AMPS-R . 3 ( HIV. BETA . K. · FREG-KHZ AMPS-J . ъ I DIV. GAMMA. K. • FREO-KH7 ANPS-R 3

12

34567

RC

11213

151617

112222222222222222

3123

1456780°

412345

4444551

52

54

56

59

61

61 62 63

64

66

78 79 80

0822454 888 888

92

+ to 2 t 2 t

47

100

```
AMPS-J 1 1
                          CALL PTPLOT ( DIV. FATA . K.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                     + FREQ-KH7
 С
                 61 1F 1 MODE - 1 1 52. 52. 63
 С
                + +
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         :.;
C
                52 CONTINUE
         52 CONTINUE
159 FORMAT ( 10, 25%, THE FOLLOWING GRAPHS WILL PRESENT THE ABOVE'.
1' TARULAR DATA, CENTERED AT', FLO.3, 'KH7')
2C3 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'VARIATION IN COMPLEX HELIX',
1' IMOFDANCE VESSUS FREQUENCY')
2c1 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'VARIATION IN COMPLEX TEE'.
1' IMOFDANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c5 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'HELIX RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'HELIX RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE RESISTANCE VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE CURRENT (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'ANTENNA CURRENT (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'ANTENNA CURRENT (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'ANTENNA CURRENT (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c6 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE CURRENT (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'TEE CURRENT (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (REAL) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (IMAG) VERSUS FREQUENCY')
2c7 FORMAT ( 11, 30%, 'POWER RADIATED (IMAG) VERSUS
 С.
                                RETURN
                                 SUBROUTINE ARCO
0000
                                                                                                    THIS SUBROUTINE WILL MADE USE OF THE
ABOD MATRICES FOR THE VARIOUS TPANSFORMERS USED.
                          COMPLEX S. XC(5.3). XL(5.3). XTOTAL(5.2). YTOTAL(5.2).

17TOTAL(5.2). 7T. AMPS(5.3). VOLTS(5.3). POWER(5.2).

27 ONE. ZTWO. 7THRFF

COMPLEX A(2.2). B(2.2). C(2.2). D(2.2). F(2.2). F(2.2). G(2.2).

10(2.2). P(2.2). F(2.2). T(2.2). U(2.2). V(2.2). W(2.2). X(2.2).

200(2.2). V(2.2). F(2.2). RP(2.2). U(2.2). W(2.2). WW(2.2).

200(2.2). V(2.2). F(2.2). RP(2.2). U(2.2). WP(2.2). WW(2.2).

COMPLEX XX(2.2).
 C
C
                              CCMMON/R/ CPL14), PHASE(5,2), TAP(4), COILM(4)
COMMON/F/ VOLTS, AMPS, XC, XL, XTOTAL, YTOTAL, ZTOTAL
CCMMON/G/ CFNECY, OMEGA, S
CCMMON/N/ A, B, C, D, F, F, G, D, P, R, T, U, V, W, X, UU, VV
CCMMON/O/ FP, RP, HP, WP
COMMON/7/ IBAP(120)
 C
                               UU(1,1) = VO(TS(5,2))
UU(2,1) = -\Delta MPS(5,2)
 C
                                CALL MATRIX ( CENECY. 0 )
                              С
 C
                               #PITE (6.85)
WPITE (6.972) ([04P(]). [=1.]])
WPITE (6.85)
WPITE (6.85)
 С
                           1 = 5

11 = 2

wall comp ( yours, amps, 7τοταί, phase, power, I, 2)

wall comp ( yours, amps, 7τοταί, phase, I, 2), amps(I, 2), zτοταί(I, 2),

walte (4, 9)() 1, II+VOLTS(I, 2), amps(I, 2), zτοταί(I, 2),

μμαsε(I,...), power(T, 2)
٢
                                 νε 777 (1= 1+4
Γπ. κ. = 11
ΓΙ = 1
```

1 · · ·

, 4 , 5

116 117 199

11-111 11-11-

20222

24

227290

312345 67 10

41 47 47

480

+1

1822456

63

1 1 c

CALL COMP ( VOLIS, AMPS, ZTOTAL, PHASE, POWER, I, II ) WRITE (6, PIC) I, II, VOLTS(I,1), AMPS(I,1), ZTOTAL(I,1), IPHASE(I,1), POWER(I,1) CONTINUE 777 000 THIS SECTION IS FOR ONE POWER AMPLIFIER WRITE (6.915) Call Mint ( F. VV. EP. 2. 2. 2. 1 - 1 VOLTS(1.1) - FP(1.1) AMPS(1.1) - FP(2.1) GALL COMP ( VOLTS: AMPS: ZTOTAL. PHASE: POWER: 1. 1 ) CALL COMP ( VOLTS, AMPS, ZTOTAL, PHASE, POWER, 1, 1 ) I = 1 WRITE (A, All) IN VOLTS(1,1), AMPS(1,1), ZTOTAL(1,1), PHASE(1,1), POWER(1,1) C THES SECTEDN IS FOR TWO POWER AMPLIFIERS Ċ VV(1.1) = HH(1.1) / 2 CALL MHLT ( R. VV. PP. 2. 2. 2. 1 ) VDLTS(1.1) = RP(1.1) AMPS(1.1) = RP(1.1) CALL COMP ( VDLTS. AMPS. ZTOTAL. PHASE. POWEP. 1. 1 ) I = 2 WPITE (6. Pl) I. VOLTS(1.1). AMPS(1.1). ZTOTAL(1.1). IPHASE(1.1). POWER(1.1) С С THIS SECTION IS FOR THREE POWER AMPLIFIERS ċ VV(1.1) = UU(1.1) / 3 CALL MHTT ( U. VV, UP. 2. 2. 2. 1 ) VOLTS(1.1) = UP(1.1) AMPS(1.1) = UP(2.1) CALL COMP ( VOLTS, AMPS, 7TOTAL, PHASE, POWER, 1. 1 ) CALL 3 WPITE (5. 911) J. VOLTS(1.1). AMPS(1.1). 7TOTAL(1.1). 19HASE(1.1). POWER(1.1) 000 THIS SECTION IS FOR FOUR POWER AMPLIFIERS VV(1.1) = HH(1.1) / 4 CALL MHLT ( W. VV. WP. 2. 2. 2. 1 ) VOLTS(1.1) = WP(1.1) AMPS(1.1) = WP(2.1) CALL COMP ( VOLTS. AMPS, ZTOTAL. PHASE. POWER. 1. 1 ) I = 4 WRITE (6. R1) I. VOLTS(1.1). AMPS(1.1). ZTOTAL(1.1). IPHASE(1.1). POWER(1.1) PERSECTION POWER AMPLIFIEPS', //, 2X, 'TANKS' ) C С RETURN END -----

1 7 4

234

744

·222222222222

- ファラファッファラファラス

154

BLOCK DATA CCMMON/A/ COIL(5,3), CAP(5,3), RAS, RDC, RAD, RBW, PI COMMON/B/ CPL(4), PHASE(5,2), TAP(4), COILM(4) COMMON/D/ TOWER, SELRES, WATTS, ONOW, PRBW, PRBWKW, PWRKW	
COMMON/E/ CP21H, CP21L, CP23H, CP23L, CL21H, CL21L, CL22M, CL2 COMMON/G/ CENFCY, OMEGA, S COMMON/H/ EA, EC, ED, EE, EF, EG, FQ, RBWCL, RBWCH CCMMON/P/ DUM, ZLCAD, RPTP, QPRI(4), CAP1(4)	
CCMMON/Z/ IBAR(120) OATA CP21H, CP23H, CL21H, CL22H/1.5E-6,6.0E-7,275.0E-6,300.0E- DATA CP21L,CP23L, CL21L, CL22L/4.0E-8, 6.0E-8, 25.0E-6, 50.0E-6 CATA CPL/ 0.522, 0.474, 0.444, 0.508/	6/ 6/
DATA RBWCL, RBWCH/ 2.0E-4, 8.0E-4/ DATA TAP/ 71.5E-6, 49.0E-6, 35.3E-6, 27.0E-6/ DATA PI, WATTS, RBW, PRBW/3.1415926,1.0E6,33.25,5.0E5/ DATA COIL(1.1), CAP(1.1)/ 430.0E-6, 0.25E-6/	
CCMPLEX ZLOAD/ (20.0, 0.0) / DATA RPTP /420.0/ DATA CENFCY, TOKER, RAD, RAS/15.5E3, 628.0, 0.154, 0.308/ DATA CAP(5,1), COIL(5,1)/ 0.164E-6, 139.0E-6/	
END	
FUNCTION FACT1 ( A ) FACT1 = ( A ** 2 ) + 1.0 RETURN END	
FUNCTION FACT2 ( R ) FACT2 = ( (R**2) + 1.0 ) / ( B ** 2 ) RETURN END	
SUBRCUTINE MULT (XRAY, YCKE, ZEBRA, K, L, M, N )	
C C THIS SUBROUTINE WILL MULTIPLY TWO MATRICES, XRAY TIMES YOKE, C CF ORDER (K X L) AND (M X N), RESPECTIVELY, GIVING A PRODUCT C MATRIX ZERAA DE ORDER (K X N).	
$ \begin{array}{c} C \\ C $	
GC TC 99 5C IF ( L - M) 55, 60, 55 55 WRITE ( 6, 600 ) GC TC 99 6C DC 99 IX = 1, K DC 99 JX = 1, N ZERRA(IX,JX) = (0.0,0.0) DC 59 KX = 1,M ZERRA(IX,JX) = (XRAY(IX,KX) * YOKE{KX,JX})* ZERRA(IX,JX) 59 CONTINUE	
C 400 FCRMAT (37HMATRIX INVALID. ORDER GREATER THAN 8.) -500 FCRMAT (34HMATRIX INVALID. ORDER LESS THAN 1.) 600 FCRMAT (36HMATRIX INVALID. ORDERS DO NOT AGREE.) C DETLEN	
SUBROUTINE COMP (V, A, Z, PHI, PWR, L, LL )	
C THIS SUBROUTINE WILL COMPUTE THE IMPEDANCE, PHASE ANGLE	
C DIMENSION V(L.LL). A(L.LL), Z(I.LL). PHI(L.LL), PWR(L.LL) CCMPLEX V. A. Z. PWR	
PI = -3.1415926536 IF ( CABS ( A(L+LL) ) ) 1.2.1 2 WRITE ( 6.10 ) 1.4LL	

U1		RV = REAL ( V(L,L) ) $GV = AIMAG ( V(L,L) )$ $Z(L,L) = V(L,L) / A(L,L)$ $Phr(L,L) = V(L,L) + CONJG ( A(L,L) )$
15	9	PHI(L+LL) = ( ATAN2(GV+RV) - ATAN2(GA+RA) ) * (180+0/PI) CONTINUE
- ä.		FORMAT(1H0,9X,5HAMPS(, I2, 2H +, I2, 10H ) IS ZERO ) FORMAT(1H0,9X,6HV0LTS(,I2, 2H +, I2, 10H ) IS ZERO )
10 11 12 1	С	RETURN END
$\frac{14}{16}$		SUBROUTINE PIPLOT ( X. Y. KIITLE _ )
17 15 19	CCC	
20 22 23 24 26		THIS SUBROUTINE WILL ACCEPT TWO ARRAYS, X AND Y, EACH OF SIZE K, AND PLOT THE Y VALUES AS A FUNCTION OF THEIR X VALUES. AXES WILL BE PLACED IF THEY FALL IN THE RANGE COVERED. EXTREME VALUES OF Y WILL BE LISTED AT THE TOP OF THE GRAPH.
2789	Č C C	TITLE IS A LARFL TO BE PLACED OVER THE COLUMNS OF THE "X" AND "Y" VARIABLES.
3)	č	DIMENSION X(K), Y(K), MARK(90)
33	С	CCMMGN/7/ LARK(120)
16	C C	DATA DUMMY. IPER. IPLUS. BLANK/ ' ', '.', '+', ' '/
38		LIMIT = K - 1 BIG = Y(1) SMAL = Y(1)
41	2	$ \begin{array}{l} DC \ I \ M \ = \ 2 \cdot K \\ IF \ ( \ BIG \ - \ Y(M) \ ) \ 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \\ \end{array} $
44	3	$GC_{TC-1}$ IF (SMALL - Y(M) ) 1.1.4
46 47 48	4	SPALL = Y(M) CONTINUE SPAN = BIG - SMALL
49 50	5	ĪF ( SPAN ) 6,5,6 WRITE ( 6,100) CC TO 99
525555555555555555555555555555555555555	6	CONTINUÉ WRITE(6,5CO) (LARK(I), I = 1,113) WRITE (6,3CO) SVALL, BIG, (TITLE(I), I=1,5) LAX = 0 TE (6,4ALL ) 2 0 0
57 58 59	10 10	IF ( BIG ) 9.17.1 LAY = (((ABS (SMALL))/ SPAN) * 89.0) + 1.0 GC TO 11
60 61 62	-9	LAY = D DC 12 M = 1, LIVIT N = M + 1
64	20	LY = ((( Y(M) - SMA(L) / SPAN) * 89.0) + 1.0 DC 2C II = 1, 90 MARK(II) = BLANK TE ( LAY = M ) 10 10 10
68 68	19	IF ( X(M) ) 13, 14, 15 IF ( X(N) ) 13, 14, 15 IF ( X(N) ) 10, 17, 18 
69 71 71	15 18 14	$\frac{1}{16} ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N) ) \frac{16 \cdot 16}{16} ( ABS ( x(N$
72		MARK(JJ) = IPER GC TC 21 IAX = N
75	10	$\overrightarrow{GC}  \overrightarrow{TC}  \overrightarrow{21}$
73	30	MARK(LAY) = IPER $MARK(LY) = IPLUS$
F1 F2	12	CCNTINUE IF ( LAX - Κ ) 20,24,26
1 4 1 4 1 5	24	00 25 JJ = 1,90 MA4K(JJ) = IPER GC IO 28
× f. × 7	26	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
2.9	15	IF ( LAY ) 32, 33, 32 APK(LAY) = IPER
	4	
C .  	(. 10.	FIRMAT ( 1HG, "NI GRAPH SINCE VIN AND MAX ARE THE SAME" ) Firmat (1x,1H1, +11,4, 70x,F10,4,1H1,544, 11)
, .	401 501 60	FCRMAT(1×, 1H), 90A1, 1H), 2F1(.2, 1H) FCRMAT((1×, 113A1) SCILEN
-	77	:\f

SUBPOUTINE PLOTIT ( K. KK. X. Y1. Y2. Y3. Y4. Y5. Y6. Y7. Y8. 1Y9. IA. TB. IC. TD. IE. IF. TG. IH. TITLE1. TITLE2. TITLE3. 3TITLE4. TITLE5. ) 2 C THIS SUBROUTINE WILL PLOT UP TO 9 DEPENDENT ARRAYS, Y1 THRU Y9, AGAINST THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE "X", ON THE PAGE PRINTER, THE OF DINTS IN THE X ARRAY WILL DETERMINE THE NUMBER OF LINES, PRINTER, ROTH THE X-AXIS AND Y-AXIS WILL BE PRINTED IF THEY ARE IN THE R THE GRAPH. THE MAIN PROGRAM MUST BE DIMENSIONED WITH THE DUMMY VARIABLES VI(1) THRU Y9(1) AND TITLEI(1) THRU TITLE5(1). THESE VARIABLES WILL BE USED WHEN LESS THAN 9 CURVES ARE TO BE PRINTE SEE EXAMPLE BEIDW. THE X-ARRAY MAY BE SORTED INTO ASCENDING OR IF NECESSARY OR DESIRED BY THE USER BY CALLING KK. THE PRODUCT OF THE NUMBER OF CURVES PLOTTED (PLUS 1) AND THE NUMBER OF POIN CACH CURVES OF 25D POINTS FACH. WILL HAVE. (3+1) \* (250) = 1000. FO 9 CURVES A MAYIMUM OF 100 POINTS MAY BE USED IN FACH. TITLING FOR THE CURVES WILL BE DONE THROUGH THE USE OF TITLE1 T TITLES. FACH CURVES WILL BE ONE THROUGH THE USE OF TITLE1 T USE THE FIPST 22 SPACES FOR THE NAME OF CURVE #1, THE NEXT 12 FOR THE NAME OF THE "X" APRAY. NAMES FOR THE FOLLOWING A CURVE FLACED 2 TO A CAPD. IN SEQUENCE. USING TITLE2 THEU TITLE5. FOULDWING ARE DEFINITIONS OF THE CURVES HE WILL FACED ARE FOR THE FOLLOWING A CURVE FLACED 2 TO A CAPD. IN SEQUENCE. USING TITLE2 THEU TITLE5. FOULDWING ARE DEFINITIONS OF THE CALLING ARGUMENTS FOLLOWING ARE DEFINITIONS OF THE CALLING ARGUMENTS K= NUMBER OF POINTS IN X-ARRAY  $K\leq 1$  The X-ARRAY WILL BE PUIT INTO ASCENDING ORDER ,  $KK\equiv_1$  The X-ARRAY WILL BE PRINTED AS GIVEN Y=YO THE DUMMY ARRAYS FOR THE 9 CURVES Y2 THRU Y9 IA-IH THE DUMMY DIMENSIONS FOR CURVES Y2 THRU Y9 ŢÉ AS AN EXAMPLE, TO CALL PLOTIT FOR 3 CURVES (B.C. 6D), EACH OF 2 POINTS, TO BE PLOTTED AGAINST A. WITH THE A ARRAY SORTED INTO ASCENDING ORDER -DIMENSION X(K). Y1(K), Y2(IA), Y3(IB), Y4(IC), Y5(ID), Y6(IE), 1Y7(IE), Y8(IG), Y9(IH), A(ICOL), ISIGN(9), MARK(100), YPRINT(5) С COMMON/77 LARK(120) INTEGER NAME( 70), T ITITLE4(14), TITLE5(14) TITLE1(14), TITLE2(14), TITLE3(14). С DATA DUMI: IPLUS: ISTAR: ISOUIG/ \* DATA DUM2: ITITAT: IPER: ISIX/ \* DATA DUM3: ISEVEN: IATE: ININE/ \* c TOTAL = K + IA + IR + IC + ID + ID + IF + IF + IG + IH IF ( TOTAL - 10 0 ) 1. 1. 2 WRITE ( 6. 50 ) 6 G TD 99 2 C C NU = 0 IEE ( ITY E0.1 IEE ( ITY E0.1 IEE ( IEE E0.0 IEE ( 1 M() = 8 )))) NP = 7 = 4.5 ND 422 1 1 1 1 ) NO = ł C C 1901 1001 1001 1001 1001 1001 1001 . . . . . . . . . = = = 3 ſ  $\begin{array}{l} D(1) \ 1^{2} \ 1 \ = \ 1 \cdot K \\ G(2 \ T \cap \ 1^{2} \ 3 \cdot \ 4 \cdot \ 5 \cdot \ 4 \cdot \ 5 \cdot \ 5$ 000 I2 G0 I = L KG S = L KG S = L F = L 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11 1.NO 11 ; ) 4 12.10K .2 + 7 ¥ K

4

679 0 11

11214

25 27

39 41 44444

4647

40

51

545

55

747577777777870

243

93

94

139.

```
C
C
          \begin{array}{c} \text{IF} ( \ \mathsf{K} \mathsf{K} \ ) \ 1^3, \ 1^42, \ 1^3 \\ 13 \ \mathsf{DO} \ 1^41 \ \mathsf{II} = 1, \mathsf{K} \\ \ JJ = +\mathsf{II} + 1 \\ \mathsf{DO} \ 1^41 \ \mathsf{II} = JJ, \ \mathsf{K} \\ \mathsf{IF} \ ( \ A(\mathsf{II}) - \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{II}) \ ) \ 1^41, \ 1^41, \ 1^51 \\ \mathsf{DO} \ 1^40 \ \mathsf{N} = -\mathsf{K} \\ \mathsf{DO} \ 1^40 \ \mathsf{N} = \mathsf{I}, \ \mathsf{LIMIT} \\ \mathsf{N} \ \mathsf{N} = \mathsf{NN} + \mathsf{K} \\ \mathsf{F} = \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{II} + \mathsf{NN}) \\ \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{II} + \mathsf{NN}) = \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{II} + \mathsf{NN}) \\ \mathsf{A}(\mathsf{II} + \mathsf{NN}) = \mathsf{E} \\ \mathsf{I}^42 \ \mathsf{CONTINUF} \\ \mathsf{I}^42 \ \mathsf{CONTINUF} \\ \mathsf{I}^42 \ \mathsf{CONTINUF} \end{array} 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           .
   00000000
                                       NOW WE HAVE GENERATED "A", AN ARRAY CONTAINING THE VALUES OF
X AND THE CUPVES (UP TO 9) WHICH HAS X FITHER IN ASCENDING
ORDER (IE CALLED FOR ABOVE) OR NOT.
                           ISIGN(1)
ISIGN(2)
ISIGN(3)
ISIGN(4)
ISIGN(4)
ISIGN(4)
ISIGN(7)
ISIGN(8)
ISIGN(9)
                                                            = IFLUS
= ISTAR
= ISOUIG
= ITITAT
= IPER
= ISIX-
= ISEVEN
= IATE
= ININE
  C
                           M = K + 1
MM = (IMIT * K
AIG = A(M)
SMALL = A(M)
  С
              DO 16 JIM = M,MM

IF ( BIG - \Delta(JIM) ) 17.18.18

17 BIG = \Lambda(JIM)

GO TO 16

18 IF ( SMALL - \Delta(JIM) ) 16.16. 19

19 SMALL = \Delta(JIM)

16 CONTINUE
 С
              SPAN = BIG - SMA(L
IF ( SPAN 1 20, 21, 20
21 WRITE ( 6, 100 )
GO TO 90
20 WPITE (6,200) (LARK(I), I = 1,115 )
  C
C
              DO 60 L = 1.14
NAME(L ) = TITLE1(L)
NAME(L+14 ) = TITLE2(L)
NAME(L+28) = TITLE3(L)
NAME(L+42) = TITLE3(L)
60 NAME(L+56) = TITLE5(L)
 C
                            WRITE(6.25C) (NAME(11.1=1. 7). ISIGN(1). (NAME(1).1= 8.10)
             N = 15

NN = 21

DO \ 61 \ L = 2. \ N(1)

WRITE(6,255) \ (NAME(1), I = N,NN), \ ISIGN(L)

N = N + 7

61 \ CONTINUE
  C,
 (
(
                            WRITE(6.6001 (LAPK(T). T = 1.112 )
  C
               IF ( $MALL 1 25; 26; 26
25 IF ( PIG 1 26; 27; 27
77 IVAXIS = ( ( ARS($MALL - ) )/ $PAN
66 IO 28
26 IVAXIS = C
28 CONTINUE
                                                                                                                                                                                                             1 * 99.0 1 + 1.0
```

```
C
                                                                       IXAXIS = 0

DO 22 J = 1.K

JJ = 0

J2 = J + 1

DO 24 ICLEAR = 1.100

24 MARK(ICLEAP) = BLANK
C
                                                                          IF ( IYAYIS ) 29.30.29
20 MARK((YAXIS) = IPER
30 CONTINUE
                                                                 \begin{array}{c} F \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Delta \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Lambda \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Lambda \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Lambda \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Lambda \times I \\ S \to 1 \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} T \times \Lambda \times I \\ S \to
€.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            1 32, 32, 36
С
                                                                     \begin{array}{l} DP & 23 \ JAY = I \cdot NP \\ JJ = JJ + C \\ LY = ( ( ( \Delta (JJ+1) - SMALL) / SPAN) * 99.0 ) + 1.0 \\ MARK(LY) = TSTGN(JAY) \\ 23 \ CONTINUE \\ WSITE ( 5. 30^{\circ}) MARK, \Delta (J) \\ \Delta (K + 1) = A(K) \\ 22 \ CONTINUE \\ \end{array} 
С
                                                                                                                                           WRITE(6.600) (|\Delta PK(I)|, I = 1.112 +
С
                                                                    С
                                                                                                                                           WRITE(6.700)
WRITE(6.750) VPRINT
                                        CO CONTINUE

CO CO
C.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          )
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               36X.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               36X.
С
                                                                                                                                           PETHEN
                                                                                                                                           FNC
```

1+1

A ADL THE BASTO ANTENN	A			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MAJER PARAMETERS	a statte randercicus					
	-CENTER FRECUENCY					
	POWER RACIATED	1000.000	Kin			
SENTAL CIRCUIT						
	RACIATION RESISTANCE	C.142	OHMS			
-, -	-LESS RESISTANCE	0.044				
	TEP-FAT CAPACITANCE	0.164	UFARAO			
	DOWN-LEAG INDUCTANCE	139.000	UHENRA	-		
HAVEWICTH RESISTO	CIRCLET	10.254				
	HESTIANCE					
	MAXINUM DISSIPATION	200.000	10 000 000			
E. L. B., TL C. S.K.	CUTE TENES PREM	200+000	10 800.000	UNENKY		
ILF NEINLAK		- MINIMUM				
	LAP (NE	u. 360	0.600	UFARAO		
	COIL ONE	25.000	- 275-000	UHENRY		
	COIL TWC	50.000	300.000	UHENRY		
TANK CERCUTT						-
	FACE PRIMARY HAS INDU	CTANCE OF	430.000 UHE	NR Y		
	PRIMARY TUNING CAPACI	FOR	0.250 UFA	RAD		
	SECENDARY CAPACITOR T	UNES	0.040 10	1.500 UFA	ARAD	
	NO. OF PIWER ANDS			TWO THREE	POUR	
	EACH SECONDARY CUHEN	R V ]	71.50 4	49.00 35.30	27.00	

Ĩŧ

81.20(3

THE FOLLOWING LATA IS COMPLTED FOR THE ANTONNA AT RESONANCE

	ANTENNA SELF-RESCNANCE	33.334	HERTZ	OPERATING FREQUENCY	15.525	KHZ
	HADIATION RESISTANCE			TOTAL REACTANCE	-48.950	-0445
	C (AERIAL)	440.207		BANDWIOTH (308)	35.268	HERTZ
	LESS RESISTANCE	C.044	OHMS	EFFECIENCY	76.344	PER-CENT
	C (WITH LOSSES)	336.072		BANDWIOTH 1308)	46.195	HERTZ
	POWER RACIATED	1000.000	KILOWATTS	CURRENT	2653.725	AMPS
	TOP-HAT VOLTAGE	165.943	κΨ	BASE VOLTAGE	-129.901	- ку
	VOLTS ACRESS RAC	376.429	VOLTS	VOLTS ACROSS P(LOSS)	116.764	VOLTS
6474 + 6+ + et 1× + f	<del>656</del>					
	CESTRED DUTPUT IMPEON	NCE	12.500	OHMS		
	SERIES-COIL -		486.302	UHENRY		
	CEUPLING COIL		15.749	UHENRY		
	AT RESONANCEVINPUT I	PEDANCE -	12.509 -			
CATA FOR PANCHICT	E RESISTOR					
WITH HA	NEWLETH RESISTER THE U	MAY VARY				
	CLIL SET AT ECC.CCC	UHENRY	THE GIS	318.282		

COLL S. I AT 20...COC LHENRY THE 0 15 265.117



MATURCES CO.					
MATRICES FCH	TRIX (A) FOR ANTENNA CI	RCUIT			
	1.3099	-344.72	0.0	0.0	
	7.0423	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	<del>\TRIX-{!}}-FOR-++++</del> + <b>!</b> *- <del>{!}</del>	<del>UI1</del>			
	1.00CC	C.O	0.0	47.437	
	0.0	-0.65093	31.878	0.0	
	1.0000	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	C.46210E-C2	-0.1C845F-01	1.0000	0.0	
- · <del>• ,</del>	<del>TRIX-(C) F(R-TEE NETWER</del>	<del>K</del>			
	0.47684E-CE	0.n	0.0	15.811	
	0.C	0.63246E=01	0.47684E-06	0.0	
<del>*/</del>	ITRIX IEF FOR-1-TANK CIR		.) 0	22 020	
	9760+14	0 437125 .7	- 0.21296	23.03	
** 2	U+U 1941x (H) <del>FCR-2</del> - <del>7ANK-C1R</del>	CUTS			
	3.1248	-0.3818cE-Co	0.83471E-07	0.68305	
	0.0	L.74707	0.16001	0.0	
- <del>*</del> 1	: <del>T×t×-tut <del>F(P-)</del> <del>T∧NK Ct⊬</del></del>	<del>Cutts</del>			
	2.4212	3 <b>•</b> 0 = =	0.0	-1.1056	
*:	0.0 1.1.1.x <del>(x) + CR 4 -TANK- (19</del>	0.63489	0.12721	0.0	
	1.5635	(	<b>D</b> ."	-1.2343	
	6.ŭ		12730	0.0	
* /	INIX (F) FCH 1 REVERSE	† ^ × K · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	C.212F6	5.e.(	J.C	23.838	
	C.C	C.19712F 07	4.6980	0.0	
~ 1	C 276-2	14KK	-) 549435-13	1 3441	
	0.520%2	5.7.7	- 1040	-0.391945-04	
M 3	ATHIN (V) FOR 3 REVERTE	TTTV S	2.1240	-0.561602-06	
	0.38164	_ • C	). <sup>11</sup>	-3.3168	
	C . J	1 24 49	2.6272	0.0	
	THIN (X) FOR 4 ROVERSE	† <del>11/1* &lt;</del>			
	C.50918	• 1	J*1	-4.9371	
	C.J	C. 57318	1.9639	0.0	
	C.476345-CE	2.1	0.3	15.811	
	0.C	0.63246F-01	0.47684E-06	0.0	
	TPIX ICT PCR REVERSE FE	L1×			
	31.878	C.C	°•0	47.437	
	G.C	-6.65693	1.0000	0.0	
₩ 8	G-C	Le NVA	0.14200	0.0	
	6.0	u.r	1.0000	0.0	

-

162

\_\_\_\_\_

FELLOWING ARE MATRIX PRODUCTS

							•		
TERM	VOL ľ	\$	AH	P5	IMPEDA	NCE	PHASE	POWFR	
5,2	392.	C.J	0.2558 64	0.0	0.154	0.0	-0.0	0.100E 07	0.0
5+1	785.	-0.1258 06-	C.255E 04	0.9	0.30R	-49.1	-89,64	9-2005-07	-0: 919E 09
4 + L	785.	-0.494E 04	62.8	~ 395.	12.5	-0.1386-03	-n.00	0.200E 07	-21.9
3+1	785.	-0.494E 04	62.8	~ 395.	12.5	-0.138E-03	-0.00	0.200E 07	-21.9
2+1	0.625E-04	993.	312.	49.6	20.0	0.2276-03	0.00	0.200E 07	22.7

.

## 81-21-1

÷.

## VARIATION IN NETWORK INPEDANCES AS A FUNCTION OF FREQUENCY

FREQUENCY			TNPUT	TRPEDANCE TO	VAR1003 311	IGES			1
HERTZ		TEE	REST	ISTUR	HI	ETX .	AE	RTAL	
	R	ЛХ	R	JK	R	· JX	R	JX	
15465.000	11.70	-40.30	1.408	111	-76	5.897	0.1860	-49.25	
15467.000	11.86	-39.21	1.497	5.675	1.872	6.011	0.1860	-49.24	
15469.000	12.03	-38.12	1.594	5.783	1 1. 444	6.129	0.1860	-49.23	
15470.000	12:21	-37.57 -37.01	1.700	3.834	2.127	6.250	0.1860	-49.22	
15472.000	3.30	-36:46	1.756	2.009	1 2:272	- 0.313	0.1860	-49.21	t
15474.000	12-40	-35.33	1.877	6.060	2.359	6.440	0.1860	-49.20	
15476.000			2.010			6,572	0.1860	-49-19	+
15478.000	12.01	-33.04	2.155	6-313	2.701	6.706	0.1860	-49.18	
15479.000	12.97	-32.46	2.234	6.441 -	2.901 -	6.843	0.1860	-49.18	1
15481.000	3.17	-31.29	2.402	6.506	3.014	6.912	0.1860	-49.17	
15483.000	3.38	-30.11	2.588	6.637	3.250	7.050	0.1860	-49.16	
15485.000	13.60	-28.91	2.792	6.769	3.510	7.188	0.1860	-49.15	1
15486.000	13.03	-27.70	3.017	6.902	3.79	7.323	0.1860	-49.14	
15488-000	13.95	-27.08	3.140	6.968	4.118	7.453	0.1860	-49,13	
15490.000	14.19	-25.85	3.403	7.098	4.291	7.515	0.1860	-49.12	
15492.000	4.44	-24.60	3.693				0.1860		<u> </u>
15493.000	14.57	-23.97	4.014	7.339	5.075	7.729	0.1860	-49.10	
15495.000	4.84	-22.69	4.186	7.393	5.296	7.770	0.1860	-49.10	1
15497.000	15.12	-21.39	4.560	7.490	5.776	7.832	0.1860	-49.09	
15499.000	15.41	-20.07	4.970	7.566	6.300	7.857	0.1860	-49.08	
15500.000	15.71	-16.74	5.421	7.615	6.872	7.835	0.1860	-49.07	
15502.000	15.86	-10.07	5.660	7.626	7.174	7.803	0.1860	-49.06	
15504.000	16.19	-16.71	6.172	7.616	7.814	7.684	0.1860	-49.05	+
15506.000	16.53	-15.32	6.723	7.555	8.493	7.482	0.1860	-49.04	
15507.000	16.70	-14.62	7.014	7.500	9,197	7.343	0.1860	-49.04	
15509.000	17.07	-13.20	7.615	7.336	9.556	6.983	0.1860	-49.03	
15511.000	12.45	-11.75	8.241	7.086	10.27	6.498	0.1860	-49.02	
15513.000	17.85	-10.28	8.074	6.739	10.95	5.879	0.1860	-49.01	T
15514.000	18.07	-9.525	9.189	6.283	11.20	5.122	0.1860	-49.00	1
15516.000		-7.233	9.797	6.011	1	4+690	0.1860		+
15518.000	18.97	-6.448	10.35	5.384	12.20	3.747	0.1860	-48.98	
15520.000	19.45	-4.852	10.83	4.649	12.57	24711	0.1060		ł
15521.000	19.71	-4.040	11.03	4.246	12.65	2.172	0.1860	-48.97	
15523.000	20.23	-2.380	11.34	3.383	12.67	1.077	0.1860	-48.96	
15525-000	21.74	-6-9615	1 1 20	2.586	2.11	0.8699E-0	9-1860		<b>t</b>
15527.000	21.36	1.110	11.52	1.533	12.16	-1.009	0.1860	-48.94	
15529.000	21.95	2.958	11.38	0.6161	11:67	-1.923	0.1860	-48.93	1
15530.000	22.25	3.858	11.26	0.1812	11.39	-2.328	0.1860	-48,93	
15532.000		5.842	10.73	-0.6383	10.75	-3.042	0.1860-	-40.92	+
15534.000	23.47	7.859	10.49	-1.367	10,06	-3.619	0.1860	-48.91	1
15536.000	- 24.07	9,945	9.981	-1.991	9.352 -	-4.064	0.1860	-48.90	I
15537.000	24.36	11.02	9.706	-2.264	8.995	-4.242	0.1860	-48.89	
15539.000	24.93	13.20	9.137	-2.727	8.301	-4.513	0.1860	-48.88	
15541.000	25.47	13:44	8.557	-3.050	7.636	-4.690	0.1860	-48.87	
15543.000	25.98	17:71	7.983	-3.362	7.013	-4.787	0.1860	-48.86	1
15544.000	26.24	20.02	7.700	-3.468	6.716	-4.812	0-1860	-48.85	1 -
15546.000	26.72	21.19	7.159	-3.626	6.162	-4.820	0.1860	-48.85	
15548-000			6.648	-1.724 -	- 5.654	-4.785	0.1860	-48.84	
15550.000	27.70	25.89	6.170	-3.772	5.192	-4.717	0.1860	-48.83	
15552.000	28.20	28.27	3.728	-3.780	4.774	-4.625	0+1860	-48.82	
15553.000	28.46	29.46	5.522	-3.772	4.581	-4.572	0.1860	-48.81	
15555.000	29.00	31.09	5.131	-3.736	4.220	-4.456	0.1860	-48.80	
15557.000	29.58	34.34	4.775	-3.679	3.895	-4.330	0.1860	-48.79	
15559.000	30.20	36.84	4.450	-3.606	3.601	-4.198	0.1860	-48.78	
15560.000	30.53	39.39	4.297	-3.565	3.334	-4.131	0.1860	-48.78	
15562.000	31-22	40.68	4.014	-3.476	3.212	-3.995	0.1860	-48.77	
15544-000 -		43.30	3.756	-3.381	2.943	-3.859	- 0.1860		
15566.000	32.74	45.59	3.521	-3.280	2:776	-3.723	0.1860	-48.75	
15567.000	33.59	- 48-76	3.307	-3.178	2.588	-3.556	0.1840	-48.75	
15569.000	34.03	50.17	3.206	-3.126	2.500	-3.525	0.1860	-48.74	
15511.000	34.96	53.04	3.019	-3.021	2.337	-3.396	0.1860	-48.73	
15573.000	35.95	56.00	2.848	-2.918	2.189	-3.271	0.1860	-48.72	1
15575.000	37.00	57.50	2.769	-2.867	2.053	-3.210	0.1860	-48.71	
15576.000	37.55	62.18	2.619	-2.765	1.990	-3.091	0.1860	-48.70	
15578.000	38.71	63.79	2.481	-2.664	1.471	-2.975	0.1860	-48.69	
12214-000	39.94	67.C7	2.355	=2.566	1.742	2-864	0.1860	-48.69	
		68.75	2.296	-2.519	1 1.711	-2.810	0.1860	-48.68	1
15581.000	40.58	70.47	2.239	-2.471	1 1.662	-2.757	0.1860	-48.67	
15581.000 15582.000 15583.000	40.58 41.24 41.92	70.47	2.239	-2.471 -2.425	1.662	-2.757	0.1860	-48.67	









---- 168-----







Figure 50



Figure 51
## INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No.	Copies
1.	Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station		20
	Alexandria, Virginia 22314		
2.	Library		
	Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		2
3.	Naval Ships Systems Command Department of the Navy		1
	Washington, D. C. 20360		
4.	Professor Paul E. Cooper Department of Electrical Engineering		1
	Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940		
5.	LT James T. Gobbel, Jr., USN 405 Cambridge Road		1
	Alexandria, Virginia 22314		
6.	Professor William E. Norris		1
	Naval Postgraduate School		
	Monterey, California 93940		
7.	Mr. Frank M. Malone		1
	Chief of Naval Operations (OP-942-E)		
	Washington, D. C. 20350		
8.	Naval Electronics Systems Command		1
	Attention: Mr. Albert F. Ebken (Code 04021) Bailey's Crossroads, Virginia		



UNCLASSIFIED									
Security Classification									
DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D (Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing ennotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)									
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		24. REPO	RT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION						
Naval Postgraduate School		Unclassified							
Monterey, California 93940		2.6. GROUP							
3. REPORT TITLE									
AN AID FOR THE OPTIMUM DESIGN OF ANTE	NNA SYSTEMS FOR	VERY L	OW FREQUENCIES						
The first of the of them beston of ANTENNA STSTERS FOR VERI LOW FREQUENCIES									
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)									
Master's Thesis - December 1967									
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)									
GOBBEL James T. Jr									
A. REPORT DATE	TA. TOTAL NO. OF P	AGES	75. NO. OF REFS						
20 December 1967	172		40						
SA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	94. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)								
5. PROJECT NO.									
c. 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(5) (Any other numbers that may be seeign this report)									
a Universitad derstruktion									
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES									
This document is subject to special e	xport controls	and eacl	transmittal						
to foreign nationals may be made only	with prior app:	roval o	f the Nevel						
Postgraduate School									
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILI	TARY ACTI	VITY						
the second se									
13. ABSTRACT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

This thesis will be concerned with the antenna systems used for high power radio transmitters operating at Very Low Frequencies (10-30 kHz). General problems involved in antenna systems, from the viewpoint of their equivalent electrical circuits, will be discussed. The required matching networks will be covered and their relative performances indicated. A brief survey of signalling methods commonly used at VLF is included, and the relation between these methods and the aerial systems is discussed.

A computer program (VLFANT) will be developed for a typical VLF aerial installation which may be used in the selection of component values for optimum operation. Written in FORTRAN IV, it is for use on a high-speed digital computer. Circuit parameter values may be changed at will, and the system response using different network arrangements easily determined. With this program as a design tool proposed systems may be completely evaluated and proposed changes to operational systems easily studied. UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINKB		LINK C	
	ROLE	wτ	ROLE	ΨT	ROLE	wт
Very Low Frequency (VLF)						
Antenna					-	
Antenna Matching Networks						
Computer Analysis of Antenna Matching Networks						
			-			
D FORM 1473 (BACK) UNCLASSIFIED						
<sup>/N</sup> 0101-907-6821 176	Security Classification A-31409					



